

# Appendix II-G1

2019 Benthic Assessment Report - Buoy Installation Areas and Sites of Interest



## ATLANTIC SHORES OFFSHORE WIND

Benthic Assessment Report – Buoy Installation Areas and Sites of Interest



rpsgroup.com



Prepared by:

Prepared for:

#### **RPS Ocean Science**

Terrasond – Atlantic Shores Offshore Wind

Joseph Zottoli, Alicia Morandi, Paxton Albert, and Alex Sousa

55 Village Square Drive South Kingstown RI 02879

**T** +1 401 789 6224

E Alicia.Morandi@rpsgroup.com

rpsgroup.com

## Contents

1	INTRODUCTION1								
2	METHODS								
	2.1	Field Survey							
		2.1.1	Drop-Down Video	1					
		2.1.2	Grab Sampling	3					
	2.2	Lab An	alysis	4					
		2.2.1	Grain Size and TOC Analysis	4					
		2.2.2	Benthic Infauna Analysis	5					
	2.3	Video F	Post-Processing	5					
	2.4	Benthic Community Data Post-Processing							
		2.4.1	Taxonomic Composition	7					
		2.4.2	Richness, Diversity, and Evenness	7					
3	RESU	JLTS		8					
	3.1	Visual /	Analysis	9					
		3.1.1	IA Sites	9					
		3.1.2	Northern SOI Sites	16					
		3.1.3	Central SOI Sites	18					
		3.1.4	Southern SOI Sites	19					
	3.2	Sedime	ent Analyses	21					
	3.3	Benthic	c Community Analysis	23					
		3.3.1	Taxonomic Composition	23					
		3.3.2	Richness, Diversity, and Evenness	36					
4	CME	CS CLA	SSIFICATIONS	38					
5	REFE	RENCE	S	43					
APPE		A FIELD	D DATASHEETS	44					
APPE		B PHO	TOMICROSCOPY IMAGES	50					

## **Figures**

Figure 1:	Map of sample stations. Note that "B" delineates buoy IAs (e.g., B3-2 is IA site 3 station 2) and "S" delineates SOIs
Figure 2:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 1 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm <sup>2</sup> 10
Figure 3:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 1 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm <sup>2</sup> 10
Figure 4:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 2 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 2,445 cm <sup>2</sup> 12
Figure 5:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 2 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 2,223 cm <sup>2</sup> 12
Figure 6:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 3 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 2,726 cm <sup>2</sup> 13
Figure 7:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 3 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 2,229 cm <sup>2</sup> 14
Figure 8:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 4 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm <sup>2</sup> 15
Figure 9:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 4 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 2,563 cm <sup>2</sup> 16
Figure 10:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 7 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm <sup>2</sup> 17
Figure 11:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 8 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 1,293 cm <sup>2</sup> 17
Figure 12:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 4 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 1,393 cm <sup>2</sup>
Figure 13:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 1 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 2,268 cm <sup>2</sup> 20
Figure 14:	Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 2 taken from 0.46 m
	above the seafloor; field of view: 2,146 cm <sup>2</sup> 20
Figure 15:	Grain size composition at each sample station. Stations located in the WTA are
	denoted with an asterisk (*)
Figure 16:	Proportional abundance and proportional number of unique taxa (species or LPTL) for
	each phylum collected in all benthic grab samples. Results presented as percentage
	of total
Figure 17: F	Richness (top) Diversity (middle) and Evenness (bottom) index values for each IA and
	SOI. Stations located in the WTA are denoted with an asterisk (*)
Figure 18:	Substrate CMECS classifications by sample site. Note that "B" delineates buoy IAs
	(e.g., B3-2 is IA site 3 station 2) and "S" delineates SOIs

### Tables

Table 1:	Grab-sample station locations and characteristics. Coordinates are North American
	Datum of 1983 (NAD 83), EPSG 42698
Table 2:	Visual characterization of still images associated with two stations at IA 211
Table 3:	Visual characterization of still images associated with two stations at IA 3
Table 4:	Visual characterization of still images associated with two stations at IA 414
Table 5:	Visual characterization of still images associated with northern stations at SOI 7 and
	SOI 8
Table 6:	Visual characterization of still images associated with central stations at SOI 3, 4, 5,
	and 618
Table 7:	Visual characterization of still images associated with southern stations at SOI 1 and
	SOI 2
Table 8:	Grain size composition and total organic carbon content of grab samples21
Table 9:	Laser diffraction results showing sizes ( $\mu$ m) which 10% (Dv [10]), 50% (Dv [50]), and
	90% (Dv [90]) of particles in the distribution are smaller than and the volume-weighted
	mean (D[4,3])22
Table 10:	Phyla present in the fourteen benthic grab samples
Table 11:	Density (# of individuals per 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> ) of each Phylum present within each grab sample
	and the mean density for IA grab sample stations24
Table 12:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTLs) collected at the two grab stations (data
	combined) in IA 1
Table 13:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the two grab stations (data
	combined) in IA 2
Table 14:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the two grab stations (data
	combined) in IA 3
Table 15:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the two grab stations (data
	combined) in IA 4
Table 16:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 1
Table 17:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 2
Table 18:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 3
Table 19:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 4
Table 20:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 5
Table 21:	Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 6
Table 22:	Community composition parameters calculated for each grab sample station and for
	each IA. Combined values for individual stations within the four IAs were either
	averaged ( $\bar{x}$ ) or summed ( $\bar{\Sigma}$ ) depending on parameter
Table 23:	Images of grab samples prior to processing, along with CMECS classifications
	(Substrate & Biological modifier)
Table 24:	CMECS hierarchical classification of substrates collected at each station (S) in each
	IA. Stations located in the WTA are denoted with an asterisk (*)

## **Table of Abbreviations**

ASOW	Atlantic Shores Offshore Wind
BOEM	Bureau of Ocean Energy Management
cm	Centimeters
CMECS	Coastal and Marine Ecological Classifications Standards
DDV	Drop Down Video
Dv	Volume Diameter
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
EPSG	European Petroleum Survey Group code used for coordinate systems
EST	Eastern Standard Time
GPS	Global Positioning System
IA	Buoy Installation Area
kg	Kilogram
LPTL	Lowest Practical Taxonomic Level
m	Meters
mg	Milligrams
SOI	Sites of Interest
тос	Total Organic Carbon

## 1 INTRODUCTION

RPS was contracted by Terrasond to conduct benthic video and grab sampling, post-process the video collected, and compile this benthic assessment report for surveys conducted within four metocean data collection Buoy Installation Areas (IAs) and eight other Sites of Interest (SOIs) in the Atlantic Shores Offshore Wind (ASOW) Lease Area (OCS-A 0499) located offshore of New Jersey. The grab samples and video imagery data conclusions presented here will support interpretation of geophysical data to characterize surficial sediment conditions and classify the benthic habitat according to the Coastal and Marine Ecological Classifications Standards (CMECS; FGDC 2012) in accordance with Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) guidelines. This report provides:

- A description of the benthic grab sampling methods, results, and analysis;
- The analysis of benthic grab sampling results using some key statistical analyses such as taxa richness, density per cubic meter, community composition, etc.;
- A description and analysis of the video data collected; and
- CMECS classifications of each sample site based on the video and grain size analyses.

The original version of this report was submitted as Appendix II-G1 of the Atlantic Shores Offshore Wind Construction and Operations Plan (COP) in March of 2021. This updated version contains substrate classification methods that are more consistent with the 2020 Benthic Assessment Report and 2021 Towed Video Report and analyses on the Project. Laser diffraction data with sieve sizes that better aligned to the Wentworth grain size class scale were used for classification instead of the original ASTM particle size distribution method. This has led to a more accurate representation of the percent composition of gravel in each sample. In addition, updated CMECS classifications based on the NMFS recommendations published in March 2021 were applied. The report has also been updated to reflect the Atlantic Shores currently proposed Project 1 and Project 2 boundaries as presented in the COP. The Project 1 and Project 2 boundaries within the Lease Area are collectively referred to herein as the Wind Turbine Area (WTA).

## 2 METHODS

### 2.1 Field Survey

#### 2.1.1 Drop-Down Video

Drop-down video (DDV) was taken in conjunction with grab samples to aid in sample collection and visual habitat classification on October 12-13, 2019. Two samples were taken from each IA with 8 additional grabs at SOIs (Figure 1). The video camera was equipped with an altimeter to record distance above sea floor, temperature probe, parallel-mounted scaling lasers 0.184 meter (m) apart, lights, and a 300-foot (ft)

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

length of cable that provided real-time viewing of images from the vessel. A silver-colored hook was suspended 0.70 m below the camera with string to standardize image distance and is visible near the center or right side of some images. Due to poor visibility, images were captured just as the grab sampler reached bottom instead of stopping at the standard distance. A YSI EXO sensor with a pH sensor was deployed separately to record pH from a depth of about 5 m at each site and water depth from shipboard sonar was recorded. The video camera was affixed to the grab sampler which was deployed by the Terrasond crew. The equipment was lowered until positioned just above the seafloor (when visibility allowed) and sites were identified as free of sensitive habitat and material prohibitive to sampling (e.g., boulders, large cobbles, other hard bottom, or debris). Samples were then collected at or within 10 m of selected locations.



Figure 1: Map of sample stations. Note that "B" delineates buoy IAs (e.g., B3-2 is IA site 3 station 2) and "S" delineates SOIs.

While viewing the video feed for sampling suitability (i.e., if the site can be sampled with a grab sampler or not due to presence of obstructions and/or sensitive habitat), the sample information (date, time, global positioning satellite [GPS] coordinates, station ID, depth, and video file name) and initial observations of sediment/seafloor characteristics were recorded to aid in post-processing of video data. Grab sample identification numbers were recorded with the video file metadata for sites where they were retrieved.

During video review, attention was given to noting if potentially sensitive benthic habitats (e.g., exposed hard bottom, seagrass/kelp/algal beds, coral species) were present, as per BOEM's Guidelines for Providing Benthic Habitat Survey Information for Renewable Energy Development on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf Pursuant to 30 CFR Part 585 (BOEM, 2019).

#### 2.1.2 Grab Sampling

Benthic grab samples were acquired using an Ocean Instruments Salish Grab Standard SG-20 sampler. This grab is a modified version of a standard Van Veen sampler with a stainless-steel weighted frame and release system ideal for collection of sediments in soft to hard substrates with a penetration depth up to 20 centimeters (cm) and sampling area of 0.10 square meters (m<sup>2</sup>). A virtual GPS node was placed just off the stern of the vessel where the A-frame was deployed to obtain GPS coordinates for each sample. The actual location on the seafloor at which the sample was taken may have differed from the GPS coordinates by a few meters.

Upon retrieval, the grab sampler was examined for sample acceptability. A sample was deemed acceptable if:

- Sample was more than 50% full;
- Sample was not over penetrated (i.e., not full to the top); and
- Surface structures were undisturbed and even (i.e., not slumped).

If a sample did not fulfill these requirements, the entire contents were returned to the water and another sample attempt was made. If three failed sample attempts occurred at one station, sampling moved on to the next station (no more than two fails occurred in any one sampling station). The results of each attempted grab were recorded in field notes.

Once an acceptable sample was obtained, the following steps were taken:

- 1. Overlying water was drained using a siphon;
- 2. A photograph was taken of the sample next to an identification label containing sample identification number and a plastic ruler inserted to record sample depth;
- 3. Field notes included descriptions of physical features (apparent redox potential discontinuity depth, depth of penetration, sediment color, texture, odor, surface features) and surface macrofauna (e.g. longfin squid), which were then returned to the water.

The grab sample was then divided in two sections using a plexiglass divider. One half of the sample was processed for physical analysis (sediment grain size and total organic carbon [TOC]). For the TOC and grain size analysis, almost the entire top 2 cm of sediment on one half of the sample were collected using a stainless-steel spoon and placed in glass jars; this was approximately 150 milliliters (ml) of sediment for

the grain size sample and 200 ml for the TOC sample. The grain size and TOC samples were stored on ice and sent to Eurofin Test America lab (5575 8<sup>th</sup> St E Tacoma, WA) for initial processing then to Particle Technology Labs (555 Rogers Street, Downers Grove, IL 60515) for completion of sediment analysis.

The other half of the grab sample (i.e., on the other side of the plexiglass divider) was measured for volume and processed for biological community analysis. The sample was then loaded onto a processing table and material washed in a 0.5-mm sieve, using seawater under gentle pressure. The seawater used for sample processing was filtered through a 0.5-mm mesh to prevent planktonic organisms from mixing with the benthic samples.

Organisms, shell fragments, and other material remaining on the sieve were placed into a plastic container using stainless-steel spoon and forceps as needed. The container was filled no more than one-half to two-thirds full with sample and seawater. If the quantity of sample exceeded this volume, it was placed in a second container. The sample was fixed/preserved with 10% buffered formalin solution by filling the remaining space within the bottle with solution. Containers were tightly sealed with tape and stored in a cooler at ambient temperature (not frozen or refrigerated). Prior to sieving the next sample, the sieve was cleaned by scrubbing with a stiff brush and backwashing with pressurized water. The infaunal benthic community samples were sent to EcoAnalysts (1420 S Blaine St ste. 14 Moscow, ID 83843) for processing. Grabs from two reconnaissance sites not in IAs (SOI 7 and SOI 8) were not sampled for infauna.

### 2.2 Lab Analysis

### 2.2.1 Grain Size and TOC Analysis

Grain size and TOC samples were initially analyzed by TestAmerica using ASTM D 422-63 Standard Method for Particle-size Analysis of Soils (ASTM, 2007). The TOC content of sediment samples was analyzed using EPA Method 9060 with results reported in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg) and percent (EPA, 1986).

Laser diffraction was performed by Particle Technology Labs using the ISO 13320 Standard Method for Particle-size Analysis with a Malvern MasterSizer 3000 Laser diffractor. Data from this method was later found to align better with the Wentworth grain size scale and data were reanalyzed for the November 2021 update of this report using it instead of the TestAmerica results. Laser diffraction is a method of grain size analysis that involves passing a laser beam through sediment samples in order to accurately estimate the grain size distribution of the sediment sample. The principal theory that is used for the Malvern MasterSizer 3000 Laser Diffractor is the Mie Theory, which describes the relationship between scattered light angles and absorption to the relative volume of a particle. Particle Technology Labs then prepared a document that detailed the cumulative volume percentage of each grain size that was analyzed for each sediment sample. While the majority of the sediment samples were made up of particle sizes that the laser diffraction method could register, larger particulates that exceeded the upper limit of the MasterSizer 3000 Laser Diffractor (3.5 mm) were still present in some samples. To characterize the relative volume percentages of these larger grains, wet sieving was required. The wet sieving was also performed by Particle Technology Labs and the results were then presented as cumulative volume percentages of each grain size.

#### 2.2.2 Benthic Infauna Analysis

The benthic infauna analysis was conducted by EcoAnalysts according to the following steps:

- 1. Benthic infaunal samples were catalogued and verified against the Chain of Custody to ensure samples received match those listed in the shipment.
- 2. Samples were rinsed with freshwater to remove the formalin and transferred to 70 percent ethanol alcohol for sorting and storage.
- 3. Organisms were identified to the lowest practical taxonomic level (LPTL) (at least to Family) and counted by taxonomists using the most appropriate taxonomic references for the region (Bousfield, 1973; Cutler, 1994; Winston and Hayward, 2012).
- 4. Species classification and abundance were recorded in Project data sheets and summarized in both tabular and graphical formats.
- 5. Prior to performing the infaunal data analyses, the overall dataset was scanned for noninfaunal taxa (i.e., pelagic or planktonic organisms) that were excluded from all analyses; examples include chaetognaths, hyperiid amphipods, and decapod zoea/megalopae.
- 6. Calculations of abundance included all taxa occurring in each sample whether identified to species level or not.
- 7. Calculations based on species (diversity, evenness, and number of species) included only those taxa identified to species level.

### 2.3 Video Post-Processing

Post-processing of video data was conducted by RPS to provide:

- General characterization of substrate including bottom type, texture, micro-topography, and presence and approximate thickness (absent, light, moderate, or heavy) of sedimentation ("drape") covering hard substrates;
- Evidence of benthic activity by organisms (burrows, trails, biogenic reefs);
- Identification of epibenthic macroinvertebrates larger than 4 cm (decapod crustaceans, mollusks [including squid egg mops], echinoderms) and habitat;
- Presence/evidence and general characterization of submerged aquatic vegetation (macroalgae, sea grass);

- Identification of fish and fish habitat (where feasible) as classified by Auster (1998), which
  can provide back-compatibility with prior sampling depending on what has been previously
  done in the region and is easily applicable to Essential Fish Habitat determination;
- Identification of organisms to the lowest practical taxonomic level (at least to Family) using standard taxonomic keys for the geographic area;
- Evidence of fishing activity, such as trawl scars, pots, and working nets; and
- Presence of derelict fishing gear, military expended materials, shipwrecks, cultural artifacts, or other marine debris.

All DDV stills were classified according to CMECS (FGDC, 2012). Auster (1998) classification is also included as it is indicative of overall habitat features that can be important to fish, while CMECS focuses more closely on grain size and composition. The BOEM Benthic Habitat guidelines (BOEM, 2019) also require that the developer characterize the benthic community composition which includes documentation of abundance, diversity, percent cover, and community structure. The following were recorded when present and identifiable:

- Characterization and delineation of any submerged aquatic vegetation (seagrass or macroalgae) that occurs within the area of potential adverse effect;
- Characterization and delineation of any hard bottom gradients of low to high relief such as coral (heads/reefs), rock or clay outcroppings, or other shelter-forming features; and
- Identification of communities of sessile and slow-moving marine invertebrates (clams, quahogs, mussels, polychaete worms, anemones, sponges, echinoderms) that may be within the area of potential adverse effect.

The video data were analyzed according to the following steps:

- 1. A single still image was analyzed from each site by selecting the first clear view of the seafloor at each grab site, with camera positioned approximately 46 cm above the seafloor.
- 2. The visible area of each still image was defined, measured, and reviewed for evidence of benthic species and activity, submerged aquatic vegetation (macroalgae, sea grass), fishing activity, derelict gear, military expended materials, shipwrecks, and other marine debris and presence/absence of these features were noted. In some instances of poor visibility, video was used in addition to still images to determine presence absence.
- Selected stills were broadly characterized by texture, microtopography, presence/thickness of sedimentation over hard substrates (i.e., "drape"), and presence of coral heads/reefs, rock outcroppings, other shelter features, or Essential Fish Habitat for NMFS-designated species in the region.
- 4. The biological component was defined to furthest extent possible for each station when analyzed in conjunction with the grain size and benthic community results according to the CMECS (FGDC, 2012).

### 2.4 Benthic Community Data Post-Processing

The benthic community analysis was based on the benthic macroinfauna laboratory data from Ecoanalysts. Macroinfauna community statistics were calculated using species and abundance estimates in each sample, which were reported as count per 0.05 m<sup>2</sup> grab sample (i.e., half of the grab sample processed for biological analysis). Community composition parameters included: total abundance, number of phyla, number of taxa, Margalef's Richness Index, Shannon Diversity Index, and Pielou's Index of Evenness for each station and within each IA.

#### 2.4.1 Taxonomic Composition

Taxa composition was assessed to characterize the high-level trends in taxa data. Taxa composition includes the relative proportions of taxonomic groups by number of identifiable taxa and number of individuals, used to evaluate dominance of common phyla across all samples. Taxa composition was summarized for both individual samples and across the four IAs.

#### 2.4.2 Richness, Diversity, and Evenness

Species richness, evenness, and diversity are common ecological parameters used to measure the overall biodiversity of a community or discrete unit. Species richness is the number of unique species or taxonomic groups represented in an area of interest. In this assessment, species richness was calculated using Margalef's Richness Index (Formula 1) for each station and IA to acquire individual and average richness indices.

Formula 1. Margalef's Richness Index (RI).

$$RI = \frac{(S-1)}{\ln(n)}$$

Where:

S= the number of species

n= the total number of individuals in the sample

#### Interpretation: The higher the index, the greater the species richness.

The diversity index for a community considers species richness and the proportion of each unique species. The Shannon Diversity Index (H'; Formula 2) was calculated using the number of each species, the proportion of each species relative to the total number of individuals, and the sum of the proportions. This index was used to assess diversity of each station and IA. The diversity index (H') increases with increasing species richness and evenness.

Formula 2. H'- Shannon Diversity Index.

$$H' = -\sum_{i=1}^{R} p_i \ln(p_i)$$

Where:

 $p_i$  is the proportion of individuals belonging to the with species in the dataset of interest Interpretation: The greater the H', the greater the richness and evenness.

Evenness of a community refers to the similarity in abundances of different species comprising a population or sample. Pielou's Index of Evenness includes H' (Shannon-Weiner Diversity Index) in its calculation.

Formula 3. J'- Pielou's Index of Evenness.

$$J'=\frac{H'}{H_{Max}}$$

Where:

H' is the Shannon- Weiner Diversity Index

 $H_{Max}$  is the maximum possible value of H', where each species occurs in equal abundances.

 $H_{Max} = ln(s)$ 

Where: s = Number of species

Interpretation: J' is constrained between 0 and 1. The greater the value of J', the more evenness in the sample.

## 3 **RESULTS**

All samples were collected on October 12-13, 2019. Sampling stations were located in water between 21 and 35 m deep with bottom temperatures between 18.1°C and 18.9°C (Table 1).

(INAD 03), EF 30 4209.									
IA or SOI	Station	Date	Time (EST)	Latitude	Longitude	Sonar-Based Water Depth (m)	Temp (°C)	Penetration Depth (cm)	Infaunal Sample Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )
10.1*	1	10/12/19	17:25	39° 18.603' N	74° 6.5923' W	28.5	18.87	7.2	0.0021
	2	10/12/19	17:48	39° 18.5498' N	74° 6.4631' W	28.5	18.85	8.2	0.0021
14.2	1	10/13/19	10:06	39° 33.9308' N	73° 58.1656' W	25.0	18.57	5.1	0.0015
	2	10/13/19	10:35	39° 33.9907' N	73° 58.1989' W	25.0	18.57	9.1	0.0042
14.2*	1	10/12/19	19:19	39° 17.3562' N	73° 58.5943' W	34.5	18.40	13.9	0.0036
IA 3*	2	10/12/19	20:06	39° 17.2256' N	73° 58.6107' W	33.5	18.77	7.6	0.0021
	1	10/12/19	22:35	39° 12.1396' N	74° 5.0065' W	26.0	18.75	9.0	0.0024
IA 4*	2	10/12/19	23:03	39° 12.1881' N	74° 4.7826' W	25.5	18.77	8.5	0.0024
SOI 1*	-	10/12/19	21:14	39° 14.1066' N	73° 59.616' W	26.0	18.70	7.3	0.003
SOI 2*	-	10/12/19	00:40	39° 15.4624' N	74° 12.3109' W	26.0	18.57	9.1	0.003
SOI 3*	-	10/13/19	15:19	39° 21.5007' N	74° 11.2525' W	21.0	18.85	9.5	0.002
SOI 4	-	10/13/19	13:43	39° 23.7691' N	74° 2.7639' W	26.0	18.75	9.5	0.003
SOI 5	-	10/13/19	14:43	39° 26.7895' N	74° 5.6972' W	21.0	18.40	11.5	0.003
SOI 6	-	10/13/19	12:39	39° 24.1021' N	73° 57.8008' W	28.0	18.59	9.2	0.0036
SOI 7	-	10/13/19	16:48	39° 33.8481' N	74° 2.1521' W	24.0	18.68	11.8	NA
SOI 8	-	10/13/19	18:07	39° 38.0361' N	73° 57.7192' W	26.0	18.14	8.5	NA

Table 1:Grab-sample station locations and characteristics. Coordinates are North American Datum of 1983<br/>(NAD 83), EPSG 4269.

\* denotes benthic grab stations within the WTA

| Buoy Installation Areas Terrasond - ASOW | February 14, 2020 rpsgroup.com

### 3.1 Visual Analysis

The following sections display and describe still images taken from the video camera affixed to the grab sampler (sampler visible in top of each image). Note that data overlaid on the screen may differ slightly from what is reported here due to a time lag in depth reporting (e.g., in Figure 8 the altimeter reads 1.35 m above the seafloor but the grab is resting on the seafloor). Parallel-mounted lasers visible in the center of the images are 0.184 m apart.

Still images were successfully captured and analyzed for 1 station at IA 2 (Figure 3), two stations at IA 3 (Figure 5 and Figure 6), and one station at IA 4 (Figure 8). Still images from other stations were unsuccessful due to extreme turbidity from a recent storm event but video observation during deployment provided limited coverage of the seafloor in some instances in which no notable findings were observed. All IAs appeared to have sandy bottom with varying degrees of shell debris and sand-ripple relief. SOI 8, the northernmost sample site, was the only site with clear presence of gravel. The field of view of each image was measured by calibrating images with the known distance between laser points and measuring the area of a polygon overlaid on the visible seafloor (i.e. unobstructed by grab or darkness) portion of each image. If an image did not include any visible seafloor, it was assigned a field of view of 0 cm<sup>2</sup>

#### 3.1.1 IA Sites

#### 3.1.1.1 IA 1

Two sites were sampled in IA 1, located in the WTA, with limited visual coverage due to high turbidity (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Still images were not adequate for identifying habitat types and presence of other features of interest (i.e., aquatic vegetation, evidence of fishing activity, anthropogenic debris). The equipment visible in the still images is the grab sampler to which the camera was attached. The IA 1 sample sites are the most centrally located within the Lease Area, in block 6786H (station 1) and 6787E (station 2).



Figure 2: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 1 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 3: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 1 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.1.1.2 IA 2

IA 2 is the northernmost IA site in block 6489F, relatively near SOIs 7 and 8 (Table 2, Figure 4, Figure 5).

Site	IA 2 Station 1	IA 2 Station 2
General Characterization	Despite high turbidity, some sparse shell hash was observed on light- colored sand. Video analysis aided in determining presence/absence of features.	Despite high turbidity, some sparse shell hash was observed on light-colored sand. Video analysis aided in determining presence/absence of features.
Field of View	2,445 cm <sup>2</sup>	2,223 cm <sup>2</sup>
Biotic Benthic Activity	None detected	None detected
Epibenthic Macroinvertebrates and Fishes	None detected	None detected
Macroinvertebrate and Fish Habitat	Flat Sand	Shell Aggregate
Aquatic Vegetation	None detected	None detected
Evidence of Fishing Activity	None detected	None detected
Anthropogenic Debris	None detected	None detected

Table 2: Visual characterization of still images associated with two stations at IA 2.



Figure 4: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 2 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 2,445 cm<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 5: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 2 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 2,223 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.1.1.3 IA 3

IA 3 is located along the southeast edge of the WTA in block 6789N of the Lease Area, nearest SOI 1 (Table 3, Figure 6, Figure 7).

Site	IA 3 Station 1	IA 3 Station 2
General Characterization	Sandy with trace shell debris and presence of sand dollars, possible small sand waves/ripples	Sandy with sparse shell debris and presence of sand dollars, possible small sand waves/ripples
Field of View	2,726 cm <sup>2</sup>	2,229 cm <sup>2</sup>
Biotic Benthic Activity	None Detected	None Detected
Epibenthic Macroinvertebrates and Fishes	Sand Dollars	Sand Dollars
Macroinvertebrate and Fish Habitat	None Detected	None Detected
Aquatic Vegetation	None Detected	None Detected
Evidence of Fishing Activity	None Detected	None Detected
Anthropogenic Debris	None Detected	None Detected

Table 3: Visual characterization of still images associated with two stations at IA 3.



Figure 6: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 3 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 2,726 cm<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 7: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 3 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 2,229 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.1.1.4 IA 4

The first station sampled in IA 4 had limited visual coverage due to high turbidity. Still images were not adequate for identifying habitat types and presence of other objects of interest. IA 4 is the southernmost sample located in the WTA with stations in block 6887N (station 1) and 6887O (station 2) of the Lease Area (Table 4, Figure 8, Figure 9).

#### Table 4: Visual characterization of still images associated with two stations at IA 4.

Site	IA 4 Station 1	IA 4 Station 2
General Characterization	NA	Sandy with sparse shell debris and presence of sand dollars, possible small sand waves/ripples
Field of View	0 cm <sup>2</sup>	2,563 cm <sup>2</sup>
Biotic Benthic Activity	NA	None Detected
Epibenthic Macroinvertebrates and Fishes	NA	Sand dollars
Macroinvertebrate and Fish Habitat	NA	None Detected
Aquatic Vegetation	NA	None Detected
Evidence of Fishing Activity	NA	None Detected



Figure 8: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 1 at IA 4 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 9: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station 2 at IA 4 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 2,563 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.1.2 Northern SOI Sites

Imaging from site SOI 7 had limited visual coverage due to high turbidity. Still images were not adequate for identifying habitat types and presence of other features of interest. SOI 7 is located in the northern portion of the Lease Area, in block 6488J. SOI 8 is the northernmost sample site within the Lease Area, in block 6389O (Table 5, Figure 10, Figure 11).

Site	SOI 7	SOI 8
General Characterization	NA	Gravel with shell debris
Field of View	0 cm <sup>2</sup>	1,293 cm <sup>2</sup>
Biotic Benthic Activity	NA	None Detected
Epibenthic Macroinvertebrates and Fishes	NA	None Detected
Macroinvertebrate and Fish Habitat	NA	None Detected
Aquatic Vegetation	NA	None Detected
Evidence of Fishing Activity	NA	None Detected
Anthropogenic Debris	NA	None Detected

Table 5: Visual characterization of still images associated with northern stations at SOI 7 and SOI 8.



Figure 10: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 7 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 0 cm<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 11: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 8 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 1,293 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.1.3 Central SOI Sites

Imaging from site SOI 3 (located in the WTA and in block 6735G of the Lease Area), SOI 4 (block 6688E), SOI 5 (block 6637E), and SOI 6 (block 6689G) had limited visual coverage due to high turbidity. Still images were not adequate for identifying habitat types and presence of other features of interest at SOI 3, 5, or 6 but the seafloor was more visible at SOI 4; thus, only images from SOI 4 are included here (Table 6, Figure 12).

Table 6: Visual characterization of still images associated with central stations at SOI 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Site	SOI 3	SOI 4	SOI 5	SOI 6
General Characterization	NA	Sandy with trace shell debris	NA	NA
Field of View	0 cm <sup>2</sup>	1,393 cm <sup>2</sup>	0 cm <sup>2</sup>	0 cm <sup>2</sup>
Biotic Benthic Activity	NA	None Detected	NA	NA
Epibenthic Macroinvertebrates and Fishes	NA	None Detected	NA	NA
Macroinvertebrate and Fish Habitat	NA	None Detected	NA	NA
Aquatic Vegetation	NA	None Detected	NA	NA
Evidence of Fishing Activity	NA	None Detected	NA	NA
Anthropogenic Debris	NA	None Detected	NA	NA



Figure 12: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 4 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 1,393 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.1.4 Southern SOI Sites

SOI 1 and SOI 2 are in the southern portion of the Lease Area in the WTA. SOI 1 is located along the eastern edge of the Lease Area in the southern portion of block 6889A and SOI 2 is located along the western edge of the Lease Area in block 6835J (Table 7, Figure 13, Figure 14).

Table 7: Visual characterization of still images associated with southern stations at SOI 1 and SOI 2.

Site	SOI 1	SOI 2		
General Characterization	Sandy with sparse shell debris, sand ripples present	Sandy with trace shell debris and presence of sand dollars, possible small sand waves/ripples		
Field of View	2,268 cm <sup>2</sup>	2,146 cm <sup>2</sup>		
Biotic Benthic Activity	None Detected	None Detected		
Epibenthic Macroinvertebrates and Fishes	None Detected	Sand dollars		
Macroinvertebrate and Fish Habitat	None Detected	None Detected		
Aquatic Vegetation	None Detected	None Detected		
Evidence of Fishing Activity	None Detected	None Detected		
Anthropogenic Debris	None Detected	None Detected		



Figure 13: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 1 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 2,268 cm<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 14: Still image of DDV associated with benthic grab station SOI 2 taken from 0.46 m above the seafloor; field of view: 2,146 cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.2 Sediment Analyses

The following section presents grain size composition and TOC quantification results (Table 8 and Figure 15), laser diffraction (Table 9) and microscopy imaging results from the Particle Tech analyses (Appendix B). Note that the laser diffraction data from the Particle Tech analyses is presented as Dv(10), Dv(50), and Dv(90), which describe the size (volume) median that 10%, 50%, and 90% of the particles within the distribution are smaller than, while the grain size data is presented as composition percentage of each grain size through sieving.

When looking at the grain size analysis from Particle Tech, samples were generally composed of >90% sand, except for those from the northernmost sample sites in the Lease Area, SOI 7 (in block 6488J) and SOI 8 (in block 6389O), and IA 1-1 (in block 6786H), located in the WTA and central Lease Area. The sample from SOI 7 was composed of mostly fine to coarse sand (73%), while the SOI 8 sample was the most coarse, with 58% gravel (Table 8). Based on visual analysis, shell hash from multiple species including Atlantic surf clam (*Spisula solidissima*) and ocean quahog (*Arctica Islandica*) was present in many samples with densities ranging from trace to sparse. This shell hash is likely accounting for some to most of the gravel-sized grain components reported in the grain size results, as the lab analysis does not discern the difference between shell and gravel. The laser diffraction results indicate that nearly all samples were composed of mostly medium to coarse sand, except for SOI 8, where that sample was composed of mostly (>50%) gravel with a Dv (50) of 2.49 mm (Table 9).

Organic carbon content (i.e., TOC) was below the reporting limit of 2,000 mg/kg in all samples. Recent storms may have caused suspension of some organic matter into the water column, reducing TOC measures in the seabed.

IA or SOI	Station	Gravel (%)	Very Coarse/ Coarse Sand (%)	Medium Sand (%)	Fine/Very Fine Sand (%)	Mud (%)	NMFS- modified CMECS	Total Organic Carbon (mg/kg)	
10.4*	1	8	36	35	17	4	Gravelly Sand	Not Detected	
IAI	2	1	36	56	7	0	Medium Sand	Not Detected	
	1	6	50	41	3	0	Gravelly Sand	Not Detected	
IA 2	2	4	54	39	3	0	Very Coarse/ Coarse Sand	Not Detected	
14.0*	1	2	34	52	12	0	Medium Sand	Not Detected	
IA 3 <sup>°°</sup>	2	3	5	54	36	2	Medium Sand	Not Detected	
10.4*	1	1	33	59	7	0	Medium Sand	Not Detected	
IA 4	2	9	36	47	8	0	Gravelly Sand	Not Detected	
SOI 1*	-	1	41	52	6	0	Medium Sand	Not Detected	
SOI 2*	-	0	12	70	18	0	Medium Sand	Not Detected	
SOI 3*	-	1	42	51	6	0	Medium Sand	Not Detected	
SOI 4	-	0	41	54	5	0	Medium Sand	Not Detected	

Table 8: Grain size composition and total organic carbon content of grab samples

| Buoy Installation Areas Terrasond - ASOW | February 14, 2020

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

IA or SOI	Station	Gravel (%)	Very Coarse/ Coarse Sand (%)	Medium Sand (%)	Fine/Very Fine Sand (%)	Mud (%)	NMFS- modified CMECS	Total Organic Carbon (mg/kg)
SOI 5	-	1	70	28	1	0	Very Coarse/ Coarse Sand	Not Detected
SOI 6	-	1	58	39	2	0	Very Coarse/ Coarse Sand	Not Detected
SOI 7	-	27	30	36	7	0	Gravelly Sand	Not Detected
SOI 8	-	58	10	14	17	1	Sandy Gravel	Not Detected

\* denotes benthic grab stations within the WTA



Figure 15: Grain size composition at each sample station. Stations located in the WTA are denoted with an asterisk (\*).

Table 9:	Laser diffraction results showing sizes (µm) which 10% (Dv [10]), 50% (Dv [50]), and 90% (Dv [90]) of
	particles in the distribution are smaller than and the volume-weighted mean (D[4,3]).

IA or SOI	Station	Dv (10)	Dv (50)	Dv (90)	D[4,3]
14.4*	1	156	431	1480	744
IA 1"	2	267	436	760	501
	1	306537313549	1070	788	
IA 2	2	313	549	Dv (90)         D[4,3]           1480         744           760         501           1070         788           1050         748           774         624           458         537           703         479           1580         1160	
14.0*	1	240	425	774	624
IA 3 <sup>*</sup>	2	172	277	458	537
14 44	1	269	428	703	479
IA 4"	2	264	472	1580	1160

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

IA or SOI	Station	Dv (10)	Dv (50)	Dv (90)	D[4,3]
SOI 1*	-	276	462	803	528
SOI 2*	-	223	339	519	362
SOI 3*	-	278	467	840	550
SOI 4	-	284	458	758	500
SOI 5	-	375	636	1040	695
SOI 6	-	325	544	935	608
SOI 7	-	277	571	4120	1380
SOI 8	-	185	2490	7270	2740

\* denotes benthic grab stations within the WTA

### 3.3 Benthic Community Analysis

#### 3.3.1 Taxonomic Composition

Fourteen of the sixteen benthic grab samples collected in this survey were analyzed for infauna and yielded a total of 1322 individual organisms from 8 unique phyla and 62 families (or LPTL; Table 10). The phyla Annelida, Arthropoda, and Mollusca dominated the samples in both abundance and unique number of taxa, representing 90% of all organisms and 91% of all unique taxa (Figure 16).

Phyla	Abundant Taxonomic Groups (common names)	Abundance <sup>1</sup>	Number of Unique Taxa <sup>1</sup>
Annelida	Polychaete worms, oligochaete worms	535	46
Arthropoda	Amphipods, isopods, tanaids	555	26
Chordata	Lancelet	1	1
Cnidaria	Sea anemone	1	1
Echinodermata	Sand dollar	77	2
Mollusca	Bivalves, sea snails	106	18
Nematoda	Nematodes	40	1
Nemertea	Ribbon worms	7	4
Total		1322	99

Table 10: Phyla present in the fourteen benthic grab samples.



Figure 16: Proportional abundance and proportional number of unique taxa (species or LPTL) for each phylum collected in all benthic grab samples. Results presented as percentage of total.

Density within the benthic grab sites ranged from 18 organisms in IA 4 grab sample 2 to 280 organisms at SOI 2, with a mean density of 95 organisms per 0.05 m<sup>2</sup>, averaged across all samples (Table 11). Of the IAs, IA 1 and IA 4 contained the highest and lowest densities of infauna with 285 organisms and 49 organisms (total of both grabs), respectively.

IA or SOI	Station	Annelida	Arthropoda	Chordata	Cnidaria	Echinoder mata	Mollusca	Nematoda	Nemertea	Total Abundance
	1	105	66	0	0	0	20	5	1	198
IA 1*	2	19	55	0	0	4	5	2	0	87
	Average	62	61	0	0	2	13	4	Nemertea  1  0  1  0  1  0  2  1  0  0  0  0  0  1  1  0  0  0  0  1  1	141
	1	46	10	0	1	1	7	0	1	67
IA 2	2	65	22	0	0	0	11	6	0	106
	Average	56	16	0	1	1	9	3	1	85
	1	39	9	0	0	0	9	1	0	59
IA 3*	2	32	50	0	0	22	1	1	2	110
	Average	36	30	0	0	11	5	1	1	83
	1	6	7	0	0	2	11	4	0	31
IA 4*	2	7	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	18
	Average	7	8	0	0	1	6	2	0	23
SOI 1*	-	10	12	0	0	5	7	2	1	37

Table 11:Density (# of individuals per 0.05 m²) of each Phylum present within each grab sample and the mean<br/>density for IA grab sample stations.

| Buoy Installation Areas Terrasond - ASOW | February 14, 2020

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

SOI 2*	-	59	209	1	0	5	3	2	1	280
SOI 3*	-	82	9	0	0	0	4	4	0	99
SOI 4	-	7	76	0	0	29	3	4	0	119
SOI 5	-	27	4	0	0	5	9	4	0	49
SOI 6	-	31	18	0	0	4	15	5	1	74

\* denotes benthic grab stations within the WTA

Phyla

#### 3.3.1.1 IA 1

Organisms collected in IA 1 (located in WTA) were classified into 6 phyla and 49 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 12). The infaunal community recorded from the grabs in IA 1 were dominated by amphipods, polychaete worms, and tanaids. Species of direct economic importance identified in IA 1 included Atlantic rock crab (*Cancer irroratus*) and Atlantic surf clam (*Spisula solidissima*).

Annelida Polygordius jouinae 13 Dipolydora socialis 10 Marenzelleria viridis 9 Goniadella gracilis 8 Cirratulidae 3 Nephtyidae 3 3 Scoletoma fragilis Ampharete oculata 2 Exogone hebes 2 Neanthes acuminata Complex 2 Protodorvillea kefersteini 2 Aphroditella hastata 1 Cabira incerta 1 Lepidonotus sublevis 1 Magelona papillicornis 1 Magelona rosea 1 Nephtys picta 1 Oligochaeta 1 Paradoneis lyra 1 Phyllodoce mucosa 1 Prionospio sp. 1 Sphaerodoropsis corrugata 1 Sthenelais boa 1 Tharyx sp. A sensu MWRA 2007 1 TOTAL 70 Arthropoda Pseudunciola obliquua 16 Unciola irrorata 14 Ampelisca vadorum 10 Ampelisca verrilli 6 Tanaissus psammophilus 5 Monocorophium acherusicum 4

Table 12: Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTLs) collected at the two grab stations (data combined) in IA 1.

Density (# / 0.05 m<sup>2</sup>)

Taxa (LPTL)

| Buoy Installation Areas Terrasond - ASOW | February 14, 2020

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
	Phoxocephalus holbolli	2
	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	2
	Cancer irroratus	1
	Chiridotea tuftsii	1
	Edotea triloba	1
	Eobrolgus spinosus	1
	Pagurus arcuatus	1
	TOTAL	64
Echinodermata	Echinarachnius parma	1
	Echinoidea	1
	TOTAL	2
Mollusca	Tritia trivittata	7
	Angulus versicolor	2
	Astyris lunata	1
	Bivalvia	1
	Cyclocardia borealis	1
	Periploma leanum	1
	Spisula solidissima	1
	Tellinidae	1
	TOTAL	15
Nematoda	Nematoda	4
Nemertea	Palaeonemertea	1
TOTAL	DENSITY OF ALL PHYLA	156

#### 3.3.1.2 IA 2

Organisms collected in IA 2 were classified into 7 phyla and 28 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 13). The infaunal community was dominated by polychaete worms, oligochaete worms, tanaids, and bivalves. One species of direct economic importance, Atlantic surf clam (*Spisula solidissima*), was identified in IA 2.

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Annelida	Polygordius jouinae	33
	Oligochaeta	17
	Dispio uncinata	2
	Cirratulidae	
	Eulalia bilineata	
	Goniadella gracilis	
	Marenzelleria viridis	
	Nephtys picta	ŕ
	Sigalion arenicola	1
	Sphaerodoropsis corrugata	1
	TOTAL	59
Arthropoda	Tanaissus psammophilus	12
	Unciola irrorata	1
	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	ŕ
	Pseudunciola obliquua	
	Pseudoleptocuma minus	ŕ
	Politolana polita	1
	Chiridotea arenicola	ŕ
	Ampelisca verrilli	ŕ
	TOTAL	19
Cnidaria	Edwardsia elegans	ŕ
Echinodermata	Echinarachnius parma	ŕ
Mollusca	Periploma leanum	Ę
	Astarte castanea	2
	Spisula solidissima	2
	Angulus tenellus	
	Angulus versicolor	1
	Crenella sp.	1
	TOTAL	14
Nematoda	Nematoda	;
Nemertea	Tubulanus pellucidus	
		90

 Table 13:
 Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the two grab stations (data combined) in IA 2.

#### 3.3.1.3 IA 3

Organisms collected in IA 3 (located in WTA) were classified into 6 phyla and 44 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 14). The infaunal community recorded from the grabs in IA 3 were dominated by ostracods, polychaete worms, and common sand dollars (*Echinarachnius parma*). A single species of direct economic importance, Atlantic surf clam (*Spisula solidissima*), was identified in IA 3.

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Annelida	Polygordius jouinae	13
	Ampharete oculata	3
	Aricidea (Aricidea) wassi	3
	Scoletoma fragilis	3
	Sthenelais sp.	3
	Onuphis eremita	2
	Ampharete acutifrons	1
	Caulleriella venefica	1
	Cirratulidae	1
	Cirrophorus furcatus	1
	Clymenella mucosa	1
	Dipolydora socialis	1
	Goniadella gracilis	1
	Harmothoe extenuata	1
	Magelona papillicornis	1
	Nephtyidae	1
	Nephtys picta	,
	Oligochaeta	,
	Pherusa affinis	1
	Phyllodoce mucosa	1
	Sthenelais limicola	1
	TOTAL	42
Arthropoda	Ostracoda	14
	Edotea triloba	2
	Ampelisca verrilli	2
	Pagurus arcuatus	2
	Pseudunciola obliquua	2
	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	2
	Unciola irrorata	2

Table 44.	Density of each physics	and taxa (LDTL)	\	ab stations /	لامم منامه مم معاماً	
Table 14	Density of each onvia		) collected at the two of	an stations (	data combined	) IN IA .3
	Bonony of odon priyid		, oonooloa al ino ino gi	ab olalionio (	aata oomonioa	,
Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )				
--------------	---------------------------	------------------------------------	--			
	Americhelidium americanum	1				
	Jassa falcata	1				
	Oxyurostylis smithi	1				
	Tanaissus psammophilus	1				
	TOTAL	32				
Echinodermat	a Echinarachnius parma	9				
	Echinoidea	3				
	TOTAL	12				
Mollusca	Angulus versicolor	2				
	Crepidula fornicata	1				
	Crepidula plana	1				
	Pandora inornata	1				
	Pleurobranchaea tarda	1				
	Spisula solidissima	1				
	Tritia trivittata	1				
	TOTAL	8				
Nematoda	Nematoda	1				
Nemertea	Carinoma mutabilis	1				
	Tubulanus pellucidus	1				
	TOTAL	2				
TOTAL D	ENSITY OF ALL PHYLA	97				

### 3.3.1.4 IA 4

Organisms collected in IA 4 (located in WTA) were classified into 5 phyla and 19 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 15). Overall density of infauna was relatively low compared to other IAs. The infaunal community recorded from the grabs in IA 4 was not dominated by any one species, but polychaete worms, tanaids, and a few species of bivalves were most abundant. Species of direct economic importance identified in IA 4 included Atlantic rock crab (*Cancer irroratus*) and Atlantic surf clam (*Spisula solidissima*).

Table 15:	Density of each phyla and taxa	(LPTL) collected at the two gra	ab stations (data combined) in IA 4.
-----------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------------------

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m²)
Annelida	Polygordius jouinae	3
	Nephtyidae	2
	Ampharete oculata	1
	Hemipodia simplex	1
	Sigalion arenicola	1
	TOTAL	8

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Arthropoda	Tanaissus psammophilus	4
	Pagurus sp.	2
	Bathyporeia quoddyensis	1
	Cancer irroratus	1
	Jassa falcata	1
	Parahaustorius attenuatus	1
	Unciola irrorata	1
	TOTAL	11
Echinodermata	a Echinoidea	1
Mollusca	Angulus tenellus	3
	Spisula solidissima	2
	Astarte sp.	1
	Crenella sp.	1
	Tritia trivittata	1
	TOTAL	9
Nematoda	Nematoda	2
TOTAL DE	ENSITY OF ALL PHYLA	30

## 3.3.1.5 SOI 1

Organisms collected in SOI 1 (located in WTA) were classified into 6 phyla and 17 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 16).

Table 16: Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 1.

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Annelida	Exogone hebes	3
	Polygordius jouinae	3
	Eulalia bilineata	1
	Leitoscoloplos robustus	1
	Magelona papillicornis	1
	Onuphis eremita	1
	TOTAL	10
Arthropoda	Tanaissus psammophilus	7
	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	3
	Protohaustorius deichmannae	1
	Unciola irrorata	1
	TOTAL	12
Echinodermata	aEchinoidea	3

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
	Echinarachnius parma	2
	TOTAL	5
Mollusca	Angulus tenellus	3
	Astarte castanea	2
	Periploma leanum	2
	TOTAL	7
Nematoda	Nematoda	2
Nemertea	Carinoma mutabilis	1
TOTAL	DENSITY OF ALL PHYLA	37

## 3.3.1.6 SOI 2

Organisms collected in SOI 2 (located in WTA) were classified into 7 phyla and 30 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 17).

Table 17:	Densitv of ea	ch phyla and taxa	(LPTL) collected	at the grab station at	SOI 2
10010 111	Donony or ou	in prigia ana lana		at the grab olation at	0012

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Annelida	Magelona papillicornis	22
	Cirrophorus furcatus	5
	Polygordius jouinae	5
	Caulleriella venefica	3
	Cirratulidae	3
	Exogone hebes	3
	Nephtyidae	3
	Oligochaeta	3
	Aricidea (Aricidea) wassi	2
	Aricidea sp.	2
	Tharyx sp. A sensu MWRA 2007	2
	Nephtys picta	1
	Onuphis eremita	1
	Sigalion arenicola	1
	Spiochaetopterus oculatus	1
	Spiophanes bombyx Complex	1
	Streptosyllis arenae	1
	TOTAL	59
Arthropoda	Pseudunciola obliquua	157
	Ampelisca verrilli	32
	Tanaissus psammophilus	15

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
	Ostracoda	3
	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	2
	TOTAL	209
Chordata	Branchiostoma virginiae	1
Echinodermat	a Echinarachnius parma	4
	Echinoidea	1
	TOTAL	6
Mollusca	Nucula proxima	1
	Tellinidae	1
	Tritia trivittata	1
	TOTAL	3
Nematoda	Nematoda	2
Nemertea	Lineidae	1
DE	NSITY OF ALL PHYLA	280

### 3.3.1.7 SOI 3

Organisms collected in SOI 3 (located in WTA) were classified into 4 phyla and 13 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 18).

 Table 18:
 Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 3.

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Annelida	Polygordius jouinae	65
	Oligochaeta	6
	Hemipodia simplex	4
	Parapionosyllis longicirrata	3
	Exogone hebes	1
	Lumbrinerides acuta	1
	Sigalion arenicola	1
	Streptosyllis arenae	1
	TOTAL	82
Arthropoda	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	4
	Pseudunciola obliquua	3
	Tanaissus psammophilus	2
	TOTAL	9
Mollusca	Angulus tenellus	4
Nematoda	Nematoda	4
DENS	BITY OF ALL PHYLA	99

### 3.3.1.8 SOI 4

Organisms collected in SOI 4 were classified into 5 phyla and 19 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 19).

Table 19: Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 4.

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Annelida	Sigalion arenicola	2
	Aricidea (Aricidea) wassi	1
	Caulleriella venefica	1
	Magelona papillicornis	1
	Nephtyidae	1
	Oligochaeta	1
	TOTAL	7
Arthropoda	Pseudunciola obliquua	35
	Tanaissus psammophilus	32
	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	4
	Americhelidium americanum	1
	Bathyporeia quoddyensis	1
	Oxyurostylis smithi	1
	Protohaustorius deichmannae	1
	Ptilanthura tenuis	1
	TOTAL	76
Echinodermat	a Echinoidea	26
	Echinarachnius parma	3
	TOTAL	29
Mollusca	Tritia trivittata	2
	Periploma sp.	1
	TOTAL	3
Nematoda	Nematoda	4
DEN	ISITY OF ALL PHYLA	119

## 3.3.1.9 SOI 5

Organisms collected in SOI 5 were classified into 5 phyla and 18 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 20).

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )
Annelida	Polygordius jouinae	10
	Sigalion arenicola	7
	Travisia forbesii	3
	Dipolydora socialis	2
	Dispio uncinata	1
	Eulalia bilineata	1
	Hemipodia simplex	1
	Nephtys picta	1
	Sphaerodoropsis corrugata	1
	TOTAL	27
Arthropoda	Bathyporeia quoddyensis	2
	Oxyurostylis smithi	1
	Protohaustorius wigleyi	1
	TOTAL	4
Echinodermat	a Echinoidea	3
	Echinarachnius parma	2
	TOTAL	5
Mollusca	Periploma sp.	4
	Astarte castanea	3
	Spisula solidissima	2
	TOTAL	9
Nematoda	Nematoda	4
DENS	SITY OF ALL PHYLA	49

Table 20: Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 5.

## 3.3.1.10 SOI 6

Organisms collected in SOI 6 were classified into 6 phyla and 19 different taxa (LPTLs) (Table 21).

Phyla	Taxa (LPTL)	Density (# / 0.05 m <sup>2</sup>
Annelida	Hemipodia simplex	
	Goniadella gracilis	
	Polygordius jouinae	:
	Dipolydora socialis	:
	Nephtys picta	:
	Caulleriella venefica	
	Dispio uncinata	
	Lumbrinerides acuta	
	Sigalion arenicola	
	Spiophanes bombyx Complex	
	TOTAL	3
Arthropoda	Tanaissus psammophilus	10
	Americhelidium americanum	
	Rhepoxynius hudsoni	
	TOTAL	18
Echinodermata	Echinoidea	
Mollusca	Periploma sp.	
	Angulus tenellus	
	Spisula solidissima	:
	TOTAL	19
Nematoda	Nematoda	
Nemertea	Tubulanus pellucidus	
DEN	SITY OF ALL PHYLA	74

 Table 21:
 Density of each phyla and taxa (LPTL) collected at the grab station at SOI 6.

## 3.3.2 Richness, Diversity, and Evenness

Taxonomic richness ranged from 2.18 at SOI 3 to 5.77 at station 2 in IA 3 with a mean richness of 3.96 overall (Table 22). Diversity was consistent between grab stations ranging from 1.39 at SOI 3 to 2.83 at station 2 of IA 3. Evenness ranged from 0.55 at station 1 in IA 2 to 0.94 at station 1 in IA 4. While considering both grabs from each IA, IA 3 had the highest infaunal richness, IA 1 had the most infaunal diversity, and IA 4 had the most even infaunal species composition according to the ecological indices (Figure 17).

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

Table 22:Community composition parameters calculated for each grab sample station and for each IA.<br/>Combined values for individual stations within the four IAs were either averaged  $(\bar{x})$  or summed  $(\Sigma)$ <br/>depending on parameter.

14	Station	Density (# of individuals	# of Unique	I	Ecological Indices	S
	Station	per 0.05 m <sup>2</sup> )	Таха	Richness	Diversity	Evenness
	1	197	32	5.30	2.70	0.80
IA 1*	2	85	22	4.05	2.30	0.78
	Combined	x = 141	∑ = 49	x = 4.68	x = 2.50	x = 0.79
	1	66	16	3.10	1.45	0.55
IA 2	2	104	17	3.45	2.03	0.72
	Combined	x = 85	∑ = 28	x̄ = 3.28	x = 1.74	x̄ = 0.63
	1	58	19	4.19	2.08	0.72
IA 3*	2	108	31	5.77	2.83	0.75
	Combined	x = 83	∑ = 44	x = 4.98	x = 2.45	x = 0.78
	1	30	16	4.41	2.61	0.94
IA 4*	2	16	9	2.89	1.92	0.88
	Combined	x = 23	∑ = 19	x = 3.65	x = 2.27	x = 0.91
SOI 1*	-	37	17	4.43	2.65	0.93
SOI 2*	-	280	30	4.08	1.76	0.56
SOI 3*	-	99	13	2.18	1.39	0.58
SOI 4	-	119	19	3.77	1.95	0.66
SOI 5	-	49	18	4.11	2.56	0.90
SOI 6	-	74	19	3.72	2.47	0.87

\* denotes benthic grab stations within the WTA



Diversity



Figure 17: Richness (top) Diversity (middle) and Evenness (bottom) index values for each IA and SOI. Stations located in the WTA are denoted with an asterisk (\*).

# 4 CMECS CLASSIFICATIONS

We applied NMFS-modified CMECS (2021) substrate component classifications to each grab site using a combination of the visual observations of the grab samples after they were brought aboard (Table 23) and the grain size composition from the sediment analysis (Section 3.2). Results are presented as a hierarchy in Table 24. Only one sample was classified in the Gravel Mixes substrate group ( $\geq$  30% gravel): SOI 8 was classified as sandy gravel with 58% gravel and 41% sand composition. Samples IA 1: S1 (located in WTA), IA 2: S1, IA 4: S2 (located in WTA), and SOI 7 were classified as gravelly sand ( $\geq$  5% gravel) with either trace or sparse clam hash, and some worm hash also in IA 1: S1. These four gravelly sand and

one gravel mix sample are considered complex habitat due to  $\geq$  5% gravel content, with gravel defined as particles  $\geq$  2 mm.

Three samples were classified as very coarse/coarse sand, with IA 2: S2 also containing sparse clam hash while SOI 5 and SOI 6 contained trace clam hash. The remaining eight samples were classified as medium sand (Figure 18). Thus over 68% of all samples (11 of 16) and 78% of WTA samples (7 of 9) collected in the Lease Area were classified as soft bottom habitat (< 5% gravel cover). No large boulders or sensitive emergent epifauna were sampled.



Figure 18: Substrate CMECS classifications by sample site. Note that "B" delineates buoy IAs (e.g., B3-2 is IA site 3 station 2) and "S" delineates SOIs.

IA or SOI	Station 1	Station 2
IA 1*	Figure 10 and 20	Medium Sand
IA 2	Reser	- 2020 CE
	Gravelly Sand & Trace Clam Hash	Very Coarse/Coarse Sand & Sparse Clam Hash
IA 3*	Medium Sand & Trace Clam Hash	Medium Sand & Trace Clam Hash
IA 4*	Medium Sand & Sparse Clam Hash	Gravelly Sand & Sparse Clam Hash
SOI 1* / SOI 2*	Medium Sand & Sparse Clam Hash	Medium Sand & Trace Clam Hash

 Table 23:
 Images of grab samples prior to processing, along with CMECS classifications (Substrate & Biological modifier).

IA or SOI	Station 1	Station 2
SOI 3* / SOI 4	Medium Sand & Sparse Clam Hash	Medium Sand & Trace Clam Hash
SOI 5 / SOI 6	Very Coarse/Coarse Sand & Trace Clam Hash	Very Coarse/Coarse Sand & Trace Clam Hash
SOI 7 / SOI 8	Gravelly Sand & Sparse Clam Hash	Fandy Gravel & Sparse Clam Hash

\* denotes benthic grab stations within the WTA

#### ASOW BENTHIC ASSESSMENT REPORT

 Table 24:
 CMECS hierarchical classification of substrates collected at each station (S) in each IA. Stations located in the WTA are denoted with an asterisk (\*).



# 5 **REFERENCES**

- ASTM D422-63 (2007) e2, Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils (Withdrawn 2016). ASTM International. West Conshohocken, PA, 2007. www.astm.org.
- Auster, P.J. 1998. A conceptual model of the impacts of fishing gear on the integrity of fish habitats. Conservation Biology. 12(6):1198-1203.
- Bousfield, E.L. 1973. Shallow-Water Gammaridean Amphipoda of New England. Cornell University Press, xii + 312pp.
- Cutler, E.B. 1994. The Sipuncula: Their Systematics, Biology, and Evolution. Cornell University Press, xvii + 453pp.
- Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM). 2019. Guidelines for Providing Benthic Habitat Survey Information for Renewable Energy Development on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf Pursuant to 30 CFR Part 585. June 2019. BOEM Office of Renewable Energy Programs, US Department of the Interior. 9pp.
- Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC). 2012. Coastal and Marine Ecological Classification Standard, June 2012. FGDC-STD-018-2012. 353pp.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2021. Recommendations for Mapping Fish Habitat. NMFS GARFO Habitat Conservation and Ecosystem Services Division. March 2021. 22 pp.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1986. Method 9060 Total Organic Carbon. SW-846: Hazardous Waste Test Methods, Ch 5.
- Winston, J.E. and P.J Hayward. 2012. *The Marine Bryozoans of the Northeast Coast of the United States: Maine to Virginia*. Virginia Museum of Natural History Memoir 11, xii + 180pp.

# APPENDIX A FIELD DATASHEETS

date: 10 / 12/2019 cruise: Atlantic Shores	s samplers: JZ
station: RICC Int: 79 NECCT	start time: JE JE IEV file name:
denth: 21.44 long: 12.3515	end time: 15 19 20
YSI depth: 9.751 YSI temp: 14.9	YSI pH: 9.1
rock inconsolidated mineral algal coral organic ooze shell worm	flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs
comments:	
sund them small and tragment	
	<u>^</u>
Grab Failed Y /	ull V N   Undisturbed Surface V N
Pen. depth: 15mm TOC/bio/sed ID# RL653	bio volume (inches high) 175
Sediment characterization: Sandy	
station: MBAIGS lat: 3918,603	start time: file name:
depth: 385 m long: 74 6.5433	end time: 17:25 7.87 mg/L
YSI depth: Solft YSI temp: 1893	YSI pH: 8.9
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand) sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs
comments: CPS Ful for frequebile	
OID TELC THE TO TOTAL	
Grab Failed Y / 🛛   >50% Full (Y / N   <100% F	ul(Y// N   Undisturbed Surface(Y/ N
Pen. depth: 72 mm TOC/bio/sed ID# MBA 65	bio volume (inches high) 1.75
Sediment characterization:	
station: MBA1652 lat: 39 18,5498	start time: 17.4702 file name:
depth: 7-8.7 long: 74 06.4631	end time: 17: 48: 10
YSI depth: 12:13 YSI temp: 18:992	YSI pH: 8.91 TID Tmg/L
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs
comments:	
Grab Failed Y /(N/   >50% Full (Y/ N   <100% F	ull V/N   Undisturbed Surface Y/N
Pen. depth: 82 mm TOC/bio/sed ID#	bio volume (inches high) 1.75
Sediment characterization:	
11 10 10 10 10 10 00	start times 10 a 10 file name:
station: MBH 3651 lat: 39 11, 356	start time: 19,865 nie name:
depth: 34/ long: 73 38.5144	end time: Mild 10 7.89 mg/L
YSI depth: W.g. YSI temp: W. H	
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	nat sano ano muo, sano waves, biogenic xiures, snell aggreg
gravei, gravei mix, graveily, sligntly gravelly, sang sandy mud, mud	I pennie-connie, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bouiders, piled bidrs
Some shell hash, a scal	lop specie
Grab Eailed Y (A) 1 50% Full Y / N 1 <100% F	Full Y / N I Undisturbed Surface Y / N
Pen depth: 29 TOC/bio/sed ID#	hio volume (inches high) 7
Sediment characterization:	

date: 40 / 12/2019 cruise: Atlantic Shore	S	samplers:	Feline
station: ALDAD/() Lat	at a state of the second	10.10	<u>Classical Anno 1997</u>
station: ///KA 3676 lat:	start time:	14,46	file name:
	end time:	19.99	7,05,0/1
YSi depth. 18 112 YSi temp: 18617	YSI pH:	8.44	in smjec
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and n	nud, sand waves, bloge	enic xtures, shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble	e, p-c w sponge, buried	disp boulders, piled bldrs
didn't close			
Grab Failer Y/N I >50% Full Y/N I <100% F	-ull Y / N	Undisturbed Sur	face Y / N
Pen. depth: TOC/bio/sed ID#		bio volume (inche	s high)
Sediment characterization:			e
station: MR43662 lat: 39 17, 2166	start time:	301.06.31	file name:
depth: 22 5 long: - 54 407	end time:	00105.11	
YSI depth: 12,9741 YSI temp: 16,697	YSI pH:	00.00	7.85 00/6
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell worm	flat sand and n	nud sand waves bloge	nic xtures shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble	e. p-cwsponge, buried	/disp boulders, piled bldrs
comments:			alop boardoro, priod blaro
rand dollars + Longfin inshall	Squid Cpi	ncio-tuclease)	
Grob Foiled V (N I SEO% Full V/ N I <100%		Undicturbed Cur	
Pan dopth: 76 TOC/bio/and ID#		bio volumo (incho	a bigh)
Sediment characterization:		bio volume (inche	s right) 1, 75
Gediment characterization.			
station: RI LCI lat: 29 IH 1061	start time:	2112	file name:
denth: 70 long: 73 59,6160	end time:	21/14/16	nie name.
VSI depth: 14.06 VSI temp: 16.749	VSI nH	0.96	7. Charles
rock unconsolidated mineral algal coral organic poze shell worm	flat sand and n	aud sand waves blogs	nic stures, shell accrog
gravel gravel mix gravelly slightly gravelly shad sandy mud mud		nuu, sanu waves, bioge	I/disp bouldors, pilod bldrs
comments:	pennie-conni	e, p-c w sponge, bulled	raisp boulders, piled blurs
tailed 1" altempt, relovated			
<b>Grab</b> Failed Y / $\hat{N}$   >50% Full $\hat{Y}$ / N   <100% F		Undisturbed Sur	face V/N
Pen, depth: 73 TOC/bio/sed ID#		bio volume (inche	s high) $\rightarrow 5^{\prime\prime}$
Sediment characterization:			orngin dero
		- 1440 - 0 0	
station: MBA4651 lat: 34 12.1396	start time:	2205	file name:
depth: >( long: 74 5+0%5	end time:	24:35	Gran I
YSI depth: 16 64 YSI temp: 14, 203	YSI nH:	Qay	8 Sing/L
rock unconsolidated mineral algal coral organic poze shell worm	flat sand and n	nud sand waves biog	enic xtures, shell aggreg
gravel gravel mix gravely slightly gravely sand sandy mud mud	nebble-cobbl	e n-c w snonge burier	I/disp boulders piled bldrs
comments: Some skell hash, some sand	dolla.	5	
Grab Failed Y / N   >50% Full Y N   <100% I		Undisturbed Sur	face Y/N
Pen. depth: 9() TOC/bio/sed ID#		bio volume (inche	s high)
Sediment characterization:			2
		an a	2000 2003 attacks to a

2

date: 0 / 1)/20	19 cruise: Atlantic Shor	es	samplers: TZ / Elisa	
			a contrager	
station: MBA 4	652 lat: 39 12.1881	start time:	file name:	
depth: 25.5	long: 74 4.78%	end time:	33:03	
YSI depth: 14.6	YSI temp: 18,209	YSI pH:	8.96 8.02 %	~
rock, unconsolidated m	ineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and n	nud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell ag	ggreg
gravel, gravel mix, grave	lly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble	e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, pile	ed bldrs
comments:	Some shell hash			
Crob Foiled V	(A) I 50% EULQ/N I <100%	EUN / N I	Undisturbed Surface(V)/ N	
Grad Falled T	TOC/bio/ood ID#		bio volume (inches bigh)	
Pen. deptn. 75	insting:		bio volume (increasingit)	
Sediment character				
	1-1	etert time:	00 ' 2 Ø filo namo:	
station: KL600	- lat: 59 15.16m	start time.	co liko	
depth: 00	long: 74 14, 3107	end time:		11.
YSI depth: 1, 7 rr	YSI temp: 19.351	YSIPH:	45.M 7. 01 mig 1	5
rock, unconsolidated m	nineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and n	nud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell a	ggreg
gravel, gravel mix, grave	illy, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobbl	e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, pile	ed bldrs
comments: Sand	dollars			
		$\sim$	()	
Grab Failed Y	′ /Ŋ   >50% FullY / N   <100%	Full(Y) N	Undisturbed Surface Y / N	
Pen. depth: 9	TOC/bio/sed ID#		bio volume (inches high) 7,5	5
Sediment character	ization:		<u> </u>	
		t the states		
station:	lat:	start time:	file name:	
depth:	long:	end time:		
YSI depth:	YSI temp:	YSI pH:		
rock, unconsolidated n	nineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and r	mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell a	iggreg
gravel, gravel mix, grave	elly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobbl	le, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, pil	ed bldrs
comments:				
Grab Failed	//N   >50% Full Y / N   <100%	Full Y / N	Undisturbed Surface Y / N	
Pen, depth:	TOC/bio/sed ID#		bio volume (inches high)	
Sediment character	rization:			
station:	lat:	start time	: file name:	
depth:	lona:	end time		
YSI depth:	YSI temp:	YSI pH		
rock unconsolidated r	nineral algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and	mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell a	aggreg
gravel gravel mix grave	elly slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobb	le, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, pil	led bldrs
comments:				
Grab Eailad	V / N   >50% Eull V / N   ~100%		Undisturbed Surface V / N	
	TOC/bio/god $D^{\#}$		bio volume (inches high)	
Fen. ueptin.	rizotion:			
Isediment characte	nzation.			

3

date: 10 / 13 / 2019 cruise: Atlantic Shores	samplers: JZ, Tć l.	pc
station: M13A2651 lat: 39,33,930% depth: 25 long: 73,58,1656	start time: 1010 file end time: 10,06	name:
YSI depth: 3.4 YSI temp: 18,462-	YSI pH: 8,78 7,8	6mg/L
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtur	es, shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bo	oulders, piled bldrs
comments. Some grouel, Isand Jollan		
Grab Failed Y (N)   >50% Full Y/ N   <100% F	ull Y/N   Undisturbed Surface	?/N
Sediment characterization:		,
Sediment characterization.		
station: MBA 2653 lat: 39 33 9907	start time: file	name:
depth: 25 long: 73 58.1481	end time: 10: 35	67. 11
YSI depth: 5.46 YSI temp: 18.467	YSI pH: 6 90	118/mg/ 2
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtu	res, shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand) sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp b	oulders, piled bldrs
comments: Some shells, sandy		
Grab Failed Y / N   >50% Full Y / N   <100% F Pen. depth: TOC/bio/sed ID# Sediment characterization:	Ful(Y/N   Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high	/N ) 3.5
		1
station: R1656 lat: 39 24,021	start time:	e name:
depth: 28 long: 1557,608		7.88 mg 12
rock upconsolidated mineral algal coral organic core shell worm	flat sand and mud sand waves, biogenic xtu	res, shell aggreg
gravel gravel mix gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp b	oulders, piled bldrs
comments: O(can quahag		·
Grab Failed Y / Ŋ   >50% Full 𝒱 / N   <100% F Pen. depth: ↑ TOC/bio/sed ID#	Full [6]/ N   Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high	01N 1) 3
Countern en ar deten zakern		
station: RLGS9 lat: 39 23.769 depth: 26 long: 74 3.7639 YSI depth: 6.11 ft YSI temp: 18.825	start time: fil end time: 가가 가 YSI pH: 역 6년	e name: 7,69 mg/L
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtu	ires, shell aggreg
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp b	oulders, piled bldrs
comments: Urchin, Some shell hash	Some Clay deposit	s/ clumps?
Grab Eailed Y / N I >50% Full Y / N I <100%	Full Y / N I Undisturbed Surface	Y/N
Pen depth: 95 TOC/bio/sed ID#	bio volume (inches hia	n)
		1 16 1 A
ISediment characterization:		

Y

5

station: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \le 5$ lat: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ start time:       file name:         depth: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ start time:       file name: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ YSI depth: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ YSI provide the start start time:       file name: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ ords.       unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, wom       pable-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         Grab       Failed Y / (N)       > 50% Ful( $\mathbb{Y}/N$ <100% Ful( $\mathbb{Y}/N$ Undisturbed Surface $\mathbb{Y}/N$ Sediment characterization:       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high) $\mathbb{P}^{1/6}$ Station: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ file name: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ file name:         depth: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ file name: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ file name:         station: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ file name: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ Sediment characterization: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ file name: $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6}$ $\mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1/6} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{1$		cruise: Atlantic Shores		samplers:	
station: $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 5. $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ stat time:       file name:         depth: $\mathbb{N}_{1}$ biong: $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 5. $\mathbb{N}_{1}$ end time:       file name:         visition: $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 4. $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 1. $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 5. $\mathbb{N}_{1}$ end time:       file name:         visition: $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 4. $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 1. $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 5. $\mathbb{N}_{1}$ visition: $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 4. $\mathbb{N}_{2}$ 1. $\mathbb{N}$					
depth: $\mathbb{N}$ <	station: RL655 lat:	39 26.7495	start time:	file r	name:
YSI depth:       YSI depth: </td <td>depth: &gt;\ long:</td> <td>74 5.6972</td> <td>end time:</td> <td>14,43</td> <td></td>	depth: >\ long:	74 5.6972	end time:	14,43	
rock. unconsolidated mineral. algal. coral. organic, coze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic stures, shell aggreg         gravel_mix_gravel mix_gravelity_slightly gravelity_sand_sandy mud_mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         Grab       Failed Y / N         >50% Ful(Y/N       <100% Ful(Y/N	YSI depth: 14,32 ft YSI temp:	18,645	YSI pH:	8.98	e guing it
gravel, gravel mik, gravelity, slightly gravelity, sind, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bids         Grab       Failed Y / (N)   >50% Full(Y/N)   <100% Full(Y/N)   Undisturbed Surface (Y/N) bio volume (inches high) ] + 5	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, o	organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and m	ud, sand waves, biogenic xtures	s, shell aggreg
comments:         Grab       Failed Y / (N)       >50% Ful((Y/N)       <100% Ful((Y/N)	gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly,	and) sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble	e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	lders, piled bldrs
Grab       Failed Y ( $(0)$ )   >50% Ful( $(0)$ /N   <100% Ful( $(0)$ /N   Undisturbed Surface $(1/N)$ bio volume (inches high) $(1) \in C$ Station:       R1657       Iat:       39.84% (1)       start time:       16.46       file name:         Station:       R1657       Iat:       39.84% (1)       start time:       16.46       file name:         Station:       R1657       Iat:       39.84% (1)       start time:       16.46       file name:         VSI depth:       Q.47       VSI temp:       L6.47         Grab       Failed Y / $(0)$ > 50% Ful( $(Y/N)$ <100% Ful( $(0/N)$ Undisturbed Surface $(Y/N)$ L0.68       Dig volume (inches high)       Dig volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:       ///       TOC/bio/sed ID#       Start time:       file name:       end time:       Is / 0.7       R.15 / 0.7 / 0.5 /	comments:	0			
Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full (Y / N       <100% Full (Y / N					
Grab       Failed Y/(N)       Sol% Full(Y/N)       <100% Full(Y/N)       Undisturbed Surface Y/N         Pen. depth:       II 5 mo.       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high) $2 + 5$ station:       QL657       Iat: $31, 31, 31, 31, 51, 51$ end time: $61, 46, 51, 64, 51, 64, 74$ YSI depth: $2, 47$ YSI temp: $16, 64, 74, 74, 74, 74, 74, 74, 74, 74, 74, 7$		0		<i>(</i>	
Pen. depth:       III $\xi_{MN_{n}}$ TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high) $j_{k}$ Sediment characterization:       statton:       bio volume (inches high) $j_{k}$ station:       Question:       Stattion:       Grab Failed Y/N          Soft A stattime:       Id: 34 33.51% I       stattime:       Grab Failed Y/N          Soft A stattime:       Id: 46 file name:         gravel, gravel mix, gravely, signify gravely, sand, sand ymud, mud       mod       fat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravely, signify gravely, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comments:       fat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravely, signify gravely, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         Sediment characterization:       Mo Bio Z gravi Jic         station:       RL65%       lat: 51 5 % 3/61       start time:       file name:         station:       RL65%       lat: 51 5 % 3/61       start time:       file name:         station:       RL65%       lat: 51 5 % 3/61       start time:       file name:         station:       Sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comm	Grab Failed Y / N   >50%	Full(Y/N   <100% Fu	WY/N I	Undisturbed Surface 4 /	N
Sediment characterization:       Image: Set Station: Question: Qu	Pen. depth: 115mm TOC/bio/see	d ID#	$\sim$	bio volume (inches high)	2.5
station:       QL657       Iat: 39: 33: 51% I       start time:       I6:316       file name:         depth:       2.4       long:       74: 3::52:1       end time:       (6:4%       77: 74::57         YSI depth:       2.4.7       YSI temp:       16:647       YSI pH:       3::40       77: 74::57         YSI depth:       2.4.7       YSI temp:       16:647       YSI pH:       3::40       77: 74::57         YSI depth:       2.4.7       YSI temp:       16::647       YSI pH:       3::40       77: 74::57         gravel.gravel mix.gravelly, sightfy gravely, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comments:       failed Y/@       >50% Ful(ŷ/N       1       Undisturbed Surface ô/N         station:       R165%       Iat: 51: 56: 77: 71: 74: 56: 71: 74: 57: 71: 74: 5	Sediment characterization:				~ P V
station: $\[2657]$ lat: $\[37]$ $\[37]$ $\[35]$ $\[36]$ start time: $\[1616]$ file name:depth: $\[37]$ $\[37]$ $\[36]$ $\[36]$ end time: $\[616]$ $\[36]$ </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
depth: $\mathbb{C}$ Iong: $\mathbb{C}$ $\mathbb{A}_{15,\mathbb{A}_{1}}$ end time: $[6/4\%]$ YSI depth: $\mathbb{C}_{1,97}$ YSI temp: $16,697$ YSI pH: $\underline{2,40}$ $\mathbb{C}_{14,05}/\mathbb{L}$ rock. unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, wormflat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreggravel, gravelly, sightly gravely, sand, sandy mud, mudpebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrscomments: $f_{01} _{c0} _$	station: RL657 lat:	39 33.8481	start time:	16:46 file r	name:
YSI depth: $2,97$ YSI temp: $16,697$ YSI pH: $2,90$ $174m/L$ rock. unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg       pebble-cobble, p- cw sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravely, sand, sandy mud       mud       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravely, sand, sandy mud       mud       mud       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravely, sand, sandy mud, mud       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:       No Bio 2 gravite       file name:         station:       RL65%       lat: 31,3,8,9,401       start time:       file name:         station:       RL65%       lat: 31,3,8,9,401       start time:       file name:         gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, sand, sandy mud, mud       red       YSI pH:       glightly flat gravely, sightly gravely, sand, sandy mud, mud         comments:       Smqll were       Multick S       SONC Split       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       red       Sonk Split       flat sand and mud, sand w	depth: 24 long:	74 2.1521	end time:	16:48	
rock. unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravely, sand, sandy mud, mud       reacher station:       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         Grab       Failed Y / W       >50% Full (Y / N       <100% Full (Y / N	YSI depth: 9.97 YSI temp:	18,697	YSI pH:	8.90 7.9	gungll
gravel, gravel, gravelly, gravelly, slightfy gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comments:       failed Y/Ø       >50% Ful(Ŷ/N       <100% Ful(Ŷ/N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, o	organic, ooze, shell, worm	flat sand and m	uud, sand waves, biogenic xture	s, shell aggreg
comments:       failed Y/@   >50% Ful(Y/N   <100% Full(Y/N   Undisturbed Surface (Y/N bio volume (inches high) bio volume (inches high) sediment characterization:         Grab Failed Y/@   >50% Ful(Y/N   <100% Full(Y/N   Undisturbed Surface (Y/N bio Volume (inches high) bio volume (inches high) start time:         station:       file name:         depth: $Y_0$ long: $7357.719$ end time: $12/07$ YSI depth: $5.2$ YSI temp: $14.1454$ YSI pH: $2.87$ rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, coze, shell, worm         gravel gravel mix, gravelly, sightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud         rock unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, coze, shell, worm         gravel gravel mix, gravelly, sightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud         rock unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, coze, shell, worm         gravel mix, gravelly, sightly gravelly, N   <100% Full(Y/N   Undisturbed Surface Y/N bio volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:         YSI depth:       YSI depth:       YSI depth:       YSI temp:       YSI temp:         YSI depth:       YSI depth:       YSI depth:       YSI depth:       YSI depth:       YSI depth:       YSI pH:       YSI pH:      <	gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravely,	sand, sandy mud, mud	pebble-cobble	e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	lders, piled bldrs
tailed bk         Grab       Failed Y/Q   >50% Ful(Y/N   <100% Full(Y/N   Undisturbed Surface Y/N bio volume (inches high)	comments:				
Grab       Failed Y/N       >50% Full Y/N       <100% Full V/N       Undisturbed Surface Y/N         Pen. depth:       \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	tailed dx				
Grab       Failed Y / W       >50% Full(Y / N       <100% Full(Y / N					
Pen. depth:       1/6       TOC/bio/sed ID#         Sediment characterization:       bip volume (inches high)         station:       RL65%       lat:       31369261         station:       RL65%       lat:       31369261       start time:         geth:       JG       long:       7357.7192       end time:       18/67         YSI depth:       S.2       YSI temp:       16/4454       YSI pH:       28/87         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       ftat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel.       gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comments:       Smqll luci m finde S       SONC Scielt         Grab       Failed Y/Ø       >50% Full(Y/N)       Cloo% Full(Y/N)       Undisturbed Surface Y/N         bio volume, (inches high)       Science       Hold Science       Science         Station:       lat:       start time:       file name:         depth:       long:       end time:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud	Grab Failed Y / 🕅   >50%	Ful()Y / N   <100% Fu	$III(\hat{Y}) / N = I$	Undisturbed Surface/Y/	N
Sediment characterization:       //o bio Sample         station:       RL65%       lat:       31369361       start time:       file name:         depth:       Gepth:       Gepth:       Gepth:       Gepth:       Gepth:       Station:       RL65%       lat:       31369361       start time:       file name:         VSI depth:       Gepth:	Pen. depth: \\ \ TOC/bio/see	d ID#	V	biø volume (inches high),	
station:       R165%       lat:       51360%1       start time:       file name:         depth:       JG       JG       7357719J       end time:       18/67       G.15 m/L         YSI depth:       5.2       YSI temp:       16/1944       YSI pH:       9/87       G.15 m/L         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comments:       Smq11 wcr m the s       SONC 9:00 €1         Grab       Failed Y/W       >50% Full Y/N       <100% Full X/N	Sediment characterization:		/	No Bio Sampl	C
station:       R1 65%       lat:       31 3 6 0761       start time:       file name:         depth:       J6       long:       73 5 7.719.J       end time:       18/67       5.15 mg/L         YSI depth:       5.3       YSI temp:       18,1454       YSI pH:       2.87       5.15 mg/L         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comments:       Smqll worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bidrs         comments:       Smqll worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       ibio volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:       Idit:       start time:       file name:         visition:       lat:       start time:       file name:       gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud         ySI depth:       YSI temp:       YSI pH:       rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand an					
depth: H6       long: 73 57.719.J       end time: 18/67       g.15 mg/L         YSI depth: 5.2       YSI temp: 16.454       YSI pH: 9.87       g.15 mg/L         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Small wcrm fm/m fm/m fm/m fm/m fm/m fm/m fm/m fm	station: RL658 lat:	39380361	start time:	file r	name:
YSI depth:       5.2       YSI temp:       14,454       YSI pH:       9.87       6.15 m/1/2         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Small worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         grab       Failed Y/N       >50% Full(Y/N       Undisturbed Surface Y/N         Pen. depth:       5       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:       Iat:       start time:       file name:         weight:       YSI temp:       YSI temp:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       Peble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y/N       >50% Full Y/N       <100% Full Y/N	depth: 36 long:	73 57.7192	end time:	18:07	1.
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Smqll worm fw/w S       Some gravel         Grab       Failed Y / W       >50% Full Y / N       Undisturbed Surface Y / N         Pen. depth:       S       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:       Id:       start time:       file name:         depth:       long:       end time:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       rend time:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	YSI denth: C1 VSI temp:	IA UTU			»
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Smgll worm the size some some some some some some some som	rordopin. 3.6 rortemp.	10,191	YSI pH:	\$187 81	15 mg/L
comments:       Small warm fulles       sonc grael         Grab       Failed Y/N       >50% Full(Y/N       Undisturbed Surface Y/N         Pen. depth:       S       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:       Vo       Yo       Yo         station:       lat:       start time:       file name:         depth:       long:       end time:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y/N       >50% Full Y/N       <100% Full Y/N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, o	organic, ooze, shell, worm	YSI pH: flat sand and m	<u><u><u> </u></u></u>	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg
Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, or gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, s	organic, ooze, shell, worm sand, sandy mud, mud	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble	g.87     6       nud, sand waves, biogenic xture       e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, scomments:	brganic, ooze, shell, worm sand, sandy mud, mud	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble	g,g7     g,       nud, sand waves, biogenic xture:       p, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, or gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, s comments: Small worm the	brganic, ooze, shell, worm sand, sandy mud, mud lac S SONC Societ	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble	g,g7     g,       nud, sand waves, biogenic xture:       e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Pen. depth:       % TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high)         Sediment characterization:       % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % % %	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, o gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, s comments:	brganic, ooze, shell, worm sand, sandy mud, mud	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble	4.87 5 nud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Sediment characterization:       //o Bio Sample         station:       lat:       start time:       file name:         depth:       long:       end time:       ysl pH:         YSI depth:       YSI temp:       YSI pH:       rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y / N   >50% Full Y / N   <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, or       gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sightly gravelly, sightly gravelly, sightly gravelly, soments:       Grab       Failed Y / N	Imparise       porganic, ooze, shell, worm       sand, sandy mud, mud       ka S       Some Sandy       Full(Y/N)	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.8.7 5 nud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs
station:       lat:       start time:       file name:         depth:       long:       end time:         YSI depth:       YSI temp:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y / N   >50% Full Y / N   <100% Full Y / N   Undisturbed Surface Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organity, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, slightly, s	Imparit     Imparit       porganic, ooze, shell, worm       sand, sandy mud, mud       lac S       SONC Sold el       Full (V/N)       SONC Sold el	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble	4.8.7 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high)	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
station:       lat:       start time:       file name:         depth:       long:       end time:         YSI depth:       YSI temp:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         Comments:       Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N       Undisturbed Surface Y / N         Pen. depth:       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high)	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organity, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, signtly gravely, s	Ind	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble	4,87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xtures e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high)	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N
depth:       long:       end time:         YSI depth:       YSI temp:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, signtly gravelly, scomments:         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       85       TOC/bio/see         Sediment characterization:	Ind	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high)	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL
YSI depth:       YSI temp:       YSI pH:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       grab         Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, scomments:       Smqll ucim fu         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       85       TOC/bio/sec         Sediment characterization:       Iat:	Full N   <100% Fu	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface 44 bio volume (inches high)	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL name:
rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organic, ooze, shell, worm       flat sand and mud, sand waves, biogenic xtures, shell aggreg         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organity, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, slightly gravelly, scomments:         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       \$5         Sediment characterization:         station:       lat:         depth:       long:	ing_nic, ooze, shell, worm sand, sandy mud, mud bac S Sonc Sind el Full (Y/N   <100% Fu d ID#	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high) Vo Sio Sam file n	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL name:
gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, sand, sandy mud, mud       pebble-cobble, p-c w sponge, buried/disp boulders, piled bldrs         comments:       Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, slightly gravelly, slightly gravelly, scomments:         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       \$5         Sediment characterization:         station:       lat:         depth:       long:         YSI depth:       YSI temp:	riganic, ooze, shell, worm sand, sandy mud, mud lacS Sonc 9, a e l Full (Y/N   <100% Fu d ID#	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble ull Y / N   start time: end time: YSI pH:	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high) Vo Sio Sam file n	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL
comments: Grab Failed Y / N   >50% Full Y / N   <100% Full Y / N   Undisturbed Surface Y / N Pen. depth: TOC/bio/sed ID# bio volume (inches high)	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, signtly gravely, scomments:       Small worm full         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       S TOC/bio/sec         Sediment characterization:       It is in the second se	Initial Programic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         sand, sandy mud, mud         lac S       SONC Sold ell         Full Y/N   <100% Full	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high) Vo Sio Sam file n hud, sand waves, biogenic xture	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg
Grab Failed Y / N   >50% Full Y / N   <100% Full Y / N   Undisturbed Surface Y / N Pen. depth: TOC/bio/sed ID# bio volume (inches high)	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, organel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, signtly gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, signtly user mix, gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, s	Initial Programic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         sand, sandy mud, mud         Initial Source         Full         Initial Programic         organic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble ult // N // start time: end time: YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4,87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high) 000000000000000000000000000000000000	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Grab       Failed Y / N       >50% Full Y / N       <100% Full Y / N       Undisturbed Surface Y / N         Pen. depth:       TOC/bio/sed ID#       bio volume (inches high)	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, scomments:       Smqll ucim fu         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       S TOC/bio/set         Sediment characterization:       I         station:       lat:         depth:       YSI temp:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, or         gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, slightly gravelly, scomments:	Initial State         organic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         lac S       Some State         Full () / N   <100% Full	YSI pH: flat sand and m pebble-cobble ult // N // start time: end time: YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high) bio volume (inches high) file n file n hud, sand waves, biogenic xture e, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs
Grab         Failed Y / N         >50% Full Y / N         <100% Full Y / N         Undisturbed Surface Y / N           Pen. depth:         TOC/bio/sed ID#         bio volume (inches high)	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, scomments:       Smqll ucim fu         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       S TOC/bio/set         Sediment characterization:       It is the sediment of the sediment is the sediment is the sediment of the sediment of the sediment of the sediment of the sediment is the sediment of the sediment is the sediment of the s	Initial State         organic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         lac S       Some State         Full () / N   <100% Full	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface 44 bio volume (inches high) bio volume (inches high) file n hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Pen. depth: TOC/bio/sed ID# bio volume (inches high)	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravely, slightly gravely, scomments:       Smqll uci m fu         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       Smqll uci m fu         Sediment characterization:       I >50%         station:       lat:         depth:       long:         YSI depth:       YSI temp:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, scomments:	Initial State         organic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         lac S       Some State         Full ()       N       <100% Full	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface 44 bio volume (inches high) 10 20 20 20 m file n hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs
	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, scomments:       Smqll uci m fu         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       S TOC/bio/set         Sediment characterization:       It is the sediment of th	Image: Programic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         sand, sandy mud, mud         Image: Source S	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble ull Y / N   start time: end time: YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface 44 bio volume (inches high) 10 210 20m file n hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface Y /	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Sediment characterization:	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, scomments:       Smqll uci m 4u         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       \$5         Sediment characterization:         station:       lat:         depth:       YSI temp:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, signate and the station:         Station:       lat:         depth:       long:         YSI depth:       YSI temp:         rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, signate and the static comments:         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       TOC/bio/set	Initial Programic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         sand, sandy mud, mud         Initial Solution         Full Y / N         organic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble ull Y / N   start time: YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	4.87 hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface bio volume (inches high) file n hud, sand waves, biogenic xture a, p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface Y / bio volume (inches high)	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg Ilders, piled bldrs
Sediment characterization:	rock, unconsolidated mineral, algal, coral, or gravel, gravel mix, gravelly, slightly gravelly, scomments:       Small worm find         Grab       Failed Y / N   >50%         Pen. depth:       % TOC/bio/set         Sediment characterization:       It is the set of the set	Initial Programic, ooze, shell, worm         sand, sandy mud, mud         sand, sandy mud, mud         Initial Source         Full(Y) N   <100% Full	YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble ult // N   start time: end time: YSI pH: flat sand and n pebble-cobble	Undisturbed Surface Y file n ud, sand waves, biogenic xture p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface Y file n ud, sand waves, biogenic xture p-c w sponge, buried/disp bou Undisturbed Surface Y bio volume (inches bich)	15 mg/L s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs N pL name: s, shell aggreg Iders, piled bldrs

# APPENDIX B PHOTOMICROSCOPY IMAGES



Figure B-1. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 1 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 2. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 1 taken at 40x magnification.

Project # 46593-49 RPS RLGSIG Sediment 19-12-13 AA IO fracts 1180 m 3 1cm 2 4 5 6 9 10 11 12 13 14 8 . ALCOLL .

Figure B- 3. Photo of 1180  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment sample from SOI 1.



Figure B- 4. Photo of 2000  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment sample from SOI 1.



Figure B- 5. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 2 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 6. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 2 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 7. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 2.



Figure B- 8. Photo of 2000 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 2.



Figure B- 9. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 3 taken at 40x magnification



Figure B- 10. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 3 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 11. Photo of 1180  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment sample from SOI 3.



Figure B- 12. Photo of 2000  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment sample from SOI 3.



Figure B- 13. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 4 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 14. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 4 taken at 40x magnification.

# 46593-49 RPS diment RLGS4G + 1180 mm -49 1cm 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 \*#ESTCOTT . 11 10 6 8

Figure B- 15. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 4.

2019-12-13 AA # 46593-49 ediment RL Projec RLG 0 1cm 2 3 4 5 6 8 9 10 11 12 13 . ALCOLL. 2

Figure B- 16. Photo of 2000 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 4.

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure B- 17. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 5 taken at 40x magnification.

![](_page_65_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figure B- 18. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 5 taken at 40x magnification.

![](_page_66_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure B- 19. Photo of 1180  $\mu$ m fraction of sediment sample from SOI 5.

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figure B- 20. Photo of 2000  $\mu$ m fraction of sediment sample from SOI 5.

![](_page_67_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure B- 21. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 6 taken at 40x magnification.

![](_page_67_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figure B- 22. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 6 taken at 40x magnification.

![](_page_68_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure B- 23. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 6.

![](_page_68_Figure_3.jpeg)

Figure B- 24. Photo of 2000 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 6.

![](_page_69_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure B- 25. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 7 taken at 40x magnification.

![](_page_69_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figure B- 26. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 7 taken at 40x magnification.

![](_page_70_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure B- 27. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 7.

![](_page_70_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figure B- 28. Photo of 2000 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 7.

![](_page_71_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure B- 29. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 8 taken at 40x magnification.

![](_page_71_Picture_3.jpeg)

Figure B- 30. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from SOI 8 taken at 100x magnification.


Figure B- 31. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment sample from SOI 8.



Figure B- 32. Photo of 2000  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment sample from SOI 8.



Figure B- 33. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from grab 1 of 2 from IA 1 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 34. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from grab 1 of 2 from IA 1 taken at 100x magnification.



Figure B- 35. Photo of 1180  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 from IA 1.



Figure B- 36. Photo of 2000 µm fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 from IA 1.



Figure B- 37. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment grab 2 of 2 from IA 1 taken at 40X magnification.



Figure B- 38. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment grab 2 of 2 from IA 1 taken at 100X magnification.



Figure B- 39. Photo of 1180  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment grab 2 of 2 from IA 1.



Figure B- 40. Photo of 2000 µm fraction of sediment grab 2 of 2 from IA 1.



Figure B- 41. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment grab 1 of 2 from IA 2 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 42. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment grab 1 of 2 from IA 2 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 43. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 at IA 2.



Figure B- 44. Photo of 2000  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 at IA 2.



Figure B- 45. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment from grab 2 of 2 at IA 2 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 46. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment from grab 2 of 2 at IA 2 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 47. Photo of 1180  $\mu$ m fraction of sediment from grab 2 of 2 at IA 2.



Figure B- 48. Photo of 2000  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment from grab 2 of 2 at IA 2.



Figure B- 49. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment from grab 1 of 2 at IA 3 taken at 40x magnification



Figure B- 50. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment grab 1 of 2 from IA 3 taken at 100x magnification



Figure B- 51. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 from IA 3.



Figure B- 52. Photo of 2000 µm fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 at IA 3.



Figure B- 53. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment grab 2 of 2 from IA 3 taken at 40X magnification.



Figure B- 54. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment grab 2 of 2 from IA 3 taken at 100X magnification.



Figure B- 55. Photo of 1180  $\mu$ m fraction of sediment grab 2 of 2 at IA 3.



Figure B- 56. Photo of 2000  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment grab 2 of 2 at IA 3.



Figure B- 57. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from grab 1 of 2 from IA 4 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 58. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from grab 1 of 2 from IA 4 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 59. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 at IA 4.



Figure B- 60. Photo of 2000  $\mu$ m fraction of sediment grab 1 of 2 at IA 4.



Figure B- 61. Photomicrograph (1 of 2) of sediment sample from grab 2 of 2 from IA 4 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 62. Photomicrograph (2 of 2) of sediment sample from grab 2 of 2 from IA 4 taken at 40x magnification.



Figure B- 63. Photo of 1180 µm fraction of sediment grab 2 of 2 at IA 4.



Figure B- 64. Photo of 2000  $\mu m$  fraction of sediment grab 2 of 2 at IA 4.