# Gathering the perspectives and experience from test sites and device developers for Environmental and Socio Economic Impact Assessment of Wave Energy.

EIMR International Conference - Orkney | 1 - 3 May 2012 The Environmental Interactions Of Marine Renewable Energy Technologies

<u>D. Magagna<sup>1</sup></u>, D. Greaves, D. Conley, A.M. O'Hagan, B. Holmes, M. Witt, T. Simas, C. Huertas Olivares, J. Chambel Leitão, H. Mouslim, Y. Torre-Enciso, J. Sundberg, N. Rousseau.

davide.magagna@plymouth.ac.uk







#### VINTELLIGENT ENERGY



### **SOWFIA Project: Overview**



- \* Network of 10 EU partners
- \* 6+1 Wave Energy Test sites within EU
- ? Unknown Environmental and Socio-Economics Impacts of Wave Farms
- ? Uncertainties on adapting regulatory process for Wave Energy (and Tidal)
- ? Lack of coordinated IA policies hindering development



Experiences of Wave Energy Sites for streamlining IA process and removal of non-technological barriers





stoo stoo



LE

#### SOWFIA Project: Streamlining

**European Recommendations:** Interaction with EU directives **IDEAL PROCESS National Recommendations** Highlights and areas for change Experience Developers + Stakeholders + Regulators Knowledge

Exchange & sharing DMP VINTELLIGENT ENERGY



# SOWFIA Project: Interactions







# **Consenting Process**



EUROPE



### Key Players: Interactions and issues





# What to study?

- \* Wide range of receptors/factors
  - Physical
  - Biological
  - Socio Economic
- \* Significance of Impacts
- \* Alternative and mitigation strategies

Guidance Common Methodology External Experiences











#### What's available? scroby sand Garrard Vave Hub Islay OWF Rampion Pilot Zone SEM REV mber BIMEP AMETS MEC Ŧ Receptor Ċ Receptor Bathymetry Bathymetry 1 hysical ical Geology 1 1 1 Morphology Physic: Impact **Hydrodynamics** Hydrodynamics **Benthos** 1 1 1 1 1 **Benthos** Biological Impacts Fish & Shellfish 1 1 Fish & Shellfish 1 **Biological Impacts** Nature Conservation Plankton studies 1 Marine Mammals Marine Mammals 1 Marine Ornithology $\checkmark$ Marine Qrnithology Landscape & Visual Landscape & ✓ Archeology Visual Archeology 1 Common procedures for tests Socio- Economic Impacts / Navigation and 1 1 and evaluation Shipping 1 Fisheries 1 Importing of experience Economics ✓ Noise Socio- E 1 1 Tourism 1 1 Tourism 1 1 1 Other uses 1 Wave Wind



#### Importing Experience from "could" to "do"

Receptor	Investigation	Wind Turbines	WECS		Import		
Hydrology	Changes in sediment	Fixed structure	Affected by energy removal		×		
Geomorphology	Water quality		Less water column occupied		<b>~</b>	Legend	
Birds	Avoidance farms		Diving birds	Migratory	×	Positive Impact More Monitoring	
	Collision with structures		Diving birds	Migratory	×	Negative Impact Neutral Impact	
Harbor Porpoises	Avoidance farms	Construction	Investigation n stran	eeded - Risk of gling	×	Expected Positive	
Seals	Avoidance farms	Construction	Investigation n stran	eded - Risk of gling OW data can be used ✓ add confidence about WECS FIA		OW data can be used to ✓ add confidence about WECs FIA	
Benthic Fauna	Loss of habitat		Expected increase in stock Expected increase variety On mooring and body		<b>√</b>	OW data can not be used	
	Change in structure				✓	WECs EIA	
	Bio fouling				✓		
	Hard bottom substrate		Low increase of stock due to absence of foundations		x		
Fish	Fish biomass		Trawling exclusion	Reef effect	<b>√</b>		
	Sand eel		EMF ir	npacts	1		
Socio-Economic	Public Perception	Public need information			<b>√</b>	MARINE	
	Visual impacts	Preferred at sea			1	RENEWABLE	
	Tourism	Impacts on Surf areas			X	WITH	





### **Experience: Common Platforms**





# Experience: Issues for concerns

- \* Marine mammals and Birds collision with Structures
  - Monitoring methodology and accuracy of the system
- \* Bio-fouling
  - Effect on the marine environment
- \* Subaqueous noise
  - Disturbance to species
- \* Navigational Risks
- \* Recreational Users Surfing Communities
- \* Fisheries: Conflict of use





Methodology and Monitoring

Social Interactions and Mitigation







# Experience: Engaging Stakeholders



## Perspective from Stakeholders

Local Businesses

• Participation in the consultation process as activities directly affected from wave energy development.

NT ENERGY

UROPE

• Liaison groups for mitigation and planning of activities

• FLOWW

**Conservation Groups** 

• Participation in consultation process is limited and concerns are raised with regards to potential negative effects on the natural environment in the proximity of the sites

 Improvement and continuous monitoring could reduce concerns

• Early and open discussion is favoured



-ocal Communities

• Community support based on robust information aimed to reduce concerns and conflicts of use

sowfig

www.sowfia.eu

• Lack of engagement and information with feeling that development is often masked by overly positive discussion on economic benefits

\*Response from Survey of Stakeholders at Wave Hub Site (Bailey, De Groot, Magagna and Stokes)



# Including views in the Consultation

- \* Different requirements depending on the Stakeholders interests
  - Efficient Monitoring
  - Impact Evaluation
- \* Open interactions and evaluation of alternative
- \* Early stage involvement in the consultation process
- \* Broader and in-depth information of the local communities
  - Easy to read data



sowfic

www.sowfia.eu









# Summarizing...

- ∗ Different procedures albeit common EU directives → uniformity of consenting process
- \* Common methodologies for testing aimed at removing uncertainties
- \* Sharing and integrating environmental data in common database
- \* Early engagement of stakeholders group envisaged from both Stakeholders and Developers → Concerns and mitigation strategies







# Including views in the Consultation



Ocean energy Workshop 22<sup>nd</sup> May, 2012 – Gothenburg, Sweden

#### Taking Wave Energy Forward: Implementation and Community Integration

Consultation process	<ul> <li>1)How can communication between developers and stakeholders be improved?</li> <li>2)What are the strengths and weaknesses of current consultation processes?</li> <li>3)Is there a more effective way to get stakeholders involved in the decision-making process?</li> </ul>
Integration of stakeholders' interests in the project planning	<ul> <li>1)How can stakeholder interests be effectively integrated into project planning?</li> <li>2)What type of mechanisms can be put in place to ensure that stakeholders' views are taken into account in terms of alternative solutions (location, type of devices, power)?</li> <li>3)At what stage should dialogue take place and how should alternatives be addressed?</li> </ul>

