ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Science Talks



journal homepage: www.elsevier.es/sctalk

Community cognitive and affective perceptions of land-based and ocean wind energy infrastructure

Aaron Russell^{a,*}, Jeremy Firestone^b

^a Oklahoma State University, 008C Ag Hall, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA

^b University of Delaware, 373 Harker ISE Lab, Newark, DE 19716, USA

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
<i>Keywords:</i> Wind energy Survey research Perception Affect Dual process model	In this research, we compare and synthesize results from two separate survey projects concerning community percep- tions of wind energy. In particular, we are comparing and contrasting cognitive and affective (emotional) responses. One survey is a nationally representative sample of the United States and looks at land-based wind technology wherein we also compare pre- and post-project construction move-in samples. The other is a case study of the coastal commu- nities in Rhode Island, USA in relation to the Block Island Offshore Wind Project. Because the project is near shore to the island population and farther ashore from the coastal population, we compare and contrast models of each. Both projects rely upon the use of regression methods with the former utilizing linear regression and the latter utilizing ordered logistic regression respectively. We find that among all models presented across the two projects, affective variables like anger and fear have a distinctly strong relationship to project attitudes including support or opposition. Developers, policymakers, and other agenda setters should fully embrace that emotional perception is going to play a role in community perceptions and that the incorporation and understanding of this is likely to make a difference to the public.

Video to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sctalk.2022.100090.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: Aaron.russell@okstate.edu (A. Russell).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sctalk.2022.100090

Received 23 September 2022; Received in revised form 5 November 2022; Accepted 6 November 2022

2772-5693/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Science Talks 4 (2022) 100090

Figures and tables



Fig. 1. Map of land-based wind power projects sampled in this research. Green points represent projects sampled without modeled sound. Stars mark projects sampled with modeled sound. Blue points mark non-sampled projects. Adapted from Russell and Firestone [1].



Fig. 2. Map of block island offshore wind project and survey strata. This map shows Block Island Offshore Wind Project with associated turbines and undersea transmission cables. Orange marks Block Island while light blue marks the coastal census units sampled for this research. Image Credit: Aaron Russell.



Fig. 3. Conceptual model of dual-process perception. Project attitudes are shown here being influenced by both cognitive and affective variables. Examples of each are provided.



Fig. 4. Bar graph of land-based project neighbor attitudes. Attitude of respondents (unweighted) toward the local wind power project from very negative to very positive. Variations differ on whether the sample data was whether the sample/subsample includes respondents irrespective of when they moved into their local community or whether they moved in prior (pre) or subsequent (post) to construction commencing. Adapted from Russell and Firestone [1].

Table 1

Table of land-based project neighbor attitudes. Means with standard errors for pre- and post-construction project neighbors as well as the result of a pre-post move-in t-test of means.

Pre-Construction	Post-Construction	Pre/Post Mean (t-test)
3.39 (0.03)	3.87 (0.06)	< 0.001

Table 2

Table of support proportions for Block Island Survey. Proportions are shown for each of the support categories as well as asterisks (*) denoting statistically significant differences.

Position	BI	Coastal RI
	2018	2018
Oppose	11%*	5%
Undecided	7%	26%*
Support	83%*	69%*

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Aaron Russell: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Jeremy Firestone:** Funding acquisition, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgments

For the land-based survey, the authors thank the Lawrence Berkeley Lab for providing access to the data to undertake the analysis and the Magers Family Fellowship for providing graduate funding to the lead author. We acknowledge Ben Hoen, Joe Rand, Jeremy Firestone, Gundala Hübner, Johannes Pohl and Debi Elliot for their work on writing the survey instrument, collecting the survey data, and preparing the data for analysis. For the Block Island project, the authors would like to acknowledge the partnership in study design of Drs. David Bidwell and Meryl Gardner. We also appreciate their and Dr. Andrea Pierce's assistance in reviewing this manuscript before publication.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: Since 2010, Jeremy Firestone has held various roles in First State Marine Wind, LLC, (FSMW), a private corporation that is majority controlled by the University of Delaware (UD). FSMW owns and operates a 2 MW wind turbine adjacent to the UD's Lewes campus and sells energy to UD and to the city of Lewes, Delaware. Aaron Russell claims no conflicts.

References

 A. Russell, J. Firestone, What's love got to do with it? Understanding local cognitive and affective responses to wind power projects, Energy Res. Soc. Sci. 71 (Jan. 2021), 101833, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101833.

Further reading

- A. Russell, S. Bingaman, H.-M. Garcia, Threading a moving needle: the spatial dimensions characterizing US offshore wind policy drivers, Energy Policy 157 (Oct. 2021), 112516, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112516.
- [2] H.B. Truelove, Energy source perceptions and policy support: image associations, emotional evaluations, and cognitive beliefs, Energy Policy 45 (Jun. 2012) 478–489, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.02.059.
- [3] N.M.A. Huijts, The emotional dimensions of energy projects: anger, fear, joy and pride about the first hydrogen fuel station in the Netherlands, Energy Res. Soc. Sci. 44 (Oct. 2018) 138–145, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.04.042.
- [4] J. Cousse, Still in love with solar energy? Installation size, affect, and the social acceptance of renewable energy technologies, Renew. Sust. Energ. Rev. 145 (Jul. 2021), 111107, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111107.
- [5] J. Cousse, R. Wüstenhagen, N. Schneider, Mixed feelings on wind energy: affective imagery and local concern driving social acceptance in Switzerland, Energy Res. Soc. Sci. 70 (Dec. 2020), 101676, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2020.101676.
- [6] P. Slovic, Perception of risk, Science 236 (4799) (Apr. 1987) 280–285, https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.3563507.
- [7] P. Slovic, J.H. Flynn, M. Layman, Perceived risk, trust, and the politics of nuclear waste, Science 254 (5038) (1991) 1603–1607.
- [8] P. Slovic, M.L. Finucane, E. Peters, D.G. MacGregor, Risk as analysis and risk as feelings: some thoughts about affect, reason, risk, and rationality, Risk Anal. 24 (2) (2004) 311–322, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0272-4332.2004.00433.x.
- [9] D. Kahneman, Thinking, Fast and Slow, Macmillan, 2011.
- [10] A. Russell, J. Firestone, More than a feeling: analyzing community cognitive and affective perceptions of the Block Island offshore wind project, Renew. Energy 193 (Jun. 2022) 214–224, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2022.05.032.



Aaron Russell is presently a post-doctoral scholar at Oklahoma State University where he studies natural resources social science. He holds a doctoral degree from the University of Delaware, where he studied community and public perceptions of energy infrastructure. Aaron's interests include policy, survey methodologies, network theory and socio-environmental systems research.



Jeremy Firestone is a University of Delaware Professor and previously served as Director of UD's Center for Research in Wind. Firestone undertakes wind power attitudinal research and teaches course on energy law and on offshore wind power. He is on the National Academies Committee on Environmental Science and Assessment for Ocean Energy Management. He holds a BS in Cellular and Molecular Biology, a JD, and PhD in Public Policy Analysis.