Dominion Energy, Inc. Offshore Wind 704 East Main Street Richmond, VA 23219 dominionenergy.com



December 8, 2020

Mr. James Bennett Director, Office of Renewable Energy Programs Bureau of Ocean Energy Management U. S. Department of the Interior 45600 Woodland Road Sterling, VA 20166 (Submitted via email to: james.bennett@boem.gov)

Ms. Jolie Harrison, Permits and Conservation Division, Office of Protected Resources National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) 1315 East West Highway Silver Spring MD 20910 (Submitted via email to: jolie.harrison@noaa.gov)

RE: Dominion Energy CVOW Pilot Project – Revised Protected Species Observer (PSO) Monitoring Report and Pile Driving Noise Monitoring Report for WTG Construction and Observations

Mr. Bennett and Ms. Harrison:

Virginia Electric and Power Company, d/b/a Dominion Energy Virginia (Dominion Energy) on behalf of the Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy (DMME), is pleased to submit this revised Protected Species Observer (PSO) Final Monitoring Report and Pile Driving Noise Monitoring Report for WTG Construction and Observations in accordance with the Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Pilot (CVOW Pilot) Project Research Activities Plan Revision (RAPR) Condition 9.6.

Please contact Scott Lawton at <u>scott.lawton@dominionenergy.com</u> or (804) 273-2600 if you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

Beitett De

Joshua J. Bennett Vice President, Offshore Wind

Enclosure(s):

CC: <u>BOEM</u> - Casey Reeves, Algene Bynum, Jen Draher, <u>renewableenergy@boem.gov</u> <u>NMFS</u> – Rob Pauline, Jaclyn Daly <u>DMME</u> - Al Christopher <u>Dominion Offshore Wind</u> - GT Hollett, Lloyd Eley, Mike Lundsgaard, Nick Smith, Brian Stuver, Will Kinnan, Tony Taylor <u>Dominion Env</u> - Darrell Shier, Scott Lawton, Mitchell Jabs <u>Tetra Tech</u> - Janelle Lavallee, Alex Gibson



Document title:

FINAL NOISE AND PSO MONITORING REPORT

Project:

CVOW - COASTAL VIRGINIA OFFSHORE WIND

Subproject:

COMBINED TRANSPORT AND INSTALLATION OF FOUNDATIONS AND WTG

EMPLOYER:

Orsted

Document no.: JDN1823.REP.62.32 Prepared by: CONTRACTOR's QHSSE Department Ørsted Document no.: 06332178_J RDS-PP Number: #VCW01=V11&CDD

0.9	30-Nov-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.8	29-Oct-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.7	21-Oct-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.6	30-Sep-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.5	25-Aug-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.4	14-Aug-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.3	12-Aug-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.2	7-Aug-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.1	8-Jul-20	Re-issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
0.0	2-Jul-20	Issued for Review	ALMR	PESI	REY	
	Data		Prepared	Checked	Approved	
Rev.	Date	Description of revision		CONTRACTOR		



Final Noise and PSO Monitoring Report



Revision 0.9

Document distribution and access

The latest approved revision of this document is accessible to all members of the Project Management Team on the network server.

Revision change details

Revision	Location	Brief description of change
0.0	n/a	First issue
0.1	Annex B	Added PSO Monitoring Final Report
0.2	Annex A & Annex B	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
0.3	Annex A	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
0.4	Annex B	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
0.5	Annex A & Annex B	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
0.6	Annex A	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
0.7	Annex A	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
0.8	Annex A	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
0.9	Annex A	Changes made as per the Employer's comments.
		Table 0.1 Revision change details

Table 0-1 - Revision change details

DN Jan De Nul n.v.	Orsted		
CVOW - Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Combined Foundation and Turbine T&I	Reference	JDN1823.REP.62.32.E	
Final Noise and PSO Monitoring Report	Revision	0.9	

Contents

1	Scope		1
2	Annexes		4
	Annex A:	Final Noise Monitoring Report	5
	Annex B:	PSO Monitoring Final Report)

Table of figures

No table of figures entries found.

Table of tables

Table 0-1 - Revision change details

DN Jan De Nul n.v.	Orsted		
CVOW - Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Combined Foundation and Turbine T&I	Reference	JDN1823.REP.62.32.E	
Final Noise and PSO Monitoring Report	Revision	0.9	

1 SCOPE

Annex A and Annex B of this document contain the reports presenting the results of:

- underwater noise monitoring (Annex A)
- protection species observation activities (Annex B)

during pile driving operations on A01 and A02 Project sites.

2 ANNEXES

Annex A:	Final Noise Monitoring Report (34 pages)
Annex B:	PSO Monitoring Final Report (98 pages)

DN Jan De Nul n.v.	Orsted		
CVOW - Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Combined Foundation and Turbine T&I	Reference	JDN1823.REP.62.32.E	
Final Noise and PSO Monitoring Report	Revision	0.9	

ANNEX A: FINAL NOISE MONITORING REPORT

Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind

Noise monitoring during monopile installation A01 and A02

Jan De Nul NV 28 November 2020 **Clients Reference:** USA-1823-VCW01 Our Reference: WP2019_1197_R4r8 Version:



Client:

Date:

Final

Title

Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Pilot. Noise monitoring during monopile installation A01 and A02.

Client

Jan De Nul NV

Reference

WP2019_1197_R4r8

Keywords

Noise monitoring, underwater acoustics, monopile, pile-driving, bubble curtain, noise mitigation, marine mammals, CVOW, Virginia, windfarm

Summary

Monopile foundations A02 and A01 were installed as part of the CVOW pilot project. Underwater sound levels were measured during impulsive pile driving and are presented for both monopile foundations. Based on the measured sound levels, distances to disturbance and injury thresholds are calculated for fish, turtles and marine mammals, and the effectiveness of the applied noise mitigation measures is determined.

Version	Date	Author	Review & Approval
R4r0	30 June 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r1	17 July 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r2	07 August 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r3	12 August 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r4	24 August 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r5	28 September 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r6	21 October 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r7	28 October 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek
R4r8	28 November 2020	J. A. Brinkkemper	R. C. Snoek

WATER PROOF

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Та	ble of	contents	3
1	Intro	oduction	4
2	Pilin	g procedure and environmental conditions	5
3	Metl	hods	8
	3.1	Measurements	8
	3.1.1	Equipment	.8
	3.1.2	Measurement locations	.9
	3.2	Data Processing and analyses1	1
	3.2.1	Processing raw data1	1
	3.2.2	Frequency weighting	1
	3.3	Transmission loss model1	2
4	Resu	ılts1	6
4	4.1	Measurements1	6
	4.1.1	Monopile A02 with dBBC 1	6
	4.1.2	Monopile A01 without mitigation2	0
	4.2	Distances to thresholds2	3
	4.2.1	Monopile A02 with dBBC2	3
	4.2.2	Monopile A01 without mitigation2	25
	4.3	Effectiveness of noise mitigation measures2	:7
5	Cone	clusions	2
6	Refe	rences	3

1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of the underwater noise measurements during the installation of the wind turbine foundations A02 and A01 as part of the Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind (CVOW) pilot project. These foundations were installed by means of pile driving on the 25th and 30th of May 2020, respectively.

The Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind (CVOW) pilot project consists of two 6 MW wind turbine generators, located 43 km east of the city of Virginia Beach, Virginia. The turbine foundations are monopiles with a diameter of 7.8 meters and a length of approximately 67 m. The installation of monopile foundations in the seabed includes pile driving, an activity that results in high underwater noise levels. These noise levels can potentially cause injury or disturb underwater life, such as marine mammals, sea turtles and fish.

Here, measured sound levels are presented for the piling procedure of both monopile foundations, distances to disturbance and injury thresholds are calculated for fish, turtles and marine mammals, and the effectiveness of the applied noise mitigation measures is determined. Jan De Nul (JDN) was responsible for the acoustic measurements, including the deployment and retrieval of the recorders with attached hydrophones. WaterProof Marine Consultancy & Services BV. (WaterProof) conducted the data processing, analysis and reporting of these acoustic measurements.

2 PILING PROCEDURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

The two monopile foundations (A02 and A01) for the wind turbines were installed by means of impulse pile driving, on (A02) 25 May 2020 from 15:33 to 17:14 and on (A01) 30 May 2020 from 11:23 to 12:38 (UTC-4). The IHC S-3000 hydrohammer was used to drive the monopile foundations, with a bottom diameter of 7.8 m and wall thickness of 86 mm, to a penetration depth of 37.51 m and 37.01 m for A02 and A01, respectively. The used piling energy was between 320 and 552 kJ for A02 and between 320 and 687 kJ for A01 (Figure 2.1), the total amount of blows was 1373 and 1558, respectively. Both a soft (low piling energy) start and a slow (large time period between strikes) start were used to reduce the noise impact by giving time to marine life to increase their distance to the piling location. Pile driving of foundation A01 was paused from 12:05 to 12:31 (Figure 2.1) for a survey check calibration to verify the pile height, the duration of this pause (26 min.) was shorter than 30 minutes and a soft start after the pause was thus not necessary.



Figure 2.1 Piling energy per blow during installation of A02 and A01. The time period for which the double Big Bubble Curtain (dBBC) was on full pressure during installation of A02 is indicated in green.

During the installation of A02, a double Big Bubble Curtain (dBBC) was deployed to reduce underwater noise levels during the piling procedure. The dBBC consists of two hoses (an inner and an outer hose) with nozzles (diameter of 1.5 mm and distance of 250 mm) that are laid on the seabed and both fully encompass the monopile foundation (Figure 2.2). During the piling procedure, these hoses are connected to air compressors that are located on the Noise Mitigation Vessel (NMV). Air bubbles leave

the hose nozzles and rises as air bubbles to the water surface, thus forming a bubble curtain. The minimum distance between the monopile foundation A02 and the location of the hoses was 84 and 124 m for the inner and outer hose. The 16 compressors that fed both the inner and outer hose were on full pressure between 14:45 and 17:19 (UTC-4), see Figure 2.1, and inserted 0.35 (\pm 0.03) m³/(min·m) into the hoses. Monopile foundation A01 was installed without a bubble curtain.



Figure 2.2 The double Big Bubble Curtain (dBBC), deployed and operated from the M/V Commander, during the installation of monopile A02.



Figure 2.3 The (top) significant wave height and (bottom) wind speed during the week in which the monopiles were installed. The shaded intervals in blue indicate the time periods in which pile driving took place.

The weather and hydrodynamic conditions during the installation period were measured using a directional waverider buoy. The average wind speed was between 6 and 8 m/s during the installation of A02, and between 2 and 4 m/s during the installation of A01 (Figure 2.3). Corresponding significant wave heights were around 1.3 and 1.2 m, respectively. Tidal flow velocities in the area are relatively low, up to 0.10 to 0.25 m/s, but wind-induced flow velocities exceeded 0.5 m/s during the week in which installation took place (25 May – 01 June, Figure 2.4). Flow velocities during pile driving were between 0.15 near the bed and 0.32 m/s near the water surface during the installation of A02, and below 0.1 m/s throughout the water column during the installation of A01.



Figure 2.4 The flow velocity at (black) 3 m, (red) 13 m and (blue) 23 m below the instantaneous sea level (BSL). The lowest heights correspond roughly with the elevation of the two hydrophones. The shaded intervals indicate the time periods in which pile driving took place.

3 METHODS

3.1 MEASUREMENTS

3.1.1 Equipment

The acoustic recorders used are manufactured by RTsys and were equipped with two HTI (High Tech Inc.) hydrophone, HTI-96_min type, (Table 3.1). These hydrophones are sensitive to sound pressure levels in the frequency range between 2 Hz and 30 kHz. Recording was set at a sampling frequency of 78.125 kHz with 24-bits digitization. All instruments were checked prior to and after a deployment with a basic functionality test using a G.R.A.S. pistonphone (s.n. number 227957) at 250 Hz.

Table 3.1: Overview of the equipment used for this report. Additional equipment (in grey) at 4900 m and the second station at 750 m were only deployed during the installation of A01.

Distance	Recorder	Height	Chan.	Hydrophone	Hydrophone sensitivity	Factory calibration
[]	Serial III.	[]		Senarin.	[dB re 1V/ μPa]	
750		1	А	785226	-209.7	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018
150		12	В	785231	-209.2	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018
1500	EA_SDA14_2001005	1	А	785234	-209.5	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018
1500		12	В	785229	-209.3	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018
3000	EA_SDA14_2001004	1	В	785314	-210.1	HTI, 20 Mar. 2020
5000		12	А	785225	-209.6	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018
4900	EA_SDA14_2002001	1	В	785096	-209.9	HTI, 13 Mar. 2015
4900		12	А	785233	-209.7	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018
750 (2)	FA SDA14 2001003	1	В	785232	-209.5	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018
	LA_3DA 14_2001003	12	А	785230	-209.7	HTI, 18 Jul. 2018

The set-ups of the different stations were identical and consisted each of one recorder with two hydrophones, at 1 m and 12 m above the bed (Figure 3.1). The instruments were attached to a nylon line in between an anchor weight and a subsurface float. The subsurface float was subsequently attached to a surface buoy and pickup buoy for deployment and retrieval of the stations. The use of shackles or other metal items was minimized to avoid disturbance in the measurements. Shackles, coated with tape, were used in case necessary.



Figure 3.1 Setup of the measurement stations with a hydrophone at 12 and 1 m above the bed (not to scale).

3.1.2 Measurement locations

The distances of the noise monitoring locations to the monopile foundations were chosen to cover the expected range in distances to the sound levels that can cause disturbance or injury for fish, sea turtles and marine mammals. Instruments were deployed at distances 750, 1500 and 3000 m for both monopiles (Figure 3.2 and Table 3.2) in Northern direction. An additional sound recorder was deployed at 4900 m distance for A01, as this foundation was installed without the use of bubble curtains as noise mitigation measure, and distances to the noise thresholds were thus expected to become larger.

While instruments were deployed at three distances (750, 1500 and 3000 m) during the installation of monopile foundation A02, measurements were not collected at 1500 m due to a technical malfunction of the underwater sound recorder. Due to this malfunction, only measurements at two locations are available for A02, limiting the calibration of the transmission loss model. To attain more input for the calibration of this model, it was decided to deploy the instrument at 4900 m for A01 as an extra location, instead of enlarging the distance of the 3000 m location. Moreover, it was decided to deploy an extra recorder at 750 m distance for redundancy. The deployment of recorders at these two additional measurement locations was only feasible as the noise mitigation vessel had ample time for the preparation and deployment of the systems prior to the piling activities, due to a weather delay and the decision to install the second pile without a bubble curtain.



Figure 3.2 Location of the noise monitoring buoys during the installation of monopiles (red) A02 and (blue) A01. The location and heading of the installation vessel Vole au Vent (A02 and A01) and noise mitigation vessel M/V Commander (A02) during pile driving are also indicated.

Table 3.2 Coordinates (NAD 83 UTM Zone 18N) of the measurement locations, and the bearing and actual distance to the monopile (MP) foundations.

	Easting (m)	Northing (m)	Bearing to MP	Distance to MP
A02 MP foundation	456196.93	4082429.99	-	-
NMB750 A02	456197.00	4083181.48	0°	751.5 m
NMB1500 A02	456199.52	4083928.58	0°	1498.6 m
NMB 3000 A02	456200.87	4085429.72	0°	2999.7 m
A01 MP foundation	456196.93	4083479.99	-	-
NMB750 A01	456199.42	4084226.62	0°	746.6
NMB1500 A01	456200.78	4084980.79	0°	1500.8
NMB300 A01	456200.77	4086476.02	0°	2996.0
NMB4900 A01	456202.24	4088381.00	0°	4900.1
NMB750 A01 extra	456495.40	4084164.06	23°	747.3

WATER PROOF

3.2 DATA PROCESSING AND ANALYSES

3.2.1 Processing raw data

Raw voltages were converted to a sound pressure waveform using the specific gain parameters and sensitivity of the individual measurement devices. Data was subsequently filtered with a high-pass filter of 5Hz, to filter out low-frequency sound or disturbances by tidal flow and waves. Peaks in the sound pressure timeseries that represent the piling blows were identified using a peak detection algorithm. The start and end of these peaks were identified in one-second windows where the cumulative energy was between 5 and 95% respectively. This is a robust method to define the start and end of a peak and separate peaks in the sound pressure timeseries that are related to piling blows.

Several metrics were calculated for the identified peaks in the timeseries. The single-strike Sound Exposure Level (SEL_{ss}) in dB re 1μ Pa²s was calculated for each peak individually as:

$$SEL_{ss} = 10 \log_{10} \left(\frac{E}{E_{ref}} \right),$$

in which *E* is the sound exposure in μ Pa²s calculated over the 90% window between 5% and 95% of the total energy in the peak and *E*_{ref} is the reference intensity for underwater sound (1 μ Pa²s). The root-mean-square sound pressure level (SPL_{rms}) is evaluated over the same 90% window. The cumulative sound exposure level (*cSEL*) was calculated as the running cumulative over the individual *SEL*_{ss} values in 24 hours (in practice one foundation). The zero-to-peak sound pressure level (L_{peak}) is also calculated for each piling blow and is the maximum magnitude in sound pressure in the peak window.

Besides these properties of the individual peaks in the time series, the equivalent sound energy (L_{eq}) in dB re 1 µPa s was calculated over the decidecade bands between 20 Hz and 20 kHz in blocks of 30 seconds. This property gives more insight in the frequency content of the noise levels and indicates whether the sound exposure levels of the piling blows are sufficiently elevated (at least 10 dB; ISO18406, 2017) above the background or equipment noise-floor level (further refered to as the background noise level). The background noise level for pile driving measurements is typically defined as the L_{eq} level that is exceeded for 90% of the time.

The noise levels presented in the reports delivered within 24h after pile driving (WaterProof, 2020a, 2020b) were analysed with processing and analyse routines developed for quick reporting and an efficient data transfer from the offshore site. The analyses routines applied here contain more in-depth filtering and processing of the raw data to enhance the accuracy of the results. The sound metrics of the individual peaks in the recorded data that are presented here are within 1 dB difference in comparison with the results presented within 24 hours.

3.2.2 Frequency weighting

NOAA Fisheries (NOAA, 2018) defined auditory weighting functions for different marine mammal hearing groups, i.e. low-frequency, mid-frequency and high-frequency cetaceans, and phocid and otariid pinnipeds (Figure 3.3). The division of marine mammals in the different hearing groups reflects the differences between these animals in how they hear sounds at different frequencies and are based on an extensive review of the most recent scientific literature. To calculate potential Level A thresholds auditory weighting functions were combined with the cumulative sound exposure level metric, to determine suitable values for PTS for the different marine mammal hearing groups (NOAA, 2018).

Currently, the regulatory framework uses interim guidance to define Level B thresholds (NMFS, 2012) provided as unweighted root-mean square sound pressure level (SPLrms) to assess Level B behavioral impacts (NMFS, 2012, 2018a).

Here, the distance from the monopile foundation to the location where the frequency weighted cumulative sound exposure level ($cSEL_{fw}$) exceed the threshold for the onset of PTS were determined for the different marine mammal hearing groups.

The frequency weighted cumulative sound exposure levels were estimated by first calculating the unweighted sound exposure levels over the decidecade bands between 20 Hz and 20 kHz for each piling blow. Background noise levels were calculated for the 5-minute period before the first piling blow, with an integration time equal to the average duration of a piling peak for that location. To avoid the inclusion of background noise in the frequency weighted cSEL values, individual SEL values that did not exceed the background levels in the same decidecade frequency band were not included (following Tougaard and Dähne, 2017). The values that did exceed the background level were weighted using the different auditory weighting functions in Figure 3.3 and summed over the duration of the installation of one foundation to attain the cSEL_{fw} for each measurement location.



Figure 3.3 The NOAA (NOAA, 2018) frequency weighting functions for low-frequency, mid-frequency and high-frequency cetaceans, and phocid and otariid pinnipeds.

3.3 TRANSMISSION LOSS MODEL

To estimate distances to predefined thresholds for the disturbance and injury of fish, turtles and marine mammals, a damped cylindrical spreading model was used to calculate transmission losses from the sound source. The model is based on the damped cylindrical spreading (DCS) formula that was proposed by Zampolli et al. (2013) to calculate the decay of sound exposure levels from marine pile driving:

$$L_E(r) = L_E(r_1) - 10 \cdot \log_{10}\left(\frac{r}{r_1}\right) dB - \alpha(r - r_1),$$

in which r_1 is the reference range, L_E is the depth-averaged sound exposure level and α is the decay factor in dB/m. Here, the reference range is defined at 750 m, and $L_E(r1)$ is calculated as the average SELss measured with the two hydrophones at 1 m and 12 m above the bed. The decay factor was determined following Lippert et al. (2018), and includes the angle (θ) under which Mach cones are emitted from the monopile, a frequency dependent beam-shift (Δl), the water depth (H) and a bottom reflection coefficient (R), as:



Figure 3.4 Modeled versus measured unweighted 5% exceedance single-strike sound exposure levels (SELss) for the installation of foundations (left) A02 and (right) A01.

The bottom reflection coefficient is related to seabed sediment characteristics as the bulk density, the sound speed and damping coefficient. The DCS model is valid for a range up to $\alpha r < 20$ dB (Haeney et al., 2020), as near-horizontal propagating sound is more important than the Mach cone at larger distances. The decay factor here is $\alpha = 1.47$ dB/km and the DCS model can thus be applied up to 13.6 km distance from the sound source (Figure 3.4).

The properties of the seabed sediments were attained from borehole surveys that were conducted during the preparation phase of the project. Spatial variability of the properties of the seabed sediments are not included, but are expected to be limited in the near vicinity of the monopile foundations. The seabed sediments can be described as medium sand, the used values were based on Ainslie (2010) and varied in a realistic range to calibrate the model with the collected measurements; $c_p = 1825$ m/s, $\rho = 2120$ kg/m³ and $\beta = 0.48$ db/ λ . The average sound speed profile in water for the month May for the area was retrieved from the NOAA sound speed profile library, with a depth-averaged value of $c_w=1525$ m/s.

The estimated transmission losses are not directly applicable to SPL_{rms} and L_{peak} values, as the model only determines the transmission loss for L_E. There is, however, a site-specific linear relation between L_E and these parameters (Martin and Barclay, 2019; Ainslie et al. 2020). Linear regression was carried out for both monopiles using the measured SEL_{ss}, SPL_{rms} and L_{peak} for all individual blows. Correlation coefficients were > r^2 >0.96 for all regression analyses (Figure 3.5). Similar linear regression analyses was conducted to determine the decay of the frequency weighted SEL values, following Martin and Barclay (2019). Source levels for all acoustic metrics that are calculated with the DCS model are given in Table 3.3.



Figure 3.5 Regression analyses for the (left) SPL_{rms} and (right) L_{peak} versus SEL_{ss} for (top) A02 and (bottom) A01.

The DCS model does not include effects of variable bathymetry over range, but the seabed around the monopiles is relatively flat. From the location of the monopiles to a distance of 10 km, the water depth increases/decreases with maximum 5 m. This gives minimal differences in modelled sound exposure levels (Figure 3.6). Earlier studies for this area showed that due to this minimal variation in water depth surrounding the monopiles, main uncertainties in the spatial spreading of sound at larger distances are related to unknown heterogeneity in the seabed sediments (e.g., Haeney, 2020). Uncertainty in the directional variability in SEL levels is further increased with the application of a dBBC, which typically introduces up to 2-3 dB directional variability in the SEL levels.



Figure 3.6 Sound exposure levels (SELss) modeled for the water depth of (dotted line) 20, (solid line) 25 and (dashed line) 30 m versus measurements.

WATER PROOF

Table 3.3 Source	levels @1r	n calculated	using the L	DCS model.

Noise metric	Source level @ 1m A02	Source level @ 1m A01
SPL _{rms}	204 dB re 1 µPa	213 dB re 1 µPa
L _{peak}	212 dB re 1 µPa	221 dB re 1 µPa
cSEL _{uw}	221 dB re 1 µPa ² s	229 dB re 1 µPa ² s
cSEL _{lf}	215 dB re 1 µPa ² s	224 dB re 1 µPa ² s
cSEL _{mf}	189 dB re 1 µPa ² s	197 dB re 1 µPa ² s
cSEL _{hf}	186 dB re 1 µPa ² s	194 dB re 1 µPa ² s
cSEL _{ow}	204 dB re 1 µPa ² s	214 dB re 1 µPa ² s
cSEL _{pw}	202 dB re 1 µPa ² s	211 dB re 1 µPa ² s

4 **RESULTS**

4.1 MEASUREMENTS

4.1.1 Monopile A02 with dBBC

During the piling procedure to install monopile foundation A02, measurements at two distances, i.e. 750 and 3000 m, were collected (equipment was also installed at 1500 m distance, but no measurements were collected due to a malfunction, see Section 3.1.2.). At both locations, two hydrophones were spaced vertically, with one hydrophone at 1 m above the bed and one hydrophone in mid water depth, i.e. 12 m above the bed. During the installation of this foundation, noise levels were reduced using a dBBC.

Broadband and unweighted sound exposure levels (SEL_{ss}) were between 149 and 166 dB re 1 μ Pa²s at 750 m and between 143 and 157 dB re 1 μ Pa²s at 3000 m (Table 4.1). Maximum sound levels were recorded around 16:55 (Figure 4.1), corresponding with the highest piling energy (Figure 2.1). The unweighted cumulative level (cSEL_{uw}) for the 1373 blows decreased from 188-190 at 750 m to 181-183 dB re 1 μ Pa² at 3000 m distance.

Fnd	Distance (m)	Height above		(dB	SELss re 1µPa	a² s)		cSEL (dB re 1µPa² s)	(d	SPL _{rms} B re 1µ	Pa)	(di	L _{peak} B re 1µl	Pa)
	(,	bed	Min	90	50	5	Max		Min	50	Max	Min	50	Max
	750	1 m	151	154	161	163	166	192	156	167	173	166	177	182
A02	150	12 m	149	153	156	159	160	188	154	162	167	167	174	177
7.02	3000	1 m	143	146	152	154	157	183	149	158	163	160	169	173
	2200	12 m	143	145	150	153	154	181	147	156	162	160	166	173

Table 4.1	The minimum,	maximum o	and nth	percentiles	of sound le	evel metrics	for A02	at 750	and 3000	m.
				P			1			

Noise levels, SEL_{ss} and L_{peak}, for the individual piling blows are visualized in Figure 4.1 for both hydrophone depths in black (1 m above the seabed) and in blue (12 m above the seabed). Continuous sound levels (L_{eq}) calculated over 30 seconds are shown over the full piling period as dashed lines and show the difference between periods that include and not include piling noise. Solid lines represent the cumulative sound exposure levels (cSEL_{uw}) during the piling period.

Measured broadband sound exposure levels (SEL_{ss}, Figure 4.1) are sufficiently elevated (>10 dB) above the noise floor, before, after and during breaks in the piling procedure. This ensures a sufficient signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) to detect the pile driving peaks.

Some disturbance in the anchor set-up of the system at the higher hydrophone deployed at 3000 m distance, results in an elevated background noise level. This elevated background level, however, has minimal impact on the SEL_{ss}, the difference is only less than 10 dB in the first 15 minutes of the piling procedure. Sound pressure levels calculated over 30 seconds are calculated per decidecade frequency band and visualized in Figure 4.2.



Figure 4.1 Sound levels measured at a distance of 750 and 3000 m, during pile driving monopile foundation A02. Units for SEL_{ss} and cSEL are in dB re 1 μ Pa2s, for L_{peak} and L_{eq} in dB re 1 μ Pa.



Figure 4.2 Sound pressure level in decidecade and narrow frequency bands averaged over 30 seconds at (blue) 750 m and (red) 3000 m distance from piling location A02. The averaging block was taken around 17:13 (UTC-4) and contained 27 detected piling blows (blowrate=54 blows/min).

During the second half of the piling procedure (from 16:40), sound levels at the lower and higher hydrophone start to deviate at 750 m distance. The difference in SEL_{ss} is up to 6 dB re 1μ Pa²s, which is higher than differences that were typically observed in earlier studies. This is also reflected in the statistics in Table 4.1. It is unclear what caused the sound levels to deviate in the second half of the piling sequence, a smaller deviation was also observed at 750 m during the installation of the second foundation A01.

To calculate frequency weighted sound exposure levels, only the levels of the individual piling blows were included when these exceeded the background level at the particular frequency. This is illustrated in Figure 4.3, which shows the 5% exceedance value of the unweighted SEL (blue line) for each decidecade frequency band. At 750 m distance, the 5% exceedance value exceeds the background level for all frequencies, while at 3000 m this is only true up to 4 kHz. The resulting frequency weighted cSEL values are included in Table 4.2.



Figure 4.3 The 5% exceedance values of the sound exposure level for the decidecade frequency bands for the individual piling blows at (left) 750 m and (right) 3000 m distance from piling location A02. In grey the background noise level.

Fnd	Distance (m)	Height above			cS (dB re 1	EL μPa² s)		
	(,	bed	unweighted	LF	MF	HF	PW	ow
	750	1 m	192	183	149	147	166	160
۵02	150	12 m	188	179	148	146	163	158
102	3000	1 m	183	175	128	121	157	148
	2200	12 m	181	172	127	122	154	145

Table 4.2 Weighted cumulative sound exposure levels for A02 at 750 and 3000 m.

4.1.2 Monopile A01 without mitigation

The second monopile foundation, A01, was installed without the operation of a bubble curtain. Instruments were deployed in northward direction at 750, 1500, 3000 and 4900 m, with an additional station at 750 m for contingency (see section 3.1.2). The additional measurements at 750 m were fairly similar to the sound levels recorded at 750 m in northward direction (Table 4.3) and are therefore not further discussed.

Fnd	Fnd Distance Height (m)		SEL _{ss} (dB re 1µPa² s)				cSEL (dB re 1µPa² s)	SPL _{rms} (dB re 1μPa)			L _{peak} (dB re 1μPa)			
		bed	Min	90	50	5	Max		Min	50	Max	Min	50	Max
	750	1 m	165	167	170	173	174	202	171	180	183	182	190	193
	750	12 m	162	165	167	168	171	199	171	177	180	183	187	190
	750 (2)	1 m	167	170	172	174	176	204	173	182	186	187	191	194
	150 (2)	12 m	162	165	167	168	171	199	170	177	180	183	187	190
۵01	1500	1 m	161	163	165	166	168	197	170	174	177	179	183	187
AUT	1500	12 m	158	162	163	165	167	195	168	174	176	177	182	186
	3000	1 m	155	158	160	161	163	191	163	169	172	172	178	182
	5000	12 m	154	157	159	160	162	191	162	168	171	172	178	182
	4900	1 m	150	153	154	156	158	186	158	163	166	167	173	176
	4500	12 m	150	153	155	156	158	186	160	164	168	168	174	178

Table 4.3 The minimum, maximum and nth percentiles of sound level metrics for A01 at 750, 750 (extra), 1500, 3000 and 4900 m.

Broadband and unweighted sound exposure levels (SELss) were between 162 and 174 dB re 1 μ Pa²s at 750 m and decreased to values between 150 and 158 dB re 1 μ Pa²s at 4900 m (Table 4.4). These exposure levels are well above the noise floor (120-130 dB re 1 μ Pa) at both locations (Figure 4.4). The unweighted cumulative level (cSEL_{uw}) for the 1558 blows decreased from 199-202 at 750 m to 186 dB re 1 μ Pa² at 4900 m distance.

Noise levels, SEL_{ss} and L_{peak}, for the individual piling blows are visualized in Figure 4.4 for both hydrophone depths in black (1 m above the seabed) and blue (12 m above the seabed). Continuous sound levels (L_{eq}) calculated over 30 seconds are shown over the full piling period as dashed lines and show the difference between periods that include and exclude piling noise. Solid lines represent the cumulative sound exposure levels (cSEL_{uw}) during the piling period. As observed during the installation of A02, a difference between the two vertically spaced hydrophones occurs in the last hour of pile driving. Noise levels are slightly larger close to the bed, the difference between the hydrophones reduces with distance from the piling location.

Sound levels in the decidecade frequency bands at 750 m exceed the background level over the entire frequency range for the loudest 5% of the peaks (Figure 4.6). The measurement station at 4900 m distance, records 95% of the exposure levels related to piling noise to be below the background level at

frequencies higher than 16 kHz. The high levels in the higher frequencies directly relates with the absence of the bubble curtain during the installation of this foundation, as bubble curtains are most effective in reducing levels at higher frequencies (Bellmann, 2014). That these higher levels exceed the background level beyond the frequency range of the hydrophone setup only minimally affect calculated broadband and low-frequency weighted values, but cumulative values for the mid and high-frequency range (cSEL_{mf} and cSEL_{hf}) are underestimated here (Table 4.4). At a distance of 4900 m, the piling noise levels are below the background level for frequencies above 16 kHz and here mid and high-frequency weighted values are thus accurate.



Figure 4.4 Sound levels measured at a distance of 750 and 4900 m, during pile driving monopile foundation A01. Units for SEL_{ss} and cSEL are in dB re 1 μ Pa2s, for L_{peak} and L_{eq} in dB re 1 μ Pa.



Figure 4.5 Sound pressure level in decidecade and narrow frequency bands averaged over 30 seconds at (blue) 750 m and (red) 4900 m distance from piling location A01. Averaging block was taken around 12:36 (UTC-4) and contained 28 detected piling blows (blowrate=56 blows/min).



Figure 4.6 The 5% exceedance values of the sound exposure level for the decidecade frequency bands for the individual piling blows at (left) 750 m and (right) 4900 m distance from piling location A01. In grey the background noise level.

Fnd	Distance	Height above			cS (dB re 1	EL µPa² s)		
	(,	bed	unweighted	LF	MF	HF	PW	ow
	750	1 m	202	196	166	162	183	181
	150	12 m	199	193	165	161	181	180
	750 (2)	1 m	204	197	166	162	183	181
	150(2)	12 m	199	192	165	162	180	180
401	1500	1 m	197	190	160	156	177	176
AUT	1500	12 m	195	188	158	155	175	174
	3000	1 m	191	184	154	150	171	170
	5000	12 m	191	184	155	151	170	169
	4900	1 m	186	180	149	145	166	165
	4300	12 m	186	179	148	144	165	164

Table 4.4 Weighted cumulative sound exposure levels for A01 at 750, 750 (2), 1500, 3000 and 4900 m.

4.2 **DISTANCES TO THRESHOLDS**

4.2.1 Monopile A02 with dBBC

The acoustic model to simulate transmission losses was calibrated based on the measured data and subsequently used to estimate distances to disturbance and injury thresholds for fish, turtles and marine mammals. The distances to these thresholds are shown together with the measured and simulated sound levels in Figure 4.7. Except for the distances to the SPL_{rms} = 150 dB re 1 μ Pa (disturbance of fish) and SPL_{rms} = 160 dB re 1 μ Pa (level B harassment of marine mammals), all threshold levels are smaller than the level measured at 750 m or in between the measured levels at 750 m and 3000 m. This means the estimated distances to these thresholds are relatively accurate, uncertainties in the sound levels mainly exist beyond the range where measurements were conducted (i.e. for distances > 3000 m).

WATER PROOF



Figure 4.7 Estimation of distances to thresholds for L_{peak}, SPL_{rms}, cSEL_{uw} and cSEL_{fw} during the installation of A02. Vertical dashed lines represent calculated distances for Table 4.5 and Table 4.6.

Table 4.5 Estimated distances to disturbance and injury noise thresholds for fish, marine mammals and sea turtles during the installation of A02. The pre-NOAA level A harassment threshold was in use before the definition of thresholds for the individual hearing groups (Section 3.2.2). Distances smaller than 100 m are within the extent of the bubble curtains.

Fnd			SPL _{rms} (dB re 1µPa)	cSEL (dB re 1μPa² s)	L _{peak} (dB re 1µPa)	Distance (m)
		Disturbance	150	-	-	8164
	Fish	Injury (small fish)	-	183	-	2529
A02		Injury (large fish)	-	187	-	1450
	Marine	Pre-NOAA Level A Harassment	180	-	-	173
	Mammals	Level B Harassment	160	-	-	3891

	Disturbance	166	-	-	1993
Sea Turtles	Injury	180	-	-	173
	Potential mortal injury	-	210	-	<100
	Potential mortal injury	-	-	207	<100

Table 4.6 Estimated distances to noise thresholds for the different marine mammal hearing groups. (*Otariid Pinnipeds do not occur in the project area). Distances smaller than 100 m are within the extent of the bubble curtains.

Fnd	PTS onset	Threshold L _{peak} (dB re 1µPa)	Distance (m)	Threshold weighted cSEL (dB re 1µPa ² s)	Distance (m)
	Low-Frequency (LF) Cetaceans	219	<100	183	652
	Mid-Frequency (MF) Cetaceans	230	<100	185	<100
A02	High-Frequency (HF) Cetaceans	202	<100	155	<100
	Phocid Pinnipeds (PW) (Underwater)	218	<100	185	<100
	Otariid Pinnipeds (OW) (Underwater) *	232	<100	203	<100

Determined distances for disturbance and injury of fish, turtles and marine mammals are summarized in Table 4.5 and Table 4.6. The distances that are smaller than 100 m are not further specified, as these are within the extent of the outer bubble curtain. Estimates for actual distances within the extent of the bubble curtain can be attained from the results for monopile A01, which was installed without noise mitigation measures. Distances to sound levels that can cause injury are max. 2.5 km for fish, 0.2 km for turtles and max. 0.7 km for marine mammals. Except for the low-frequency cetaceans, the zone where the sound levels are exceeding the PTS threshold are contained within the outer bubble curtain.

4.2.2 Monopile A01 without mitigation

Unweighted and frequency-weighted sound levels were significantly larger during the installation of monopile foundation A01, for which a bubble curtain was not used. This was the reason to extend the measurement array from 3000 m for A02 to 4900 m for A01. The SPL_{rms} = 150, 160, 166 dB re 1 μ Pa and cSEL_{uw} = 183 dB re 1 μ Pa²s (Table 4.7) did exceed the range of 4900 m and the determined distances for these values thus have a higher uncertainty.



Figure 4.8 Estimation of distances to thresholds for L_{peak}, SPL_{rms}, cSEL_{uw} and cSEL_{fw} during the installation of A01. *Vertical dashed lines represent calculated distances for Table 4.7 and Table 4.8.*

Table 4.7 Estimated distances to disturbance and injury noise thresholds for fish, marine mammals and sea turtles during the installation of A01. The pre-NOAA level A harassment threshold was in use before the definition of thresholds for the individual hearing groups (Section 3.2.2).

Fnd			SPL _{rms} (dB re 1µPa)	cSEL (dB re 1µPa² s)	L _{peak} (dB re 1µPa)	Distance (m)
		Disturbance	150	-	-	12684
	Fish	Injury (small fish)	-	183	-	5873
		Injury (large fish)	-	187	-	4166
AUT	Marine	Pre-NOAA Level A Harassment	180	-	-	958
	Mammals	Level B Harassment	160	-	-	7704
	Sea Turtles	Disturbance	166	-	-	5069

Injury	180	-	-	958
Potential mortal injury	-	210	-	83
Potential mortal injury	-	-	207	23

Table 4.8 Estimated distances to noise thresholds for the different marine mammal hearing groups. (*Otariid Pinnipeds do not occur in the area)

Fnd	PTS onset	Threshold L _{peak} (dB re 1µPa)	Distance (m)	Threshold weighted cSEL (dB re 1µPa² s)	Distance (m)
A01	Low-Frequency (LF) Cetaceans	219	2	183	3143
	Mid-Frequency (MF) Cetaceans	230	<1	185	12
	High-Frequency (HF) Cetaceans	202	71	155	1550
	Phocid Pinnipeds (PW) (Underwater)	218	2	185	332
	Otariid Pinnipeds (OW) (Underwater)*	232	<1	203	6

Without the use of a bubble curtain, distances to disturbance and injury threshold are increased significantly (Table 4.7 and Table 4.8). Distances to sound levels that can cause injury are max. 5.9 km for fish, 1.2 km for turtles and max. 3.1 km for marine mammals. The distances to a threshold defined as a cumulative sound exposure level are based on a level that would accumulate if fish, turtles or marine mammals would remain in the same position throughout the piling procedure. This is a conservative estimate, as in reality fish, turtles and marine mammals will increase their distance to loud sound sources over time, if necessary.

4.3 EFFECTIVENESS OF NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES

The large difference between noise levels and impact distances between A02 and A01 are caused by the application of a double Big Bubble Curtain (dBBC) during the installation of monopile A02 and the absence of noise mitigation measures during the installation of A01. See Section 2 for a description of the deployed noise mitigation system.

The effectiveness of the bubble curtains to reduce noise levels is discussed here by comparing measured levels during both monopile installations. This comparison gives and indication of noise level reductions that can be achieved. There are, however, more factors involved in noise level variability and their impact cannot be separated statistically based on a comparison between only two foundation installations.

Underwater noise levels during the installation of a monopile foundation are related strongest to the size of the monopile, the piling energy, soil resistance and the piling procedure. The piling energy versus depth-averaged measured SEL for both monopiles is visualized in Figure 4.9. The piling procedures that were used to install both monopiles differ considerably. While monopile A01 was installed with a gradual

increase of the piling energy after the soft start, the piling energy during the installation of monopile A02 was varied throughout the piling procedure. The variability in noise levels over short timespans can be explained by varying blow energy (Figure 4.9), and generally there is an increase in noise levels with increasing blow energy (Figure 4.10).

The blow energy is, however, not the sole variable that affects SEL values, as becomes clear from the large spread within classes of blow energy and the overlap between these classes (Figure 4.10).

Values during the soft start (the first approx. 10 blows) show higher noise values than would be expected based on the blow energy, especially for A01. This is measured more often and can sometimes be ascribed to a high resistive upper soil layer, here it is unclear what causes these higher levels. In a recent extensive study (Bellmann et al., 2020) that used a large dataset of measurements collected during impulse pile driving events, a consistent explanation for the occasional higher levels during the soft start could also not be found.



Figure 4.9 The single-strike sound exposure levels and blow energy for A02, with dBBC, and A01, without noise mitigation. The shown sound exposure levels are depth-averaged.







Figure 4.11 The mean and range in depth-averaged sound exposure level in decidecade frequency bands for (blue) A02 and (red) A01 for blows divided in three classes. The yellow dashed line represents the background (noise floor) level during A02. And (right-bottom) the difference between mean values for A02 and A01.

The division of individual blows in three different classes of blow energy is also used to estimate the effectiveness of the dBBC in reducing noise levels during the installation of A02. SEL values in the decidecade frequency bands, analogues to the broadband levels, increase with higher blow energy classes, but spectral shapes remain very similar. The effectiveness of the dBBC as a noise mitigation measure is frequency dependent and reaches a maximum around 1 kHz (Figure 4.11). The frequency dependent insertion loss of the dBBC is consistent over the different classes in piling energy and increases from 5 dB at 16 Hz to 23 dB at 1 kHz.

The decrease in insertion loss around 80 Hz is caused by a difference in the peak frequency between piling noise measured at A02 and A01 and is not related to dBBC effectiveness.

The difference between SEL values in the decidecade bands decreases for frequencies > 1 kHz. This decrease is explained by the relatively low energy level in higher frequencies emitted from a piling location, and piling noise levels at A02 being below the background noise level at higher frequencies.

The effectiveness of the dBBC to reduce broadband noise levels is estimated as the reduction in median levels across the three classes in blow energy (Table 4.9). Reductions are again similar across classes in blow energy, only the reductions in SPL_{rms} vary more than 2 dB across classes. The SPL_{rms} is calculated over the time duration in which 90% of the peak energy is present, the application of a bubble curtain typically increases this duration as the peak energy is more spread out in time, resulting in decreased SPL_{rms} values. This explains higher reductions for the SPL_{rms} values in comparison with the SEL values,
which are less sensitive to the peak duration. The duration which contains 90% of the peak energy is also larger for piling noise levels that are closer to the background (or noise floor) level, this explains the higher reduction for SPL_{rms} values in the low blow energy classes.

Reductions in broad band levels are generally around 9 - 15 dB (Table 4.9). The reduction in frequency weighted SEL_{pw} is larger (around 20 dB), as the auditory frequency weighting function for phocid pinnipeds is centered at the frequencies where the largest reductions are measured.

	SEL	SPL _{rms}	L_{peak}	SEL _{If}	SEL _{mf}	SEL _{hf}	SEL _{pw}	SEL _{ow}
Median reduction [dB] 300 - 400 kJ	-10.6	-15.4	-12.2	-11.3	-14.2	-12.7	-20.8	-14.9
Median reduction [dB] 400 - 500 kJ	-9.4	-13.2	-12.0	-11.3	-14.1	-12.8	-19.5	-15.2
Median reduction [dB] 500 - 550 kJ	-8.8	-11.7	-11.7	-10.8	-13.9	-12.7	-19.0	-14.7

Table 4.9 Differences between median noise levels between A02 and A01, for three classes in blow energy.

The application of the dBBC during the installation of A02 thus significantly reduced far-field piling noise levels. This is also reflected in the estimated distances to noise thresholds for disturbance and injury of fish, marine mammals and sea turtles (Table 4.10). As the distances to these thresholds are reduced considerably, the affected areas in which the thresholds are exceeded are diminished by 58 – 100 %.

Table 4.10 Modeled distances to thresholds for the installation of A02 and A01 (see also Table 4.5 - Table 4.8) and the reduction in impacted area in percentage.

			A01 Distance (m)	A02 Distance (m)	Reduction area [%]
	Disturbance		12684	8164	58.6
Fish	Iniury	Small fish	5873	2529	81.5
	ngary	Large fish	4166	1450	87.9
		Low-Frequency (LF) Cetaceans		652	95.7
		Mid-Frequency (MF) Cetaceans	12	<100	n.a.
Marine Mammals	PTS onset	High-Frequency (HF) Cetaceans	1550	<100	>99.6
Marine Marimais		Phocid Pinnipeds (PW) (Underwater)	332	<100	>90.9
		Otariid Pinnipeds (OW) (Underwater)*	6	<100	n.a.
	Pre-NOAA Level A Harassment		958	173	96.7

WATER

	Level B Harassment	7704	3891	74.5
Sea Turtles	Disturbance	5069	1993	84.5
	Injury	958	173	96.7
	Potential mortal injury	23 / 83	<100	n.a.

In comparison with statistical analysis of piling noise monitored during the installation of over 150 piles (Bellmann et al., 2020) in water depths of >25 m and with double Big Bubble Curtains with applied air volumes of >0.3 m3/(min·m), noise reductions observed here are lower than expected. The insertion loss of SEL values for optimized dBBC systems ranges between 14 and 18 dB, while here a reduction of only 9 – 11 dB was measured with supplied air volume 0.35 (+/- 0.03) m³/(min·m).

Reduction values reported for optimized dBBC systems are typically not achieved during the installation of the first pile of a project, as multiple deployments are needed for this optimization. There are multiple other factors that could explain the lower reduction values. The comparison between noise values at A01 and A02 is in-direct and between two piles only, this disables the possibility to exclude other factors that affect noise levels such as the piling regime and the local soil conditions.

Moreover, some technical challenges were encountered during the operation of the bubble curtain. During normal operations, both ends of the hoses are connected to the air compressors to supply air from both sides. During the installation of A02, however, only one end of the inner bubble curtain could be retrieved and used for air supply. Air was thus supplied from two sides for the outer bubble curtain, but from only one side for the inner bubble curtain. The air supply to both hoses was subsequently adjusted to ensure both bubble curtains fully encompassed the piling location. The air flow to the inner bubble curtain was, however, reduced to 82%, possibly reducing the effectiveness of the dBBC system.

WATER

5 CONCLUSIONS

Underwater sound levels were measured during the installation of the monopile foundations A02 and A01 of the Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Pilot Project. The main difference between the measurements that were collected during the installation of these two foundations is caused by the difference in implemented noise mitigation measure. While foundation A02 was installed with a (non-optimized) double Big Bubble Curtain (dBBC), A01 was installed without such a measure and broadband sound exposure levels were 9 - 11 dB higher.

Distances to disturbance and injury thresholds for fish, turtles and marine mammals, during the installation of A01 and A02, were estimated using the measurements in combination with a transmission loss model. Distances to sound levels that can cause injury were max. 2.5 km for fish, 0.2 km for turtles and max. 0.7 km for marine mammals during A02, and max. 5.9 km for fish, 1.2 km for turtles and max. 3.1 km for marine mammals during the installation of A01.



6 REFERENCES

Ainslie, M.A., 2010. *Principles of Sonar Performance Modeling. Springer*, New York, pp 1-707.

Ainslie, M.A., Halvorsen, M.B., Müller, R.A.J. and Lippert, T., 2020. *Application of damped cylindrical spreading to assess range to injury threshold for fishes from impact pile driving*. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 148 (1), 108-121.

Bellmann, M. A., 2014. Overview of existing Noise Mitigation Systems for reducing Pile-Driving Noise. Internoise 16-19 November 2014, Melbourne, Australia.

Bellmann, M.A., May, A., Wendt, T., Gerlach, S., Remmers, P. and Brinkmann, J., 2020. Underwater noise during the impulse pile-driving procedure: Influencing factors on pile-driving noise and technical possibilities to comply with noise mitigation values. Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (Bundesamt für Seeschifffahrt und Hydrographie (BSH)), Order No. 10036866.

BOEM, 2019. *Conditions of Research Activities Plan Revisions Approval*. Lease number OCS-A 0497. U.S. Department of Interior. Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.

Haeney, K.D., Ainslie, M.A., Halvorsen, M.B., Seger, K.D., Müller, R.A.J., Nijhof, M.J.J. and Lippert, T., 2020. *A parametric Analysis and Sensitivity Study of the Acoustic Propagation for Renewable Energy Sources.* Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, US Department of Interior.

ISO18406, 2017. Underwater Acoustics – Measurement of radiated underwater sound from percussive pile *driving*. International Standard ISO18406:2017.

Lippert, T., Ainslie, M.A., von Estorff, O., 2018. *Pile driving acoustics made simple: Damped Cylindrical spreading model.* J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 143, 310-317.

Martin, S.B., Barclay, D.R., 2019. Determining the dependence of marine pile driving sound levels on strike energy, pile penetration, and propagation effects using a linear mixed model based on damped cylindrical spreading. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 146 (1), 109-121.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2012. Marine Mammal Acoustic Thresholds. https://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/protected_species/marine_mammals/threshold_guidance.htm I. Accessed August 1, 2019.

National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2018a. 2018 Revisions to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 2.0): Underwater Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-59. 167 pp.

NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration), 2018. 2018 Revisions to: Technical Guidance for Assessing the Effects of Anthropogenic Sound on Marine Mammal Hearing (Version 2.0): Underwater Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts. U.S. Dept. of Commer., National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Marine Fisheries Serivces (NMFS). NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-OPR-59, 167 p.

Tougaard J. and Dähne, M., 2017. Why is auditory frequency weighting so important in regulation of underwater noise? Journal of Acoustical Society of America 142 (4). doi: 10.1121/1.5008901.

WATER

WaterProof, 2020a. Underwater Noise Monitoring. Preliminary results Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Project, Foundation A02. WaterProof Marine Consultancy & Services BV.

WaterProof, 2020b. Underwater Noise Monitoring. Preliminary results Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Project, Foundation A01. WaterProof Marine Consultancy & Services BV.

Zampolli, M., Nijhof, M.J.J., de Jong, C.A.F., Ainslie, M.A., Jansen, E.H.W. and Quesson, B.A.J., 2013. *Validation of finite element computations for the quantitative prediction of underwater noise from impact pile driving*. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 133, 72-81.

WATER PROOF

DN Jan De Nul n.v.	Orsted			
CVOW - Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind Combined Foundation and Turbine T&I	Reference	JDN1823.REP.62.32.E		
Final Noise and PSO Monitoring Report	Revision	0.9		

ANNEX B: PSO MONITORING FINAL REPORT







A.I.S., Inc.

Protected Species Monitoring Final Report

PROTECTED SPECIES MONITORING SERVICES DURING PILE DRIVING FOR OCS-A 0497

FINAL REPORT



Prepared for:

Simon Petit Environmental Engineer Jan de Nul Dredging N.V. Tragel 60, 9308 Hofstade, Aalst Belgium E: simon.petit@jandenul.com Prepared by:

Kathryn Roy Protected Species Program Manager A.I.S., Inc. 540 Hawthorn Street, Dartmouth, MA 02747 USA E: <u>kathrynr@aisobservers.com</u>

Date

August 13, 2020

Confidentiality

The information summarized in this Final Report was collected by A.I.S., Inc. for Jan de Nul Dredging N.V. to be distributed to the National Marine Fisheries Service and the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management per the issued permit associated with the Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind (CVOW).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRO	DUCTION	4
2.	SUMM	ARY OF PILE DRIVING ACTIVITIES	5
3.	PROTE	CTED SPECIES OBSERVATION METHODS	5
	3.1	Protected Species Observer Training and Compliance	6
	3.2	Monitoring Methods and Equipment	8
	3.3	Visual Monitoring	8
	3.4	Passive Acoustic Monitoring	9
4.	PILE DF	RIVING SURVEY SUMMARY	9
	4.1 Obs	erver Effort	9
	4.2 Sigh	ting and Detection Results	.10
5.	EXPOS	JRE ASSESSMENT	.15
6.	SUMM	ARY OF WEATHER & ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS	.16
7.	ASSESS	MENT OF MONITORING METHODS	.17

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Location of Lease Area OCS-A-0497 and export cable route	4
Figure 2 Summary of protected species mitigation zones for pile driving operations within Lease OCS-A-0497 co	onducted
between May 25, 2020 and May 30, 2020	7
Figure 3 CVOW -1 Cumulative Monitoring Hours per Vessel by Observation Type	10
Figure 4. CVOW-1 Operational Activity Distribution by Time	10
Figure 5 CVOW-1 Protected Species Detections by Number of Individuals from the Vole au Vent	11
Figure 6 CVOW-1 Protected Species Detections by Number of Individuals from the Berto Miller	11
Figure 7 North Atlantic right whale sighting aboard the OIV Vole au Vent on June 2nd, 2020	12
Figure 8 Clymene dolphin sighting aboard the OIV Vole au Vent on June 1 st , 2020	12
Figure 9 Passive Acoustic Monitoring Detection of Bottlenose Dolphin aboard the M/V Berto Miller	13
Figure 10 Protected Species Detections by Average Approach to the OIV Vole au Vent	14
Figure 11 CVOW-1 - Vole Au Vent - Protected Species Detections by Vessel Activity	14
Figure 12 CVOW-1 - Berto Miller- Protected Species Detections by Vessel Activity	15
Figure 13 Visibility Distribution (Good = >5,000m, Moderate= 1,000 – 5,000m, Poor= <1,000m)	16
Figure 14 Precipitation Distribution	17
Figure 15 Glare Severity Distribution (None=0%, Minimal=<25% Moderate=25-50%, Extreme=>50%)	17

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Summary of protected species mitigation zones for pile driving operations within Lease OCS-A-0497 co	onducted
between May 25, 2020 and May 30, 2020	6
Table 2 Authorized marine mammal takes and maximum estimated takes by harassment for pile driving co	onducted
under the Incidental Harassment Authorization.	16

APPENDICES

Appendix A. M/V Berto Miller Passive Acoustic Monitoring Set Up Appendix B. OIV Vole au Vent - CVOW Final Activity Report Summary Appendix C. M/V Berto Miller - CVOW Final Activity Report Summary Appendix D. M/V Berto Miller - CVOW Project Protected Species Individual Detection Summary Appendix E. OIV Vole au Vent - CVOW Project Protected Species Individual Detection Summary Appendix F. Renditions of Protected Species Sightings in Relation to OIV Vole au Vent During on Pile Driving Days Appendix G. Renditions of Protected Species Sightings in Relation to OIV Vole au Vent During Pre-Construction Transit Appendix H. Renditions of Protected Species Sightings in Relation to OIV Vole au Vent During Post-Construction Transit

1. INTRODUCTION

On April 1, 2015, the Commonwealth of Virginia, Department of Mines, Minerals and Energy was awarded the 2,135 acre lease area off the State of Virginia. Known as the Coastal Virginia Offshore Wind (CVOW) research project, this area is intended to demonstrate a grid-connected 12 megawatt (MW) offshore wind facility run by Dominion Energy Virginia (Dominion), 24 nautical miles east of Virginia Beach, Virginia. This offshore wind energy project falls within the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management (BOEM) Commercial Lease of Submerged Lands for Renewable Energy Development on the Outer Continental Shelf OCS-A 0497 (Lease) and export cable routes (Figure 1). The two installed 6 MW wind turbine generators (WTG), associated substation platform, inter-array cables and subsea export cable will ultimately provide the state of Virginia with renewable energy. The initial WTG were installed as part of an effort to explore new ways of lowering the cost of offshore wind as well as testing new and improved offshore wind technologies. The WTG are set to be fully operational by fall 2020 and at peak production will provide an estimated 3000 homes with renewable energy.



Figure 1 Location of Lease Area OCS-A-0497 and export cable route.

The following report summarizes protected species observation activities during pile driving operations associated with the installation of the two 6 MW turbine bases. Lease and IHA stipulations for pile driving require mitigation and monitoring for marine mammals and sea turtles. These protocols are summarized and approved in survey plans submitted to BOEM and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) prior to the beginning of installation. In order to comply with these permits, Jan de Nul Dredging N.V. (JDN), contracted A.I.S. Inc. (AIS) to provide Protected Species Observers (PSO) and Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) Operators to monitor for marine mammals and sea turtles during pile driving operations.

This report reviews the complete results from the May 2020 pile driving efforts associated with the Incidental Harassment Authorization (IHA) that was received by JDN and AIS from the Employer (Orsted Wind Power) on May 16th, 2020 in the afternoon for activities involving the use of pile driving equipment during construction conducted within the Lease. This report satisfies the IHA reporting requirement and summarizes the data contained in the separate vessel reports which are included as appendices for reference.

2. SUMMARY OF PILE DRIVING ACTIVITIES

The Offshore Installation Vessel (OIV) Vole au Vent was used to implement the pile driving operations over a two day period, which occurred on May 25th, 2020 and May 30th, 2020. The pile driving operations were in support of the installation of two monopiles associated with the two WTG to be installed in the Lease as part of the CVOW initiative led by Dominion. Due to the potential for harassment of marine mammals, sea turtles and Atlantic sturgeon as a result of the sound generated by the pile driving operations, three (3) PSO were deployed aboard OIV Vole au Vent, in accordance with the Research Activities Plan (RAP) and the NMFS issued Incidental Harassment Authorization (IHA). An additional three (3) PSO were deployed aboard a supporting/monitoring vessel M/V Berto Miller, along with a PAM system for acoustic monitoring during times of limited visibility. During the pile driving pre-watch operations, the Berto Miller circled the Vole au Vent at a distance of 1,750m to ensure complete coverage of the Exclusion Zone (Table 1) and initially assess visibility for the Vole au Vent team. After the one hour pre-clearance was complete, the Berto Miller transited in to 1,000m distance from the Vole au Vent to monitor for protected species during pile driving operations. For the period of operations associated with the first pile being driven, a double bubble curtain was deployed around the Vol au Vent to decrease the sound propagation of the pile being driven. This feature was absent during the second pile installation, ultimately increasing the monitoring zone to be monitored by the PSO teams from 3,830m to 5,930m (Figure 2). Due to the daylight only restrictions on the pile driving activities, the teams of PSOs aboard the two vessels worked in shifts to conduct mitigation monitoring accordingly. Pile driving was also prohibited from beginning within four hours of sunset.

3. PROTECTED SPECIES OBSERVATION METHODS

To fulfill the protected species monitoring requirements of (i) BOEM Lease OCS-A 0497, (ii) NMFS IHA and (iii) RAP, JDN contracted AIS to provide PSOs and PAM Operators to monitor for presence of marine mammals and sea turtles during pile driving operations. These monitoring activities were designed to minimize potential impacts of the pile driving operations and were completed in accordance with BOEM Lease stipulations and approved RAP. PSOs were on watch 24 hours per day during transit aboard the OIV Vole au Vent and Berto Miller and during daylight hours only on the days where pile driving operations were expected.

The mitigation and monitoring measures associated with the pile driving operations on the CVOW project were as follows:

- Pile Driving Time Restrictions: Pile driving must commence only during daylight hours at least four hours prior to sunset. Pile driving may continue after dark only when the installation of the same pile began during daylight when the Exclusion Zone was fully visible for at least 30 minutes. Pile driving activities must not occur between November 1 and April 30.
- Strike Avoidance: All vessels, regardless of length, must observe a 10-knot speed restriction in specific areas designated by NMFS for the protection of North Atlantic right whales, including any Dynamic Management Areas when in effect, and the Mid-Atlantic Seasonal Management Area (from November 1 through April 30).All vessel operators and crew maintained vigilant watch for cetaceans, pinnipeds, and sea turtles and slowed down or stopped their vessel to avoid striking these protected species; the following distances were maintained:
 - o 500 meters (m) for North Atlantic right whales;
 - o 100 m for non-delphinoid cetaceans;
 - 50 m for delphinoid cetaceans or pinnipeds
- **Pre-Clearance of Exclusion Zone:** The PSO team implemented a 60-minute pre-clearance period of the exclusion zones around the vessel prior to the initiation of pile driving operations. During this period the zones were monitored by PSOs using the appropriate visual technology. Pile driving was not initiated if any marine mammal or sea turtle was within its respective exclusion zone. If a marine mammal or sea turtle is entering or is

observed within the established Exclusion Zone within 30 minutes prior to commencement of pile driving, pile driving would be delayed. Pile driving may not commence until either the animal has voluntarily left and been visually confirmed beyond the Exclusion Zone or 15 minutes have elapsed without subsequent detection for delphinoids and pinnipeds, or 30 minutes have elapsed without subsequent detection for all other marine mammals or sea turtles.

• Exclusion Zones: PSOs established exclusion and monitoring zones prior to the start of operations, as follows:

 Table 1 Summary of protected species mitigation zones for pile driving operations within Lease OCS-A-0497 conducted between May 25, 2020 and May 30, 2020.

	Exclusion Zone	Monitoring Zone (bubble curtain active)	Monitoring Zone (bubble curtain inactive)
North Atlantic Right Whale ¹	Any distance*	3,830m	5,930m
All other marine mammal species	1,750m	3,830m	5,930m
Sea Turtles	1,000m	3,830m	5,930m

* Any detection of a North Atlantic right whale, regardless of distance, resulted in an equipment shutdown.

- Soft Start/Ramp Up: Soft start requires contractors to provide an initial set of strikes at reduced energy. A soft start must be implemented at the start of each day's impact pile driving and at any time following cessation of impact pile driving for a period of thirty minutes or longer. This process consisted of ten strikes at equal, low energy (~322kJ) over a ten minute period, prior to full power pile driving operations.
- Shut-downs: In the event that a whale or sea turtle was sighted within the exclusion zone during operations, an immediate shut down of pile driving operations was required. Activities must not resume until the animal has been confirmed to have left the area or the observation time period, as indicated above, has elapsed.
- **Post-Clearance of Exclusion Zone:** The PSO team implemented a 30-minute post-clearance period of the exclusion zones around the vessel after pile driving activities were deemed complete for the day. During this period the zones were monitored by PSOs using the appropriate visual technology, and any observed marine mammals or sea turtles were documented accordingly.

3.1 Protected Species Observer Training and Compliance

There were six (6) PSOs deployed on the two pile driving operation related vessels, two of which were dual certified as PAM Operators and located on the support/monitoring vessel. Each PSO was approved by NMFS and BOEM prior to the survey and were required to comply with JDN operating standards, possess fit-for-sea duty medical clearance, and offshore safety training. Additionally, all PSOs attended the Permit and Project Environmental Compliance Plan (PECP) training conducted by Tetra Tech, completed the required Orsted safety trainings, and received project specific training that covered the following topics:

- Permits and plans relevant to the project
- Environmental compliance requirements;
- Health and safety requirements;
- o PSO/PAM Operator requirements and scheduling;
- Protected species mitigation methods;
- Communication;
- Authorized takes;
- Data forms;
- Use and maintenance of PSO and PAM equipment;
- Protected species identification review.

A.I.S. Inc. Protected Species Observer – CVOW Final Report 2020 BOEM Lease OCS-A 0497





3.2 Monitoring Methods and Equipment

PSOs and PAM Operators worked in shifts to ensure that each individual did not exceed four consecutive hours of watch and received a two hour break for every four hours of watch. Best efforts were made to ensure that no individual worked more than 12 hours in a 24-hour period. There were three (3) PSOs aboard the OIV Vole au Vent and three (3) PSO (two dual-rolled PSO/PAM operators) aboard the support/monitoring vessel M/V Berto Miller to fulfill protected species monitoring and mitigation requirements.

In accordance with the RAP, a minimum of two visual observers maintained a constant watch of the exclusion zone surrounding the vessel during daylight hours. Other than strike avoidance during transit of vessels to and from the construction site, there were no nighttime operations. As needed, hand-held night vision devices (NVD) were used during strike avoidance mitigation. PSO duties included:

- Visually monitoring the exclusion zone 360° around the construction vessel during piling for the presence of marine mammals and all other protected species during survey operations;
- Document all protected species sightings and environmental conditions on approved data forms and report all incidents to proper personnel;
- Recording operational activities during monitoring effort;
- Informing captain, or designated personnel, if a protected species is heading towards or enters the exclusion zone;
- Advising captain and crew on vessel speed restrictions and activities in the event of protected species detections;
- Summarizing daily monitoring effort and submitting data forms to the appropriate staff or database.

PSOs and PAM Operators recorded data on standard observation forms including details on survey operations, observer effort, environmental conditions, protected species sightings and incidents. PSOs regularly checked the whale alert app and the Right Whale Sighting Advisory System (RWSAS) for recent North Atlantic right whale sightings and established Dynamic Management Areas (DMAs) in the survey area. For each shift, a designated Lead PSO was responsible for communicating the presence of protected species to the offshore project manager and for communicating and enforcing appropriate mitigation actions.

Mitigation and Exclusion Zones were established based on the construction equipment being operated, BOEM Lease requirements and NMFS issued IHA requirements. A 60-minute clearance period for all marine mammals and sea turtles was conducted prior to starting any equipment. After the pre-watch clearance, a soft-start procedure was initiated by the OIV Vole au Vent in anticipation of pile driving. If animals entered the designated exclusion zone, all equipment was to be shutdown. The only exceptions to equipment shutdown were during active pile driving when the hammer became fully engaged and the safety of the vessel crew could be compromised by an immediate halt to operations.

A post-watch of 60 minutes was also conducted after the pile driving activities came to a close for the day. PSOs recorded data on standard observation forms including details on construction operations, observer effort, environmental conditions, protected species sightings and incidents. PSOs regularly checked the Right Whale Sighting Advisory System (RWSAS) for recent north Atlantic right whale sightings and established DMAs in the survey area.

For each shift, a designated Lead PSO was responsible for communicating the presence of protected species and for communicating and enforcing the appropriate mitigation actions. PAM operators communicated any detections to the lead PSO on duty to ensure the implementation of the appropriate mitigation measure.

Vessel-strike avoidance measures were implemented on all vessels conducting activities in support of Lease operations.

3.3 Visual Monitoring

Protected species monitoring methods included visual observations, as well as alternative monitoring for observations during nighttime hours and periods of reduced visibility. Alternative monitoring methods consisted of NVD. All equipment was calibrated daily.

The following visual PSO equipment was utilized during surveys:

- Bushnell Marine 7x50 waterproof binoculars with reticles;
- Big Eyes (25x and 40x long range binoculars);
- o Rangefinders.

The following NVD alternative monitoring equipment was used for surveys at night:

- NVTS AN PVS-14 Mono-Goggle, Gen 3 AGM-HS Hand Select
- o IR-K2 Extra Long Range Pro IR Illuminator (805nm) (Night Optics)
- o FLIR Scout III 640 (640x512) Thermal Monocular

3.4 Passive Acoustic Monitoring

In addition to visual monitoring, PAM Operators were available to acoustically monitor the exclusion zone for protected species during times of reduced visibility during pile driving operations. The PAM equipment was deployed from the back deck of the support/monitoring vessel M/V Berto Miller. A total of 100m of PAM cable was deployed in the water and towed behind the vessel during times of limited visibility.

The PAM system consisted of an array of hydrophones with two broadband hydrophones (sampling mid-range frequencies of 2 to 200 kHz) and two low-frequency hydrophones (sampling range frequencies of 75 Hz to 30 kHz). The PAM Operators monitored acoustic signals in real-time, both aurally (using headphones), and visually (via sound analysis software). The low frequency signals are passed through the system without additional gain or filtering and can be selected for processing if ship noise permits. The audible band signals are amplified and filtered in the buffer unit and then connected to a multi-channel analogue-digital converter (ADC) which allows the signals to be distributed to the PAMGuard software as well as to an audio output system for the operator to monitor using headphones. The high frequency band signals are amplified and filtered and passed to a high-speed ADC, which passes the signals to PAMGuard software for processing, on a separate computer.

PAM was deployed and used only in anticipation of, and during required acoustic mitigation periods. All acoustic detections were communicated to the lead PSO on duty so that any required mitigation actions could be implemented. Constant communication between the PSO team and operations team were maintained throughout the course of the survey to ensure regulatory compliance. The PAM system set up on the M/V Berto Miller is further described in Appendix A.

4. PILE DRIVING SURVEY SUMMARY

4.1 Observer Effort

During daylight hours, visual observers maintained a constant watch of the exclusion zone. Daytime operations were monitored by PSOs conducting visual watches and with PAM during daytime fog conditions when the full exclusion zone could not be monitored visually. **Figure 3** provides a summary of PSO effort by monitoring method.



Figure 3 CVOW -1 Cumulative Monitoring Hours per Vessel by Observation Type

The PSO teams visually monitored the area surrounding operations for a total of 161 hours and 7 minutes. Figure 4 breaks down the amount of time spent monitoring per operational activity, with the majority of the time spent in transit. The OIV Vole au Vent spent a total of 152 hours and 27 minutes transiting between Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada and the CVOW site. The M/V Berto Miller spent significantly less time transiting from Staten Island, NY to the CVOW site and in to Virginia Beach, VA upon demobilization.

Pre watch clearance represented 8 hours 44 minutes while soft starts protocol duration lasted 21 minutes. The piling activity for both locations combined amounted to 2 hours 36 minutes.





4.2 Sighting and Detection Results

All protected species detections occurred during daylight hours. A total 61 detections for an estimated 271 individuals were encountered, between both vessels. 60 of these detections were visual sightings and 1 was an acoustic detection.



Figure 5 CVOW-1 Protected Species Detections by Number of Individuals from the Vole au Vent



Figure 6 CVOW-1 Protected Species Detections by Number of Individuals from the Berto Miller

The most common species encountered was the common dolphin, *Delphinus delphis*, which were reported on both vessels.

Sightings deemed as 'unidentified whale' and 'unidentified dolphin' were labeled such due to the lack of identifying characteristics available to the observers at a distance of generally 1,000 meters or more.

One North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*) (Figure 7) detection was made during transit to Halifax, from the CVOW site, aboard the OIV Vole au Vent on June 2nd, 2020. The sighting occurred post pile-driving operations, southeast of Nantucket. A large black body observed, possible callosities on lower lip, and a smooth concave fluke seen at



14:32. The whale was observed to be travelling away from the vessel. Only one single surfacing event was observed, and the sighting lasted but a few seconds. The sighting was reported to the client and ultimately NMFS.

Figure 7 North Atlantic right whale sighting aboard the OIV Vole au Vent on June 2nd, 2020

The PSO team aboard the OIV Vole au Vent also observed a pod of clymene dolphins (*Stenella clymene*) (Figure 8) during the same transit leg, on the same day. Initially observed directly off the bow, the group was sighted from above, by a PSO on the bridge, porpoising rapidly towards the vessel. The group then began bow riding and breaching near the hull of the vessel.



Figure 8 Clymene dolphin sighting aboard the OIV Vole au Vent on June 1st, 2020

A single PAM detection was made throughout the duration of the project window, by the M/V Berto Miller PSO team. The detection occurred during pre-installation operations(prior to pre-watch commencing) and was visually confirmed by a second PSO on the M/V Berto Miller, to be bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). The animals were first sighted by

visual observers. Upon verifying PAMGuard, high frequency clicks could be seen starting at 120dB and moving to a peak amplitude of 160dB. The high frequency screen at this time was virtually full of clicks, ranging from 13.5kHz to 178kHz, with peak frequencies ranging from 28kHz to 64kHz. The bearing display indicated dolphins were located about 60 degrees off the bow and 100 degrees from the bow (congruent with PSO sighting off the port side moving from 11:00 clock face to the stern).

These high frequency clicks could be heard aurally, as well as seen on the spectrogram display. Clicks were fairly loud, producing a strong signal strength and an upper range of up to 250kHz, but with most below 218kHz. The low-mid frequency spectrogram displayed stacked whistles, indicating at least 5 individuals (PSO observed an estimated 10 individuals). Buzzes and burst pulses could also be heard aurally as well as seen on the spectrogram display. Buzzes had a high range of up to 125.6kHz. Burst pulses varied in sound, with some sounding like squeaks, and lasted less than half a second. While the M/V Berto Miller observer had lost sight of the pod, due to the movement of the pod in the opposite direction of the vessel's travel, the PAM operator could hear the dolphins until 06:30, and had been communicating with the Vole au Vent PSOs, which sighted a similarly sized group of dolphins at 06:06. Due to the continued nature of the PAM detection, it is suspected to be the same group of dolphins.



Figure 9 Passive Acoustic Monitoring Detection of Bottlenose Dolphin aboard the M/V Berto Miller

A total of three sightings occurred aboard the OVI Vole au Vent on the days on which pile driving activities occurred, all of which occurred prior to operations, and none during or after. As a result, there were some delays in operations but there were no requirements to shut down. All in all, a total of 2 hours and 32 minutes were spent in mitigation downtime as a result of delays to start-up operations due to sightings of protected species during pre-operation monitoring.



Figure 10 Protected Species Detections by Average Approach to the OIV Vole au Vent

Animal Closest Point of Approach (CPA) during monitoring in association with transit and pile driving operations varied by group (Figure 10); whale species, on average, were detected at greater distances than other species groups during operational and non-operational periods. Dolphins and sea turtles had the smallest average CPAs for both operational



Figure 11 CVOW-1 - Vole Au Vent - Protected Species Detections by Vessel Activity

The majority (91%) of the sightings that were recorded in relation to the MV Vole au Vent on the CVOW project occurred during vessel transit. The remaining sighting occurred during pre-pile driving operations (7%) or project downtime(2%). The observation of a loggerhead sea turtle occurred during a ramp-up delay, pre-installation and other project downtime, while a group of bottlenose dolphins were sighted during pre-watch clearance. All other species described in Figure 10 were observed during transit.



Figure 12 CVOW-1 - Berto Miller- Protected Species Detections by Vessel Activity

The sightings that were recorded in relation to the MV Berto Miller were distributed relatively evenly across operations, none of which were sighted during pile driving operations on the CVOW project. Common dolphins and a loggerhead sea turtle were sighted during pre-watch clearance (12.5%), unknown dolphins and a loggerhead sea turtle were sighted during a delayed ramp-up (12.5%), and a loggerhead sea turtle was sighted during post watch (6.25%). Bottlenose dolphins were sighted during anode installation (18.75%) and pre-installation operations (12.5%). Unidentified dolphins were recorded during transit (18.75%) and loggerhead sea turtles during weather downtime (18.75%).

Overall, no marine mammals or sea turtles were sighted during pile driving operations.

5. EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

The IHA, received May 16th, 2020 assessed all potential project sources operating on the Lease. The distances to regulatory acoustic thresholds considered were calculated based on the CVOW field measurements to determine the distance at which potential marine mammal may be exposed to sound pressure levels (SPLs) at which permanent threshold shifts (Level A) or behavioral disturbance (Level B) could occur (see Waterproof report, Section 5). The area of water encompassing each of the threshold distances around the pile driving source is considered the exposure zone.

At the time of a detection, PSOs recorded the sources operating at the time of detection. Based on these distances and the detection records, animals reported by the PSOs as within each specified exposure zone while these sources are active would be considered taken by their respective harassment level under the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), i.e., Level A or level B. There were no marine mammals, sea turtles or Atlantic sturgeon detected during pile driving operations associated with CVOW, as summarized in Table 2. Additionally, there were no injured or dead protected species observed during pile driving operations associated with CVOW.

Species - Name	IHA authorized (#)	IHA Actual (#)
Dolphin, Bottlenose	100	0
Dolphin, Common	39	0
Dolphin, Spotted	100	0
Dolphin, White-sided	40	0
Porpoise, Harbour	4	0
Seal, Gray	1	0
Seal, Harbor	1	0
Whale, Humpback	2	0
Whale, North Atlantic Right	-	0

 Table 2 Authorized marine mammal takes and maximum estimated takes by harassment for pile driving conducted under the Incidental Harassment Authorization.

Additionally, there were no injuries to sea turtles or sea turtle takes that occurred during the operations associated with the pile driving component of the CVOW project.

6. SUMMARY OF WEATHER & ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Part of the data collection associated with PSO data includes various weather and environmental conditions including cloud cover, wind speed, wind direction, precipitation, sun glare and visibility during observations. These factors can affect the PSO team's visibility in various manners, inhibiting exclusion and mitigation zone clearance and ultimately delaying operations. The following figures describe the distribution of weather variables on the days where visual monitoring occurred in anticipation of pile driving operations.



Figure 13 Visibility Distribution (Good = >5,000m, Moderate= 1,000 - 5,000m, Poor= <1,000m)

Overall, visibility was good during operations (Figure 13). The poor visibility contributed to a lack of pile driving operations on May 29th, 2020, a day on which pile driving activity was planned but ultimately did not occur due to the presence of heavy fog (Figure 14) through out the monitoring period associated with pre-clearance. This caused a day delay in finalizing installation of two piles. Otherwise, visibility was good and allowed for pile driving on two of three potential pile driving days. At no time did glare affect visibility, though it was present for a portion of time.



Figure 14 Precipitation Distribution



Figure 15 Glare Severity Distribution (None=0%, Minimal=<25% Moderate=25-50%, Extreme=>50%)

7. ASSESSMENT OF MONITORING METHODS

The daylight only operations allowed for a three person PSO team to effectively cover the exclusion and monitoring zones, with two PSO on watch, each covering 180° on either side of the vessel at any given time. The PSO team aboard the OIV Vole au Vent set up Big Eyes on either side of the vessel to increase monitoring distance. These allowed for the centralized team to view a much larger range from the raised platform than using regular binocular, particularly on the side of the vessel opposite the M/V Berto Miller team.

Additionally, the use of the support/monitoring vessel M/V Berto Miller in relation to operations aboard the OIV Vole au Vent was particularly useful in times of limited visibility due to fog in defining the exclusion zone when the horizon was not visible and the exclusion zone boundaries were hard to determine. As indicated in the report, communications between the M/V Berto Miller and the OIV Vole au Vent were effective, particularly in the case of detections of animals in relation to the OIV Vole au Vent.

Because PAM was deployed and used only in anticipation of, and during required acoustic mitigation periods, acoustic monitoring on the M/V Berto Miller was limited and therefore difficult to provide a detailed assessment. However, on May 30th a simultaneous dual detection (visual/acoustic) was recorded, providing the PSO team an opportunity to use complementary technologies to best record and document the dolphin detection.

Thermal imaging cameras were specifically deployed on starboard and port side the M/V Berto Miller for monitoring piling activity during period of reduced visibility. Since, piling activity only occurred during daylight hours, with no environmental factors affecting visibility conditions, the cameras remained on standby for the duration of the project.

Because the protected species monitoring requirements for this project did not allowed for nighttime operations, the NVD were mainly used for strike avoidance monitoring during transit of vessels. However, their range of visibility reaches only very close to the vessel even with the spotlight.

Appendix A. M/V Berto Miller Passive Acoustic Monitoring Set Up

The M/V Berto Miller was used as the support and monitoring vessel for three (3) of the A.I.S . Protected Species Observers during Jan de Nul pile driving operations for the Dominion Energy Wind Turbine project.

The Passive Acoustic Monitoring Computer system was set up in the wheelhouse. A whole was drilled in the exterior wall of the wheelhouse to allow the passage of the deck cable and connect the computer system to the PAM hardware. The cable was secured at various points between the wheelhouse and back deck of the M/V Berto Miller.

The AIS portable winch was located on the back deck from which the Passive Acoustic Monitoring equipment was deployed. The winch is specially designed to accommodate the bend radius of the equipment without issue.



1) PAM monitors have to be stacked and wall mounted in order to also fit the HD/Thermal cameras on the two available desks.



2) DPU goes under right desk. DPU sits atop case for camera gear because cords to monitors are not long enough to reach DPU without added height. Secure both DPU and platform (camera case) to vessel to prepare for weather. UPS battery backup secured next to DPU.



3) Spare deck and tow cables stored securely near forward back deck.



4) Deck cable runs from wheelhouse, across length of back deck, to tow cable.



Appendix B. OIV Vole au Vent - CVOW Final Activity Report Summary

3	Client	IL	N		Report		FINAL		$\overline{\mathbf{b}}$
dD	Project	CVOW-1			Date 20-May-20		to	04-Jun-20	Y
	Vesse	el Name	Vole a	u Vent		Survey Type		Pile Driving	
Total Visual Monito	pringTime	(hh:mm)	174:55		Total Number of	of Detections	(#)	45	
Total Acoustic Mon	itoring Time	(hh:mm)			Total Number of	Shutdown(s)	(#)	0	
Total Mitigation Do	wntime	(hh:mm)	2:32		Total Number of Potential Nor	n-Compliance	(#)	0	
(Mitigation Downtime=D)elay Ramp up + Shi	utdown)							
Total Number of N	ARW detected	(#)			Total Number of DMA	Verifications	(#)	17	
(Dynamic Management A	vrea)								
Total Pile Driving A	ctivity	(#)	2		Double Bubble Curta	ain Activation	(#)	3	
(Active Attenuation Devic	ce)								

	Occurrence (#)	Durati o n (hh:mm)	Detection (#)	Animal (#)		Occurrence (#)	Durati o n (hh:mm)	Detecti on (#)	Animal (#)
Mit - Pre Watch Clearance	4	8:44	1	8	Ops - Anchoring/ DP/Jack-Up/Down	11	69:00		
Mit - Delay Ramp Up/Soft Start	3	2:32	1	1	Ops - Pre-Installation Foundation	2	5:09	1	1
Mit - Ramp-Up/Soft Start	2	0:21			Ops - Piling	2	2:36		
Mit - Shutdown					Ops - Anode Cage/TP Installation	5	56:55		
Mit - Post Piling Watch	3	1:13			Ops - Operational Downtime	1	0:09		
Mit - Change Course					Oth - Weather Downtime	2	33:42		
Oth - Vessel Transit	11	152:27	41	219	Oth - Other Downtime	2	13:49	1	1

Summary: Species Dete	ections								
Name	∨isual (#)	Acoustic (#)	Thermal (#)	NVD (#)	Name	Visual (#)	Acoustic (#)	Thermal (#)	NVD (#)
Detection, Unidentified					Seal, Gray				
Dolphin, Bottlenose	2				Seal, Harbor				
Dolphin, Clymene	1				Seal, Harp				
Dolphin, Common	9				Seal, Unidentified				
Dolphin, Risso	2				Whale, Beaked species				
Dolphin, Spotted					Whale, Cuvier's Beaked				
Dolphin, Striped					Whale, False Killer				
Dolphin, Unidentified	6				Whale, Fin	6			
Dolphin, White-beaked					Whale, Humpback	4			
Dolphin, White-sided					Whale, Killer				
Kogia Species					Whale, Long-finned Pilot				
Porpoise, Harbour					Whale, Minke	1			
Sea Turtle, Green					Whale, North Atlantic Right	1			
Sea Turtle, Kemp's Ridley					Whale, Pilot species				
Sea Turtle, Leatherback					Whale, Sei				
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	3				Whale, Sperm	4			
Sea Turtle, Unidentified					Whale, Unidentified	6			

Summary: IHA - Marine Mammals Take Authorization											
Name	EZ (m)	MZ (#)	Authori zed (#)	Actual (#)	Name	EZ (m)	MZ (#)	Authori zed (#)	Actual (#)		
Detection, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930			Seal, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Bottlenose	1,750	3,830/5,930	100		Whale, Beaked species	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Clymene	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Cuvier's Beaked	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Common	1,750	3,830/5,930	39		Whale, False Killer	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Risso	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Fin	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Spotted	1,750	3,830/5,930	100		Whale, Humpback	1,750	3,830/5,930	2			
Dolphin, Striped	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Killer	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Long-finned Pilot	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, White-beaked	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Minke	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, White-sided	1,750	3,830/5,930	40		Whale, North Atlantic Right	Any distance	3,830/5,930				
Kogia Species	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Pilot species	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Porpoise, Harbour	1,750	3,830/5,930	4		Whale, Sei	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Seal, Gray	1,750	3,830/5,930	1		Whale, Sperm	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Seal, Harbor	1,750	3,830/5,930	1		Whale, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Seal, Harp	1,750	3,830/5,930									

Appendix C. M/V Berto Miller - CVOW Final Activity Report Summary

REPORT	SUMMARY

3	dient	JDN			Report		FINAL			
CID	Project	cvc	CVOW-1			Date	21-May-20	to	30-May-20	Y
	Vessel	Name	Berto	L Miller	1		Survey Type		Pile Driving	
Total Visual Monitoring	gTime	(hh:mm)	86:12			Total Number	of Detections	(#)	16	
Total Acoustic Monitor	ingTime	(hh:mm)	3:30			Total Number of	Shutdown(s)	(#)	0	
Total Mitigation Downt	time	(hh:mm)	2:32		Total Numb	er of Potential Nor	n-Compliance	(#)	0	
(Mitigation Downtime	= Delay Ran	ıp up + Shutdown)								
Total Number of NARW	Vdetected	(#)			Tot	al Number of DMA	Verifications	(#)	2	
(Dynamic Management Area)										
Total Pile Driving Activ	ity	(#)	2		D	ouble Bubble Curt	ain Activation	(#)	3	
(Active Attenuation Device)										
Summary: Survey	Activity	Monitoring - I	Detection	s					1	

	Occurrence (#)	Duration (hh:mm)	Detection (#)	Animal (#)		Occurrence (#)	Duration (hh:mm)	Detection (#)	Animal (#)
Mit - Pre Watch Clearance	4	8:44	2	4	Ops - Anchoring/ DP/Jack-Up/Down	7	56:47		
Mit - Delay Ramp Up/Soft Start	3	2:32	2	2	Ops - Pre-Installation Foundation	2	5:09	2	20
Mit - Ramp-Up/Soft Start	2	0:21			Ops - Piling	2	2:36		
Mit - Shutdown					Ops - Anode Cage/TP Installation	4	37:17	3	5
Mit - Post Piling Watch	3	1:13	1	1	Ops - Operational Downtime	1	0:09		
Mit - Change Course					Oth - Weather Downtime	2	33:42	3	3
Oth - Vessel Transit	7	55:21	3	6	Oth - Other Downtime	2	13:49		

Summary: Species Det	ections								
Name	Visual (#)	Acoustic (#)	Thermal (#)	NVD (#)	Name	Visual (#)	Acoustic (#)	Thermal (#)	NVD (#)
Detection, Unidentified					Seal, Gray				
Dolphin, Bottlenose	3	1			Seal, Harbor				
Dolphin, Clymene					Seal, Harp				
Dolphin, Common	1				Seal, Unidentified				
Dolphin, Risso					Whale, Beaked species				
Dolphin, Spotted					Whale, Cuvier's Beaked				
Dolphin, Striped					Whale, False Killer				
Dolphin, Unidentified	3				Whale, Fin				
Dolphin, White-beaked					Whale, Humpback	1			
Dolphin, White-sided					Whale, Killer				
Kogia Species					Whale, Long-finned Pilot				
Porpoise, Harbour					Whale, Minke				
SeaTurtle, Green					Whale, North Atlantic Right				
Sea Turtle, Kemp's Ridley					Whale, Pilot species				
Sea Turtle, Leatherback					Whale, Sei				
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	7				Whale, Sperm				
Sea Turtle, Unidentified					Whale, Unidentified				

Summary: IHA - Marine Mammals Take Authorization											
Name	EZ (m)	MZ (#)	Authori zed (#)	Actual (#)	Name	EZ (m)	MZ (#)	Authorized (#)	Actual (#)		
Detection, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930			Seal, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Bottlenose	1,750	3,830/5,930	100		Whale, Beaked species	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Clymene	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Cuvier's Beaked	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Common	1,750	3,830/5,930	39		Whale, False Killer	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Risso	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Fin	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Spotted	1,750	3,830/5,930	100		Whale, Humpback	1,750	3,830/5,930	2			
Dolphin, Striped	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Killer	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Long-finned Pilot	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, White-beaked	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Minke	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Dolphin, White-sided	1,750	3,830/5,930	40		Whale, North Atlantic Right	Any Distance	3,830/5,930				
Kogia Species	1,750	3,830/5,930			Whale, Pilot species	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Porpoise, Harbour	1,750	3,830/5,930	4		Whale, Sei	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Seal, Gray	1,750	3,830/5,930	1		Whale, Sperm	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Seal, Harbor	1,750	3,830/5,930	1		Whale, Unidentified	1,750	3,830/5,930				
Seal, Harp	1,750	3,830/5,930									

Appendix D. OIV Vole au Vent - CVOW Protected Species Individual Detection Summary



PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL VISUAL DETECTION SUMMARY

CVOW-1 Vole au Vent Pile Driving JDN Dominion 1 Activity - Time - Location Date Lasi Detected Observation Type Survey Activity Energy Source(s) Water Depth (n) 5/21/2020 5/21/2020 Daviging trivial Oth - Vessel Transit - 206 Time at first Time of closest to Time animal ent EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) 13:50 PM Laitlude when first Sighted First Sighted Sighted Sighted Sighted Animal (m) 42:3351 65:7902 42:3095 65:8201 B193 4904 Detection Description Cartainty of Identification Description of Animal (m) Moderately tall, bushy plume shaped blows at slight angle (from prependicular to water surface). Large bodied whale with darkblack dors al cor (visible during dive, Dur could not distinctly see dorsal fin due to distance). Arched back during dive. Calves 1 1 2 1 0 0 Sec Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Idividual Behavior Behaviora Moderately tall, bushy plume shaped blows at light angple (ris distance). Arched barovior and ship	Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number				
Activity - Time - Location Date Last Detected Observation Type Survey Activity Energy Source(s) Water Dapth (m) 5/21/2020 5/21/2020 Daylight Visual Oth - Vessel Transit Energy Source(s) Water Dapth (m) 5/21/2020 5/21/2020 Daylight Visual Oth - Vessel Transit Energy Source(s) Water Dapth (m) 11:32:00 PM 1:45:00 PM - - - 1:45:00 PM 12:32:00 PM 1:45:00 PM - - - 1:45:00 PM 14:35:00 PM - - - 1:45:00 PM Animal (m) 14:35:00 PM - - - 1:45:00 PM Animal (m) 42:3351 65:7902 42:3095 65:8201 Bighting (m) Animal (m) Maie Rumon Certainty of Ioninal (chinication Name Certainty of Animal (S) Moderately tall, bushy / plume shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface). Large bodied whale with darkblack dorsal dorsal corr (visble during dive, but could not distinctly see dorsal fin due to distinct distis distinct due to distinctly see dorsal fin due to disti	CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	1				
Date First Detected Date Last Detected Observation Type Survey Activity Energy Source(s) Water Depth (m) 5/21/2020 5/21/2020 Daylight Visual Oth - Vessel Transit - 206 Time at first Time of closest to encounter (EDI) Time animal entered E2 (EDI) Time animal left E2 (PDI) Time at animal in the encounter (EDI) Time at ani	Activity - Time - Loca	ation								
5/21/2020 5/21/2020 Daylight Visual Oth - Vessel Transit - 206 Time at first encounter (EDT) Time of closest to source (EDT) Time animal left entered EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) Time animal in the EZ (EDT) Time animal in the EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) Time animal left EX (EDT) Time Animal Common Animal appaerate animal left EX (EDT)<	Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)				
Time at first encounter (EDT) Time of closest to source (EDT) Time animal entered EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (httmm) Time animal inthe encounter (EDT) 1:32:00 PM 1:45:00 PM - - - 1:45:00 PM Latitude when first Sighted Longitude when first Sighted Latitude when last Sighted Longitude when first Sighted Distance at first Sighted Cosest Range to Animal (m) 42:3351 65:7902 42:3095 65:8201 8193 4904 Detection Description Identification Identification Name Description of Animal (s) Moderately tail, bushy / plume shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface). Large bodied whale with dark/black dorsal color (visible during dive. Calves 1 1 2 1 0 0 Sex Class Signting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction Vessel Heading (degree) Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/write to vessel) Direction of Travel (relative to vessel) Scatus / Status / St	5/21/2020	5/21/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	206				
1:32:00 PM1:45:00 PM1:45:00 PMLatitude when first SightedLongitude when first SightedLatitude when last SightedLongitude when last SightedDistance at first SightedClosest Range to Animal (m)42:335165:790242:309565:820181934904Detection DescriptionAnimal Common NameCertainty of IdentificationDescription of Animal(s)Moderately tall, bushy / plume shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface). Large bodied whale with dark/black dors. Large bodied whale with dark/bl	Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)				
Latitude when first Sighted Longitude when first Sighted Latitude when last Sighted Longitude when last Sighted Distance at first Sighting (m) Closest Range to Animal (m) 42.3351 65.7902 42.3095 65.8201 B193 4904 Detection Description Animal Common Name Certainty of Identification Description of Animal(s) Moderately tall, bushy / plume shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface). Large bodie whale with dark/black dorsal color (visible during dive, but could not distinctly see dorsal fin due to distance). Arched back during dive. Calves 1 1 2 1 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction (relative to vessel) Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Clock face) Bow = 12:00 Crossing perpendicular ahead of ship Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/valiable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45. Grittle port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not see angain. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identificatio	1:32:00 PM	1:45:00 PM	-	-	-	1:45:00 PM				
42.3351 65.7902 42.3095 65.8201 8193 4904 Detection Description Animal Common Certainty of Identification Description of Animal(s) Moderately tall, bushy / plume shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface). Large bodied whale with darkblack dorsal color (visible during dive. Best Min Max Adults Jurenties Calves 1 1 2 1 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction Indeterminate Blow Moderate Medium Travel Blow None Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Crossing perpendicular ahead of ship Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles. Mitigation - Compliance - Other Time Mitigation requested? Time Mitigation Started (EDT) Time Mitigation Ended (EDT) Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation) Regulatory S	Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)				
Detection Description Animal Common Name Certainty of Identification Description of Animal(s) Moderately tall, bushy / plume shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface). Large bodied whale with dark/black dorsal color (visible during dive. Best Min Max Adults Juveniles Calves 1 1 2 1 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction Indeterminate Blow Moderate Medium Travel Blow None Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Crossing perpendicular ahead of ship Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using	42.3351	65.7902	42.3095	65.8201	8193	4904				
Animal Common Name Certainty of Identification Description of Animal(s) Moderalely tall, busyly plume shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface). Large bodied whale with dark/black dorsal color (visible during dive, but could not distinctly see dorsal fin due to distance). Arched back during dive. Best Min Max Adults Juveniles Calves 1 1 2 1 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction Indeterminate Blow Moderate Medium Travel Blow None Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Crossing perpendicular ahead of ship Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estilmated using binocular reticles. </td <td>Detection Description</td> <td>on and a second se</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Detection Description	on and a second se								
Best Min Max Adults Juveniles Calves 1 1 2 1 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction Indeterminate Blow Moderate Medium Travel Blow None Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Direction of Travel (relative to vessel) 226 11:00 Crossing perpendicular ahead of ship Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles. Mitigation - Compliance - Other - - Compliance What action required Yes - <	Animal Common Name Whale, Humpback	Imal Common NameCertainty of IdentificationDescription of Animal(s)Moderately tall, bushy / plume shaped blow perpendicular to water surface). Large bod dorsal color (visible during dive, but could r due to distance). Arched back during dive				slight angle (from hale with dark/black stinctly see dorsal fin				
112100Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateBlowModerateMedium TravelBlowNoneVessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)22611:00Crossing perpendicular ahead of shipDetailed narrative of detectionInitially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:31- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.Mitigation - Compliance - OtherTime Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine marmalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves				
Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction Indeterminate Blow Moderate Medium Travel Blow None Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Direction of Travel (relative to vessel) 226 11:00 Crossing perpendicular ahead of ship Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:31- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles. Mitigation - Compliance - Other Time Mitigation Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation) Regulatory Status Mit - No Action required Yes - - Compliance No 0 No No No Yes	1	1	2	1	0	0				
IndeterminateBlowModerateMedium TravelBlowNoneVessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)22611:00Crossing perpendicular ahead of shipDetailed narrative of detectionInitially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.MitigationEstimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine marmalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction				
Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)22611:00Crossing perpendicular ahead of shipDetailed narrative of detectionInitially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.MitigationEstimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMiti - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None				
22611:00Crossing perpendicular ahead of shipDetailed narrative of detectionInitially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.Mitigation - Compliance - OtherWhat action requested?Was action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	Vessel (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)					
Detailed narrative of detectionInitially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.Mitigation - Compliance - OtherWhat action requested?Was action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoNoYes	22	26	11	:00	Crossing perpendicular ahead of ship					
Mitigation – Compliance - OtherWhat action requested?Was action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off the starboard bow. 4 bushy/plume shaped blows of moderate/variable height observed from 13:32- 13:36. Animal was traveling from starboard to port. 5 additional blows (same description) were observed from 13:41- 13:45 off the port bow (relative bearing 11:00, using clockface, bow = 12:00). Animal appeared to dive and arched its back, and was not seen again. Was unable to see dorsal fin or additional identifying characteristics. Best guess for species identification is Humpback Whale, based on size, shape of blows, dark body color and dive pattern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.									
What action requested?Was action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other	1	1		1				
Mit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status				
IHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoYes	Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance				
No 0 No No Yes	IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken				
	No	0	No	No	No	Yes				



PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL VISUAL DETECTION SUMMARY

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number					
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	2					
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		1							
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)					
5/21/2020	5/21/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	221					
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)					
1:52:00 PM	1:52:00 PM	-	-	-	1:57:00 PM					
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)					
42.2959	65.8362	42.2863	65.8474	3065	3065					
Detection Descriptio	'n									
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Bushy blows of variable water surface). No other	e height, at slight angle (f r identifying characteristi	rom perpendicular to cs were visible due to					
Whale, Unidentified	Sure		distance.							
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves					
1	1	2	1	0	0					
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction					
Indeterminate	Blow	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	Blow	None					
Vessel H	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction of Travel						
(degree) -	U = NOLIT	(CIUCK TALE)	BOM = 15:00							
22	27	9:	00	Parallel to ship in opposite direction						
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection 3 bushy blows of variable height (characteristic of a large baleen whale species) were observed off the port side of Vole Au Vent. The animal was not seen again. No additional identifying characteristics were observed. Vessel was in transit to the installation site, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.									
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1							
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status					
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance					
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken					
No	0	No	No	No	No					



PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL VISUAL DETECTION SUMMARY

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number						
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	3						
Activity - Time - Loca	Activity - Time - Location										
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)						
5/21/2020	5/21/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	220						
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)						
2:36:00 PM	2:36:00 PM	-	-	-	2:36:00 PM						
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)						
42.2125	65.9371	42.21245	65.9371	4916	4916						
Detection Description	'n										
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	3-4 bushy blows sighte identifying characteristi	bushy blows sighted approximately 2-3 meters high. No other tifying characteristics noticed due to the distance							
Whale, Unidentified	Sure										
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves						
1	1	1	1	0	0						
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction						
Indeterminate	Blow	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	Blow	None						
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal	Direction of Travel							
(degree) -	U = NOLIT	(CIUCK TALE)	BOW = 12:00	(relative)	U VESSEI)						
22	27	11	:00	Oti	ner						
Detailed narrative of detection	3-4 bushy blows approx additional information c	kimately 2-3 meters in he ould be documented due	ight, observed at 11:00 p to distance of animal an	position relative to the ves Id length of sighting.	ssel's bow. No						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other										
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status						
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance						
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken						
No	0	No	No	No	No						


Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	4	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation			L		
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/21/2020	5/21/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	216	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
3:21:00 PM	3:21:00 PM	-	-	-	3:21:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
42.1250	66.0416	42.1250	66.0416	2458	2458	
Detection Description	'n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Bushy blows sighted of approximately 2-3 meters high. Black fluke seen during dive.			
Whale, Unidentified	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Blow	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	Fluke up	None	
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	U = NOLIN	(CIOCK TACE)	BOM = 15:00	(relative)	lo vessel)	
23	30	10	:00	Crossing perpendi	cular ahead of ship	
Detailed narrative of detection	bushy blows and a dark swimming at a direction mitigation was necessa	K fluke visible during dive perpendicular to the vest ry because of the whale?	seen at 10:00 position respectively. The captain version of the second s	elative to the vessel's bov was notified of the locatic el.	v. Whale was slowly n of the whale, but no	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



0

No

No

No

No

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	5		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		·				
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	65		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
4:42:00 AM	4:46:00 AM	-	-	-	4:52:00 AM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
40.7407	67.0331	40.7187	68.07370	1639	492		
Detection Description	n						
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Bushy blows sighted approximately 2 meters in height. Dark dorsal fin with hump present, and fluke visible during dive				
Whale, Humpback	Sure						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
2	2	3	2	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Blow	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	Fluke up	None		
Vessell	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel		
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face)	Bow = 12:00	(relative)	to vessel)		
23	34	12	:00	Crossing perpendi	cular ahead of ship		
Detailed narrative of detection	2-3 adult whales sighted 12:00 relative to vessel at approximately 1639 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars), and travelling in the direction of the vessel's port side. The captain was notified of the location of the whale in case speed or course needed to be altered. No strike avoidance mitigation was necessary as the whale's closest approach was 492 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars) off the vessel's port side. Note: there was just enough natural light to see without using NVD for this sighting.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		



No

0

No

No

No

Yes

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	6		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation	-		-			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	75.90		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
5:36:00 AM	5:36:00 AM	-	-	-	5:45:00 AM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
40.6686	68.1669	40.6486	68.2041	1639	1639		
Detection Description	n						
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Bushy blows sighted approximately 2 meters in height. Dark dorsal fin with hump present, and fluke visible during dives.				
Whale, Humpback	Sure						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
6	6	8	6	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Mill	Other	None		
Vessel	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel		
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face)	Bow = 12:00	(relative)	to vessel)		
23	35	9:	00	Statio	onary		
Detailed narrative of detection	 6-8 adult whales sighted at 09:00 relative to the vessel, at approximately 1639 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). Initially, several bushy blows of approximately 2 meters in height were observed. Skim feeding behavior was observed in multiple individuals, but no fish could be seen. No direction of travel for the group was observed, so best guess is they were feeding. Additionally, several white and black mottled flukes were seen during dives. Whales last seen at 07:00 bearing relative to the vessel, at 2458 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). 						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	7	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	75.20	
Time at first	Time of closest to	Time animal	Time animal left	Time animal in the	Time at end of	
encounter (EDT)	source (EDT)	entered EZ (EDT)	EZ (EDT)	EZ (hh:mm)	encounter (EDT)	
6:04:00 AM	7:06:00 AM	-	-	-	7:13:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
40.6157	68.2691	40.5093	68.4901	4284	476	
Detection Description	'n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Large bodied dark colored whales. Long white pectoral flippers. Fluke with jagged trailing edge and white markings on underside. Small dorsal fins, aft of mid-body. Bushy and plume shaped blows of variable			
Whale, Humpback	Suic		heights.			
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
15	12	18	15	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Blow	Stationary	Surface-Active Mill	Other	None	
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Trave (relative to vesse)			of Travel to vessel)	
23	36	11:00 Stationary			onary	
Detailed narrative of detection 4-6 bushy blows of variable height initially observed off the port bow, at a distance of 4+ km. An additional group of similar size/shape blows were observed in the same general direction (10:00-11:00 using clock face) at a greater distance (approx. 8km). Blows, occasional large splashes, and large bodied whales continued to be regularly observed in the same area as the vessel continued transiting toward the animals. The amount of activity observed indicated a large pod of whales. As the distance to the animals decreased, more identifying characteristics were observed, and the animals were positively identified as Humpback Whales. The pod was highly active at the surface, appeared to be feeding, and remained in the same general area. The closest approach of any of the whales occurred at 07:06, approximately 476m (PSO 2, T. Horwell - from bridge level, 45 mil below horizon using binoculars with reticles) off the port side of Vessel (approximate bearing 09:30 using clock face). The sighting event concluded at 07:13, with whales last observed approximately 1071m off the port stern of the vessel. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required, as the whales did not approach within 100m. Distances were estimated						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	8
Activity - Time - Loca	ation				· · · · · ·
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	76.60
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)
7:47:00 AM	7:47:00 AM	-	-	-	7:47:00 AM
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)
40.4571	68.5898	40.4571	68.5898	1071	1071
Detection Description	n				
Animal Common Name Whale, Unidentified	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Large bodied dark colored whale (observed a single time, partially breaching and creating a large splash). No observed blows or identifying characteristics		
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves
1	1	1	1	0	0
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction
Indeterminate	Body	Slow	Unknown	Breach	None
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative t	of Travel to vessel)
23	36	10	:30	Away fror	n the ship
Detailed narrative of detection	Large bodied whale ob and was not seen again installation site at the ti binocular reticles.	served partially breaching 1. No blows or additional me of observation, and n	g a single time, off port be identifying characteristics o strike avoidance mitiga	ow of the Vole Au Vent. C s were observed. Vessel tion was required. Distan	Created a large splash was in transit to the ices estimated using
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other				
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken
No	0	No	No	-	No



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	9	
Activity - Time - Loca	ntion					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	93.9000000000000006	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
9:38:00 AM	9:43:00 AM	-	-	-	9:43:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
40.2860	68.9223	40.2730	68.9466	1100	850	
Detection Descriptio	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	brownish gray body, blow at 45 degrees, hump-like dorsal, fluke shovel like with a deep notch and a smooth edge			
Whale, Sperm	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Surface-Active Travel	Blow	None	
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative	of Travel to vessel)	
23	32	10	:00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction	
Detailed narrative of detection	a blow was seen at 10: binoculars). There wer the vessel, each blow d The whale was last see	00 relative to the vessel a e several observed blows listinctly at a 45 degree a en at 9:43, where it dove	approximately 1100 mete s over a five minute perio Ingle. There were 7 total and its fluke was spade-li	rs (distance estimated us d as the whale swam at blows observed as it sw ike and smooth.	sing reticled the surface parallel to am closer to the vessel.	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	10	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		L			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	169	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
10:29:00 AM	10:33:00 AM	-	-	-	10:39:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
40.2132	68.0727	40.2090	69.1008	2500	530	
Detection Description	'n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	brownish gray body, blow at 45 degrees, hump-like dorsal, fluke shovel like			
Whale, Sperm	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Blow	Stationary	Mill	Other	None	
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal	Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	U = NOLLI	(CIOCK TACE)	BOM = 15:00	(relative)	.0 vessel)	
23	36	10	:00	Statio	onary	
Detailed narrative of detection	animal was initially sigh estimated using reticled once in a while, and con	ited by its distinctive 45 c d binoculars) meters awa ntinued its behavior when	legree blow at 10:00 rela y. The animal remained n it was last sighted at 10	tive to the vessel approxi logging at the surface, re :39	mately 2500 (distance leasing a blow every	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1			
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



0

No

No

No

Yes

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number					
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	11					
Activity - Time - Loca	Activity - Time - Location									
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)					
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	143					
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)					
10:42:00 AM	10:43:00 AM	-	-	-	10:47:00 AM					
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)					
40.2076	69.1181	40.2062	69.1285	700	450					
Detection Description	'n									
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	saddle back pattern on dorsal of dark grey, hour glass pattern on the side with tan and white, falcate dorsal fin							
Dolphin, Common	Sure									
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves					
20	15	30	20	0	0					
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction					
Indeterminate	Splash	Vigorous	Fast Travel	Porpoising	None					
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction of Travel						
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face)	Bow = 12:00	(relative)	to vessel)					
20	51	2:	00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction					
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection detection bioculars at 2:00 relative to the vessel. Dolphins were swimming rapidly at the surface, swimming in the opposite direction as the vessel. The closest the dolphins approached was roughly 450m, at bearing 3:00 relative to the vessel. Dolphins continued to travel at the surface until they were last seen at 10:47									
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other				1					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status					
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance					
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken					



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	12		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		• •				
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	102		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
11:12:00 AM	11:16:00 AM	-	-	-	11:22:00 AM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
40.1689	69.2015	40.1552	69.2239	1500	1000		
Detection Description	on	·	• 	·			
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	dark grey bodies, falcate-like dorsal fin, tall blows				
Whale, Unidentified	Best Guess						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
2	2	3	2	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None		
Vessel (degree)	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal		of Travel		
(degree)	20	10.00		Darallol to shin in	opposito direction		
2.	29	10	.00				
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection the first blow was sighted at 10:00 relative to the vessel, at approximately 1500 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). Two tall blows were seen before the whales swam beneath the surface and reappeared at 9:30 relative to the vessel, at approximately 1000 meters. Two blows occurred simultaneously at this time and a clear view of their dorsal fin was seen. Unfortunately a clear view of their diving pattern was not observed so the species can only be narrowed down to either the Fin or the Sei whale. The whales swam and surfaced a few more time before they were last seen at 11:22						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		-				
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	Yes		



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	13		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		<u>I</u>				
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	101		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
12:27:00 PM	12:39:00 PM	-	-	-	12:44:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
40.0474	69.4056	40.0199	69.4563	4284	1428		
Detection Description	'n						
Animal Common Name Whale, Unidentified	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Large bodied whale with sleek dark gray dorsal surface. Tall elliptical shaped blows at slight angle (from perpendicular to water surface) toward aft of animal. Falcate dorsal fin aft of mid-body. (Characteristics indicate that the animal is surely Fin or				
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
3	2	4	3	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None		
Vessel H (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction (relative t	on of Travel e to vessel)		
2	31	2:	00	Parallel to ship in t	ne same direction		
Detailed narrative of detection Multiple tall blows (1 - 2 animals) were observed off the starboard bow (initial sighting distance estimated from Above Bridge Level Outside, PSO 2 - T. Horwell, 5 mil with binoculars) from 12:27-12:35. At 12:39, 2 - 3 individuals were sighted off the starboard side from the bridge (bearing 02:00 using clock face). This was the closest approach to the vessel. The animals all appeared to be travelling the same direction as the vessel but at a slower pace. Multiple blows and possible dives were observed in the same general locations until the end of the sighting event at 12:44. The observed whales can be described as either Fin or Sei Whales, but unable to determine the exact species due to distance/ lack of visible identifying characteristics. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances estimated using binocular reticles.							
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	Yes		



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	14		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	126		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
2:31:00 PM	2:35:00 PM	-	-	-	2:47:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
39.8556	69.7499	39.8363	69.7950	200	50		
Detection Description	on						
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Robust body. Gray dorsal and white ventral color. Tall, wide, falcate dorsal fin				
Dolphin, Bottlenose	Sure						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
15	12	18	15	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Splash	Moderate	Surface-Active Travel	Porpoising	None		
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction	of Travel		
(degree) -	0 = 1001 (II)	(CIOCK Table) BOW = 12.00			U VESSEI)		
24	42	12	:00	loward	the ship		
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection betailed narrative of detection began travelling away from the vessel (parallel in the opposite direction). Sighting event concluded at 14:47, when the dolphins were approximately 428m off the starboard stern. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. Distances were estimated both visually and with binocular reticles.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	No		



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	15
Activity - Time - Loca	ation				
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	71.30
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)
3:07:00 PM	3:15:00 PM	-	-	-	3:17:00 PM
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)
39.8079	69.8564	39.7136	69.8791	1639	819
Detection Description	n				
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark bodies, dark falcate dorsal fins		
Dolphin, Unidentified	Sure				
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves
6	5	8	6	0	0
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction
Indeterminate	Splash	Slow	Mill	Porpoising	None
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal	Direction	of Travel
(degree) -	U = NOrth	(CIOCK TACE)	BOM = 15:00	(relative i	o vessel)
238.	4000	1:	30	Statio	onary
Detailed narrative of detection	Splashes initially sighte Direction of travel was (distance estimated usi fins, no other identifiabl	d at 1639 meters (distan variable the entirety of sig ng reticled binoculars) to e characteristics were ob	ce estimated using reticle ghting until finally seeing the 04:00 position relativ oserved. No mitigation ne	ed binoculars) at 01:30 re a final splash at approxin re to the vessel. Other th ecessary	lative to the vessel. nately 5000 meters an dark falcate dorsal
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1		
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken
No	0	No	No	No	Yes



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	16		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	100		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
3:28:00 PM	3:32:00 PM	-	-	-	3:32:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
39.7954	69.8809	39.7746	69.9248	1229	615		
Detection Description	on		·	·			
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	White and gray mottling present around mid-body and increasing towards the head. No beak visible, tall falcate dorsal fin. Dark coloration near posterior of dorsal fin.				
Dolphin, Risso	Sure						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
4	3	5	0	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Splash	Slow	Mill	Porpoising	None		
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative	of Travel o vessel)		
23	39	11:00		Statio	onary		
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection Splashes initially sighted at 1229 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars) at 11:00 position relative to the vessel. Dolphins surfaced numerous times throughout sighting event. Direction of travel was variable the entirety of sighting until finally seeing a final splash at approximately 3000 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars), bearing 07:00 relative to the vessel. Varying degrees of white and gray mottling anterior of the dorsal fin were observed on the dolphins, with a tall falcate dorsal fin and no presence of a beak suggest a pod of risso's dolphins were observed. No mitigation necessary.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	Yes		



No

0

No

No

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	17		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/23/2020	5/23/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	None	2600		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
8:07:00 AM	8:10:00 AM	-	-	-	8:40:00 AM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
38.3581	72.7157	38.2726	72.8751	100	10		
Detection Descriptio	n						
Animal Common Name Dolphin, Common	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Dark gray/black dorsal color, forming a V - shape below the dorsal fin Hourglass pattern on sides of body (tan fwd., gray aft). Approx. 2-2.5 m length. Falcate dorsal fin near mid body				
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
8	6	10	8	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Dorsal	Moderate	Bow Riding	Bow Riding	None		
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative	of Travel to vessel)		
23	30	11	:00	Toward	the ship		
Detailed narrative of detection	A participation of the starboard side, milling. Vessel was in transit to the installation site at the time of observation, and no strike avoidance mitigation was required. The dolphins displayed voluntary approach / vessel attractant behavior.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	18	
Activity - Time - Loca	ntion				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/23/2020	5/23/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	600	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
4:36:00 PM	4:48:00 PM	-	-	-	5:43:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
37.5025	74.2701	38.4552	74.3643	25	5	
Detection Descriptio	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark falcate dorsal fin. Hourglass shape pattern beneath dorsal fin.			
Dolphin, Common	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
8	7	8	7	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Splash	Moderate	Bow Riding	Bow Riding	None	
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative t	of Travel to vessel)	
22	22	10	:00	Toward	the ship	
Detailed narrative of detection	Splashes initially sighte Dolphins moved in direc meters at 16:48. Dolph attractant behavior.	d at 25 meters (distance ction towards vessel and ins last seen at 17:43. N	estimated using naked e began bow riding. Dolpl lo mitigation necessary b	ye) at 10:00 position rela nins observed closest ap ecause bow riding is con	tive to the vessel. proach to vessel was 5 sidered vessel	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	19	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation	<u>I</u>	1		<u>I</u>	
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/25/2020	5/25/2020	Daylight Visual	Ops - Pre-Installation Foundation	-	27	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
7:22:00 AM	7:26:00 AM	-	-	-	7:29:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.8862	75.4925	36.8862	75.4925	100	50	
Detection Description	on					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Reddish brown/orange head and carapace. Many barnacles visible on carapace. Approx. 1m shell length			
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Slow	Mill	Other	None	
Vessel	Heading Relative B		ing to animal	Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face) Bow = 12:00		(relative)	to vessel)	
24	43	1:	30	Toward	the ship	
Detailed narrative of detection	ailed narrative of detection detection PSO was on bridge but no dedicated watch was being conducted at the time of observation (Vessel was jacked up, preparing for pile installation). Crew member alerted PSO to a turtle off the starboard bow. The turtle was observed swimming towards the vessel, then milling around the area until last observed diving out of view. The turtle came to the surface several times, and was observed with its head completely out of the water. PSO had a birds eye view of the turtle due to the height of observation platform, and had a clear view of it's head and carapace. No mitigation action was required (vessel stationary, and no in water activities underway).					
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	20	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Other Downtime	-	25	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
3:42:00 PM	3:51:00 PM	3:42:00 PM	3:51:00 PM	00:09	3:51:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.8957	75.4906	36.8957	75.4906	300	100	
Detection Descriptio	n n				1	
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Yellow/orange head and carapace. Fouling organisms on carapace. Approx. 1m shell length			
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Slow	Mill	Other	None	
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face) Bow = 12:00		(relative)	to vessel)	
14	41	4:	00	Toward	the ship	
Detailed narrative of detection	Initially sighted directly surface throughout the was last observed at be watch to monitor visibili necessary.	off starboard side of Vole sighting, occasionally lifti earing 04:00 (using clock ty conditions), as piling o	e Au Vent near mid-ship. ing its head out of the wa face) diving out of view. (perations had already be	The turtle milled in the an ter. It slowly moved towa One PSO was on watch a en called off for the day.	ea, remaining near the rds the vessel, and at the time (primarily on No mitigation was	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	21	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation	L	l			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Mit - Pre Watch Clearance	-	25	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
6:06:00 AM	6:32:00 AM	6:06:00 AM	6:53:00 AM	00:47	6:53:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.8957	75.4906	36.8957	75.4906	1643	200	
Detection Description	on .		I			
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Robust Body. Tall, gray and falcate dorsal fin.			
Dolphin, Bottlenose	Most Likely					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
8	6	10	8	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Splash	Slow	Surface-Active Travel	Porpoising	None	
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ring to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction (relative t	of Travel to vessel)	
14	41	9:30		Toward	the ship	
Detailed narrative of detection at 06:06, a pod dolphins initially observed approximately 1643 meters away (distance estimated using binoculars with reticles) at 09:30 position relative to the vessel. The pod was travelling slowly towards the Vole au Vent's stern, and was observed continually throughout the detection. The closest approach was observed at 06:32 off the port side of vessel, at a distance of approximately 200 meters (estimated with naked eye). Dolphins last seen off the vessel's starboard stern, at 06:53. This sighting is suspected to be the same group of dolphins as was earlier detected by the Berto Miller (At ~05:45, Berto Miller lead PSO informed Vole Au Vent's vessel personnel of the sighting within the EZ. It did not impact operations, as the hammer was not ready for piling until 10:31.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	lime Mitigation Started (EDT)	l ime Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Number of Take

(# of animals)

0

IHA Take

(Yes/No)

No

Was animal

photograph taken

Yes

Dual

Detection (PAM)

No

PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL VISUAL DETECTION SUMMARY

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	22		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Mit - Delay Ramp Up/Soft Start	Piling Hammer	25		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
10:28:00 AM	10:35:00 AM	10:28:00 AM	10:44:00 AM	00:16	10:44:00 AM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
36.8957	75.4906	36.8957	75.4906	125	100		
Detection Description	n		I				
Animal Common Name Sea Turtle	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Reddish brown carapace and head. Broad neck and head. Approx. 1r shell length. Many barnacles present on carapace. Individual scutes visible in photos, but difficult to get exact count				
Loggerhead	Juic						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
1	1	1	1	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Body	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	None	None		
Vessel	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel		
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face)	Bow = 12:00	(relative	o vessel)		
14	41	1:00:0	MA 00	Toward	the ship		
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection Initially sighted off starboard bow (approximately 50m from vessel, 125m from hammer/ sound source). The turtle slowly approached the vessel and milled in the area for approximately 10 minutes, diving under the water surface several times. It then slowly traveled starboard to port, and was last observed approximately 10m directly off the bow, or approximately 125m from the sound source, when it dove out of view at 10:44 (bearing 12:00 using clockface). PSO immediately notified vessel crew of the sighting and requested that soft start be delayed until the EZ could be re - cleared for at least 30 minutes. Vessel was not ready to initiate soft start at the time of the sighting, so the mitigation delay was from the time the hammer was ready for piling (10:31) until the EZ was cleared at 11:14. Soft start did not occur immediately upon EZ clearance due to an operational delay that began almost exactly at the time of EZ clearance.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - Delay Ramp	Yes	10:31:00 AM	11:14:00 AM	-	Compliance		

Injured/Death

Marine mammal

No

Vessel strike

Avoidance

No



i	1		1	1					
Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number				
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	23				
Activity - Time - Loca	Activity - Time - Location								
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)				
6/1/2020	6/1/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	49.100000000000001				
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)				
5:55:00 AM	5:59:00 AM	5:59:00 AM	6:00:00 AM	00:01	6:08:00 AM				
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)				
37.1692	74.934	37.0176	74.8982	1000	10				
Detection Description	Detection Description								
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark falcate dorsal fin. Dark/black dorsal body color. Long slender beak. Est. length 2-2.5m.						
Dolphin, Common	Most Likely								
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves				
6	4	8	6	0	0				
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction				
Indeterminate	Splash	Vigorous	Surface-Active Mill	Feeding on observed fish	None				
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ring to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction (relative	of Travel to vessel)				
5	51	11	:30	Stati	onary				
Detailed narrative of detection	Several splashes seen at the 11:30 clockface position relative to the vessel at a distance of 1000m at 0555 hrs. Because no direction of travel was evident for the dolphins and fish could be observed among the splashes, PSO requested the vessel alter course to the starboard side as a precaution. The vessel operator complied immediately. However, the dolphins exhibited vessel attractant behavior at 05:59 and swam towards the vessel's bow, with a CPA of 10 meters. Dolphins could be observed astern of the vessel until 06:08, continuing to feed on fish at the surface.								
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other								
What action	Mag aption	Time Mitigation	Time Mitigation	Estimated Lago	Degulatory				

What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status
Mit - Change Course	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken
No	0	Yes	No	-	No



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	24	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/1/2020	6/1/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	51	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
6:22:00 AM	6:22:00 AM	-	-	-	6:22:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
37.1943	74.8623	37.1943	74.8623	100	100	
Detection Description	'n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark colored, falcate dorsal fin. Est. length 2-3m.			
Dolphin, Unidentified	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
3	2	4	3	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Moderate	Unknown	None	None	
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal	Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	U = NORIN	(CIOCK TACE)	BOM = 15:00	(relative)	o vessel)	
5	2	11:	:00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction	
Detailed narrative of detection	Brief observation off po again. Dorsal fins and p mitigation required. Ves	rt bow. Dolphins were se partial dorsal bodies were ssel was in transit to port	en surfacing 1-2 times ea e visible. Unable to deterr at the time of observation	ach over a period of ~ 5 s nine species due to dura n.	sec, and were not seen tion of sighting. No	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	No	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	25
Activity - Time - Loca	ation				
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)
6/1/2020	6/1/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	None	270
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)
10:12:00 AM	10:12:00 AM	-	-	-	10:12:00 AM
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)
37.4603	74.4001	37.4603	74.4001	100	100
Detection Description	n				
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark falcate dorsal fin. Hourglass shape pattern beneath dorsal fin, tan fwd.		
Dolphin, Common	Sure				
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves
2	2	3	2	0	0
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction
Indeterminate	Body	Vigorous	Fast Travel	Breach	None
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel
(degree) -	0 = NOLULI	(CIUCK TALE)	BOM = 15:00	(relative)	U VESSEI)
5	/	1:	00	Crossing perpendi	cular ahead of ship
Detailed narrative of detection	Brief observation off sta surfacing simultaneous again.	arboard bow resulted in n ly and then both reappea	o pictures taken. First do aring directly off the bow r	olphin full body breached oughly 4 seconds later, a	while second seen and then not seen
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1	1	
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken
No	0	No	No	No	No



No

0

PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL VISUAL DETECTION SUMMARY

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	26		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation	<u>I</u>	1	1			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
6/1/2020	6/1/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	2467		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
7:58:00 PM	8:07:00 PM	8:00:00 PM	8:07:00 PM	00:07	8:07:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
38.2971	72.8069	38.308	72.7872	150	5		
Detection Description	on						
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Tri-color: darker gray back/dorsal, light gray sides, bright white belly. Beak dark upper, white lower, and looks shorter relative to spinner				
Dolphin, Clymene	Most Likely		dolphin. Est length 1.5-	2m. Tall, falcate dorsal fi	n		
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
5	4	6	3	2	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Dorsal	Vigorous	Bow Riding	Bow Riding	None		
Vessel	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel		
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face) Bow = 12:00		(relative)	o vessel)		
5	7	12	:00	Toward	the ship		
Detailed narrative of detection	ive of Initially observed directly off the bow (sighted from above bridge), Porpoising rapidly towards the vessel. PSO went down to the bow after losing sight of the dolphins, and observed the group bow riding and breaching 5-15m from the hull of the vessel. The group was last observed turning to port and swimming out of sight. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation. Dolphins displayed a voluntary approach / vessel attractant behavior, and no mitigation was necessary. A short video was recorded of bow riding/ breaching.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		

No

No

No

Yes



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	27	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		•			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	2478	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
6:43:00 AM	6:44:00 AM	-	-	-	6:48:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
39.3663	70.7149	39.3736	70.6998	1226	981	
Detection Descriptio	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Falcate dorsal fin. Est length 2-3m (difficult to tell at distance)			
Dolphin, Unidentified	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
15	12	18	15	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Splash	Vigorous	Fast Travel	Porpoising	None	
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face) Bow = 12:00		(relative)	to vessel)	
5	6	8:	00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction	
Detailed narrative of detection	Distant splashing obser pod was Porpoising rap same general direction, distance. Vole Au Vent	ved off the port bow. De- vidly in the opposite direc , at a distance of 2142m, was in transit to Halifax a	termined to be a pod of d tion of the vessel, and div bearing 08:00 (using clo at the time of observation	olphins upon viewing thr d not approach. Last obs ckface). Unable to deterr	ough binoculars. The erved porpoising in the nine species due to	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes		-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	28	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	2478	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
7:20:00 AM	7:22:00 AM	-	-	-	7:25:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
39.4276	70.5879	39.4373	70.5686	981	817	
Detection Descriptio	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Falcate dorsal fin. Est length 2-3m (difficult to tell at distance)			
Dolphin, Unidentified	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
8	6	10	8	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Splash	Stationary	Mill	Porpoising	None	
Vessell	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face)	Bow = 12:00	(relative)	to vessel)	
5	5	11	:00	Statio	onary	
Detailed narrative of detection	Distant splashing obser The pod was milling in At 07:24, the pod begar determine species due	ved off the port bow. Dei the same location, and p n Porpoising further away to distance. Vole Au Ver	termined to be a small pc ossibly feeding, as they a y from the vessel, and PS it was in transit to Halifax	d of dolphins upon viewi appeared to be lunging ra SO lost sight of them one at the time of observatio	ng through binoculars. Ipidly back and forth. minute later. Unable to n.	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	-	No	



(# of animals)

0

(Yes/No)

No

Detection (PAM)

No

photograph taken

Yes

Marine mammal

No

Avoidance

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number				
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	29				
Activity - Time - Location									
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)				
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	2200				
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)				
8:53:00 AM	8:56:00 AM	-	-	-	9:04:00 AM				
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)				
39.5998	70.2745	39.6176	70.2311	1200	300				
Detection Description	on								
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	brownish gray body, blow at 45 degrees, hump-like dorsal, fluke shovel like						
Whale, Sperm	Sure								
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves				
3	2	3	3	0	0				
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction				
Indeterminate	Blow	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	Blow	None				
Vessel (degree) -	Heading • 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ring to animal Direction of Travel Bow = 12:00 (relative to vessel)		of Travel to vessel)				
5	54	11	:30	Crossing perpendi	cular ahead of ship				
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection a blow was seen at 11:30 relative to the vessel approximately 1200 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). There were several blows over an eleven minute period as the whales swam slowly at the surface perpendicularly ahead of the vessel, each blow distinctly at a 45 degree angle. There were three individuals, two individuals reaching the surface, and then a third. The whales were last seen at 9:04, as the Vole Au Vent continued on its transit and the whales could no longer be seen								
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other								
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status				
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance				
IHA Take	Number of Take	Vessel strike	Injured/Death	Dual	Was animal				



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	30	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	1967	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
10:16:00 AM	10:17:00 AM	-	-	-	10:43:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
39.7477	70.0025	39.7975	69.9026	350	5	
Detection Description	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	saddle back pattern on dorsal of dark grey, hour glass pattern on the side with tan and white, falcate dorsal fin			
Dolphin, Common	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
2	2	2	2	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Vigorous	Bow Riding	Bow Riding	None	
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)		
5	8	12	:00	Toward	the ship	
Detailed narrative of detection	dolphins initially observ PSO went down to the hull of the vessel. Dolp necessary.	ed approximately 350 off bow after losing sight of i hins displayed a volunta	of the bow (visually estir the dolphins. 2 dolphins ry approach/vessel attrac	nated), porpoising rapidl were bow riding and brea tant behavior, no mitigati	y towards the vessel. aching 5-20m from the ion action was	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other			1		
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	31	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	859	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
11:17:00 AM	11:17:00 AM	-	-	-	11:19:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
39.8491	69.8005	39.8565	69.7856	3500	3500	
Detection Descriptio	'n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Falcate dorsal fin. Est length 2-3m (difficult to tell at distance)			
Dolphin, Unidentified	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
8	5	10	8	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Splash	Vigorous	Fast Travel	Porpoising	None	
Vessel F	Heading	Relative Bear	elative Bearing to animal		Direction of Travel	
(degree) -	U = NOLULI	(CIUCK TACE)	BOW = 12:00	(relative to vessel)		
5	9		:00	Crossing perpendic	cular anead of ship	
Detailed narrative of detection	Distant splashing obser pod was porpoising rap porpoising in the same	rved off the port bow. De idly, perpendicular acros direction at 3500m at 2 c	termined to be a pod of or ss the bow of the vessel, clock bearing. Unable to	dolphins upon viewing thr and did not approach. L o determine species due	rough binoculars. The ast observed to distance.	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	No	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	32	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	None	324	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
11:50:00 AM	11:51:00 AM	-	-	-	11:56:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
39.912	69.6771	39.9134	69.6714	300	5	
Detection Description	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	saddle back pattern on dorsal of dark grey, hour glass pattern on the side with tan and white, falcate dorsal fin			
Dolphin, Common	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
4	4	4	4	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Vigorous	Bow Riding	Bow Riding	None	
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animalDirection of TravelBow = 12:00(relative to vessel)		of Travel to vessel)	
6	0	12	:00	Toward	the ship	
Detailed narrative of detection	dolphins initially observ 4 dolphins were bow ric approach/vessel attract sight.	ed approximately 300 off ling and breaching 5-20r ant behavior, no mitigation	f of the bow (visually estir n from the hull of the vest on action was necessary.	nated), porpoising rapidl sel. Dolphins displayed a Last seen turning to po	y towards the vessel. a voluntary rt and swimming out of	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



		o	011	<u> </u>		
Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	33	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	150	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
12:28:00 PM	12:31:00 PM	-	-	-	12:32:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
39.9482	69.5664	39.9572	69.5517	700	150	
Detection Description	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark to light gray color, with extensive scarring on body. Melon shaped head, no beak. Very tall and falcate dorsal fin. Est length 3-4m			
Dolphin, Risso	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
4	4	4	4	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Dorsal	Moderate	Medium Travel	None	None	
Vessel (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animal Direction of Travel Bow = 12:00 (relative to vessel)		of Travel to vessel)	
5	5	8:	00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction	
Detailed narrative of detection	Initially observed off po steady pace, travelling was at bearing 09:00 (L Vent was in transit to H	rt bow, by dorsal fins and in the opposite direction using clockface). Last obs alifax at the time of obse	a light blue hue under th as vessel, and slightly mo served approximately 300 rvation. No strike avoidar	he water surface. The ani pre to port/away from the Om at bearing 08:00 (usin Ince mitigation was require	mals continued at a Vole Au Vent. CPA g clockface). Vole Au ed.	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number			
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	34			
Activity - Time - Loca	ation							
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)			
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	101			
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)			
1:40:00 PM	1:43:00 PM	-	-	-	1:45:00 PM			
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)			
40.0763	69.3391	40.0801	69.3275	1226	701			
Detection Description	on							
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Sleek dorsal surface with falcate dorsal fin aft of mid-body. Dark steel gray color. Very tall blow, almost perpendicular to water surface 10-20m est, height, Arched back and rolled forward during dive					
Whale, Fin	Sure				5			
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves			
3	2	4	3	0	0			
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction			
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None			
Vessel I (degree)	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal $(clock face)$ Bow = 12:00		Direction of Travel				
(degree) -		(CIOCK Tace) DOW = 12.00		(relative to vessel)				
/	5		11:00		Parallel to snip in opposite direction			
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection 5 blows initially observed directly off the bow (sighted from above bridge). Dorsal fins were visible and 2 whales were observed arching backs, possibly diving. The whales continued parallel opposite and moving to Vole Au Vents port. Closest approach was observed off port bow, bearing 11:00 (using clockface). A series of multiple blows were observed 13:33-13:34, followed by apparent dives. Whales arched their backs and rolled forward in wheel like motion, dove, and were not seen again. Vessel was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation. No strike avoidance mitigation was required.							
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1	1				
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status			
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance			
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken			
No	0	No	No	No	Yes			



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	35	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	102	
Time at first	Time of closest to	Time animal	Time animal left	Time animal in the	Time at end of	
encounter (EDT)	source (EDT)	entered EZ (EDT)	EZ (EDT)	EZ (hh:mm)	encounter (EDT)	
1:57:00 PM	2:13:00 PM	-	-	-	2:31:00 PM	
Latitude when first	Longitude when	Latitude when last	Longitude when	Distance at first	Closest Range to	
Signied	nist signied	Signied	last signted	Sighting (m)	Animal (m)	
40.1003	69.2856	40.1667	69.1578	4904	300	
Detection Description	n					
Animal Common	Certainty of	Description of	Sleek dorsal surface wi	th falcate dorsal fin aft of	mid-body. Dark steel	
Name		AHIIIIdi(S)	20m est. height. Archeo	d back and rolled forward	during dive	
Whale, Fin	Sure				<u>j</u>	
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
4	3	5	4	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None	
Vessel I (dogroo)	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal $R_{OW} = 1200$	Direction (rolativo t	of Travel	
(degree) -	6 – NOLUT		2:00 Parallel to shin in opposite directi			
J Detailed generative of		2.	57 - 14/08: range 2452-4904m (5-10 mils using hinoculars, PSO T. Horwell from above			
Detailed narrative of detection 11-13 blows observed from 13:57 - 14:08: range 2452-4904m (5-10 mils using binoculars, PSO T. Horwell from above bridge). All blows were similar size and shape (tall, elliptical and almost perpendicular to water surface, 10+m in height) and easily visible with naked eye. At 14:08, 2 dives were observed through binoculars (dorsal fins visible, arched backs and rolled forward). 12 - 15 additional, periodic blows of the same description were observed at approximately the same distance (estimated 2 - 3 km from vessel using binocular reticles), at a bearing of 1:30-3:00 (using clockface), indicating the whales were moving the opposite direction of the ship. 2 additional fin whales (same id characteristics were described) were observed (blows and dives) by PSO C. Brooks between 14:11-14:14, approximately 300m (visual estimate) off the starboard side at bearing 04:00 (using clockface). These whales also appeared to be travelling at a moderate pace, in the opposite direction as the vessel. No strike avoidance measures were required, as the whales did not approach within 100m of the vessel. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.						
What action	Was action	Time Mitigation	Time Mitigation	Estimated Loss	Regulatorv	
requested?	implemented?	Started (EDT)	Ended (EDT)	Time (Mitigation)	Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	No	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number			
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	36			
Activity - Time - Loca	ation							
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)			
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	92			
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)			
2:22:00 PM	2:26:00 PM	2:26:00 PM	2:28:00 PM	00:02	2:28:00 PM			
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)			
40.1429	69.2037	40.1429	69.2037	537	10			
Detection Description	on							
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Saddle back pattern on dorsal of dark grey body, Long slender beak, tall dark falcate dorsal fin.					
Dolphin, Common	Sure							
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves			
25	20	30	25	0	0			
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction			
Indeterminate	Splash	Vigorous	Fast Travel	Porpoising	None			
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)				
5	9	11:00		Toward the ship				
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection Several small splashes observed at 11:00 clockface relative to the vessel at 14:22, at an approximated distance of 537 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars) travelling quickly towards the vessel. They were observed bow riding at 14:24, and had a CPA of 10 meters at 14:26. No mitigation was implemented because the dolphins were exhibiting vessel attractant behavior. Last confirmed sighting of dolphins was 14:28 while they were bow riding. They were not observed leaving the bow of the vessel because of the on-going whale sightings and the right whale observed at 14:32. Therefore, no end of sighting Lat/Long were recorded.							
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other							
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status			
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance			
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken			
No	0	No	No	No	Yes			



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	37		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	90		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
2:32:00 PM	2:32:00 PM	2:32:00 PM	2:32:00 PM	-	2:32:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
40.1667	69.1578	40.1667	69.1578	300	300		
Detection Description	n						
Animal Common Name Whale, North Atlantic Right	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Dark black body. Rough, tan colored patches on lower lip. Very likely callosities. Smooth black fluke present during dive. Fluke with smoot concave margin				
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
1	1	1	1	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Body	Slow	Unknown	Fluke up	None		
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		of Travel to vessel)		
6	1	1:	1:30Away from the ship				
Detailed narrative of detection Large black body observed, possible callosities on lower lip, and a smooth concave fluke seen at 14:32 approximately 300 meters (distance estimated using naked eye). Whale observed at the 1:30 clockface position relative to the vessel, travelling away from the vessel to the starboard side. Because of the whale's location and direction of travel (whale was not in vessel's path), it was not deemed a risk for vessel strike or at risk of approaching closer than 100 meters of the vessel as it passed. Therefore, no mitigation was requested. Only 1 surfacing was observed, sighting only lasted a few seconds. PSO CB was able to take 1 photo of the whale as it dove, and was able to confirm it was a right whale after reviewing the photo of the fluke zoomed-in. At that point, the whale would have been outside of the 500 meter exclusion zone for NARW, so no further action was requested. PSO was stationed at end of starboard wing at time of observation. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.							
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other	The A Miller Have	The Addition the second	Fallsade de la com	Deeulatau		
vvnat action requested?	was action implemented?	Started (EDT)	Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	Yes		



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number			
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	38			
Activity - Time - Loca	ation							
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)			
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	89			
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)			
3:06:00 PM	3:08:00 PM	-	-	-	3:08:00 PM			
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)			
40.2058	69.0497	40.2058	69.0497	1074	1074			
Detection Description	n							
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Brownish-gray body, blows at an angle. Hump-like dorsal. Logging behavior at surface					
Whale, Sperm	Sure							
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves			
2	2	2	2	0	0			
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction			
Indeterminate	Blow	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	Blow	None			
Vessel I (degree)	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative t	of Travel			
(degree)	0	(0000 120)	12:00 Away from the sl		n the ship			
		12	5.00 5.00		1074			
detection	Detailed narrative of detection 2 large blows at 45-degree angle observed at 15:06, at the 12:00 clockface position, approximately 1074 meters away (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). Both whales exhibited logging behavior at the surface for approximately 2 minutes before diving. Both whales heads were pointed towards our starboard side, so PSO CB requested the vessel alter course to port to avoid a vessel strike. The vessel operator complied immediately. A dive footprint was seen at 15:08 at approximately 1000 meters (distance estimated using naked eye) at the 1:00 position. No changes in behavior were observed.							
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status			
Mit - Change Course	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance			
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken			
No	0	Yes	No	-	No			



0

No

No

No

Yes

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	39
Activity - Time - Location					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	95
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)
3:15:00 PM	3:30:00 PM	-	-	-	3:33:00 PM
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)
40.2197	69.0310	40.2448	69.9818	4296	1432
Detection Description					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark gray body, rounded during dive, falcate dorsal fin. Tall blows.		
Whale, Fin	Sure				
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves
3	3	3	3	0	0
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None
Vessel Heading		Relative Bearing to animal		Direction of Travel	
(degree) - U = North		(CIOCK face) Bow = 12:00		(relative to vessel)	
57		11:00		Parallel to ship in opposite direction	
Detailed narrative of detection	3 large slender blows observed at 15:15, at the 11:00 clockface position relative to the vessel, at a distance of 4296 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). Whales continued surfacing 4-5 times between dives. Closest approach was 1432 meters at 15:30, at bearing 09:00 (clockface position). Last sighting was at 1:33 at bearing 08:00 (clockface position). Due to the high number of sightings, it felt necessary to prioritize watch immediately in front of the vessel, so observations of these whales discontinued at 15:33. No changes in behavior were observed.				
Mitigation – Compliance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken


Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number			
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	40			
Activity - Time - Loca	ation							
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)			
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	92			
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)			
4:29:00 PM	4:32:00 PM	-	-	-	4:37:00 PM			
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)			
40.3492	68.7924	40.3622	68.7690	1074	537			
Detection Description	on							
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark gray body, rounded during dive, falcate dorsal fin. Tall blows.					
Whale, Fin	Sure							
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves			
2	2	3	2	0	0			
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction			
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None			
Vessel I (dogroo)	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal	Direction (rolativo	of Travel			
(uegi ee) -		11:00		Derellel te chin in	opposite direction			
C	0	11	:00					
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection2-3 large slender blows observed at 16:29, at the 11:00 clockface position relative to the vessel, at a distance of 1074 meters (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). Whales were swimming parallel to the ship and in the opposite direction, towards the stern, surfacing approximately 5 times per dive. Closest approach was 537m at 16:32, bearing 09:00 (clockface position). Last time of sighting was at 16:37, whales could be seen 612 meters away at the 08:00 clockface position, continuing to swim in the opposite direction of the vessel. No changes in behavior were observed.							
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status			
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance			
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken			
No	0	No	No	No	Yes			



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	41	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	88	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
4:49:00 PM	4:49:00 PM	-	-	-	4:49:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
40.3845	68.7303	40.3845	68.7303	4296	4296	
Detection Description	'n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Tall elliptical shaped blow			
Whale, Fin	Most Likely					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None	
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal	Direction	of Travel	
(aegree) -	U = NORTN	(CIOCK TACE)	BOM = 15:00	(relative to vessel)		
5	7	1:	00	Oti	ner	
Detailed narrative of detection	1 tall elliptical blow see estimated using reticled determined. No mitigat	n at 16:49 at 1:00 clockfa I binoculars). Only 1 blov ion necessary.	ice position, approximate w was observed. Due to	ly 4296 meters away from the distance, no direction	n the vessel (distance n of travel was	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	No	



(Yes/No)

No

(# of animals)

0

Avoidance

No

Marine mammal

No

Detection (PAM)

No

photograph taken

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number					
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	42					
Activity - Time - Location										
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)					
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	92					
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)					
5:12:00 PM	5:22:00 PM	-	-	-	5:25:00 PM					
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)					
40.4265	68.6561	40.4491	68.6137	4296	400					
Detection Description	on									
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Tall elliptical shaped blow, tall dark falcate dorsal fin, with large rounded back visible during dive							
Whale, Fin	Sure									
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves					
1	1	1	1	0	0					
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction					
Indeterminate	Blow	Moderate	Medium Travel	Blow	None					
Vessel	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction of Travel						
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face)	(clock face) Bow = 12:00 (relative to v		to vessel)					
5	59	1:	00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction					
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection away from the vessel (distance estimated using reticled binoculars). Whale observed surfacing 3-5 times between dives, travelling in a direction parallel to, but in the opposite direction of the vessel. Closest approach of the whale was estimated to be 400 meters at the 03:00 position relative to the vessel, at 17:22. No behavioral changes were observed, and no mitigations were required.									
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other									
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status					
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance					
IHA Take	Number of Take	Vessel strike	Injured/Death	Dual	Was animal					



IHA Take

(Yes/No)

No

Number of Take

(# of animals)

0

Vessel strike

Avoidance

No

Was animal

photograph taken

Yes

PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL VISUAL DETECTION SUMMARY

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number					
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	43					
Activity - Time - Location										
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)					
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	91					
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)					
6:21:00 PM	6:24:00 PM	-	-	-	6:26:00 PM					
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)					
40.5439	68.4252	40.5508	68.4095	973	250					
Detection Description	on									
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark gray dorsal color, forming a V - shape below the dorsal fin. Hourglass pattern on sides of body (tan fwd., gray aft). Estimated body							
Dolphin, Common	Sure		iengtn ~2m.Dark, faicat	e dorsal fin						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves					
14	12	16	14	0	0					
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction					
Indeterminate	Dorsal	Moderate	Medium Travel	Porpoising	None					
Vessel (degree) -	Heading - 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ring to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)						
6	64	3:	00	Crossing perpendi	cular ahead of ship					
Detailed narrative of detection A pod of dolphins was observed porpoising off the bow, from port to starboard. The pod then turned and travelled opposite and parallel to the Vole Au Vents heading. At 18:24, they were approximately 250m directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). The pod was last observed approximately 500m away, travelling in the same direction, at bearing 04:00 (using clockface). No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.										
Mitigation – Compli	ance - Other									
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status					
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance					

Injured/Death

Marine mammal

No

Dual Detection (PAM)

No



CVOW-1Vole au VentPile DrivingJDNDominion44Activity - Time - LocationDate I ast DetectedObservation TypeSurvey ActivityFnergy Source(s)Water Depth (m)6/2/20206/2/2020Daylight VisualOth - Vessel Transit-80.30I'lime at TimeTime of closeser toTime animal leftEZ (EDT)Time animal leftTime animal leftencounter (EDT)7.11.00 PM7.13.00 PM209.00 PM7.11.00 PM7.13.00 PMLatitude when firstSightedSightedSightedSightedSightedSightedfirst SightedSightedSightedSightedSightedAnimal (m)40.623768.246040.626868.23987.14612Detection DescriptionDescription of Animal(s)Dark gray or black dors. Sharp and facted eorsal fin near att of mit body. Snnal size relative to fin or set whate: estimated length 6- Bm. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to suraceBestMinMaxAdultsJuvenilesCalves223200Sex ClassSighting CucPaceBehaviora stateIndividuel BehaviorIndeterminateDorsalModerateModurateNoneNoneVessel Headingclass to wards: scaling osposite/ parallel to the vision vase required. Vole Au Vision vas scriptic, only sufating singhty for very trip berids of time (no pholograph as state) direction of Travel (relative to vessel)Singted or	Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number				
Activity - Time - Location Date First Detected Date Last Detected Observation Type Survey Activity Energy Source(s) Water Depth (m) 6/2/2020 6/2/2020 Daylight Visual Oth - Vessel Transit - 80.30 Time at first encounter (EDT) Time of closest to source (EDT) Time animal entered EZ (EDT) Time animal entered EZ (EDT) Time animal in the EZ (th:mm) Time at end of encounter (EDT) 7.09:00 PM 7:11:00 PM - - 7:13:00 PM Latitude when first Sighted Longitude when first Sighted Latitude when first Sighted Closest Range to Animal Common Name Certainty of identification Description of Animal(S) Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of mid body. Small size relative to fin or set whale estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surface Best Min Max Adults Juveniles Calves 2 2 3 2 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Rehavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction None Dorsal Moderate Moderate	CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	44				
Date First DetectedDate Last DetectedObservation TypeSurvey ActivityEnergy Source(S)Water Depth (m)6/2/20206/2/2020Daylight VisualOth - Vessel Transit-80.30Time at firstTime of closest toTime animal effTime animal leftTime animal in the EZ (PDT)Time at end of encounter (EDT)7.09-00 PM7.11:00 PM7.13:00 PM1.atitude when first SigntedLatitude when last SightedLongitude when last SightedDistance at first Sighted (m)Closest Range to Animal (m)40.623768.246040.626868.23987.14612Detection Description ManeNameCertainty of IdentificationDescription of Animal(S)Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of mid body. Smalt size relative to fin or sei whate: estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surfaceNameNinMaxAdultsJuvenilesCalves223200Sox ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneNoneVessel Heading (degree) -0 = NorthClock face; Bow 12:00Parallel to ship in opposite directionStatbard of their dorsal body. Small pulfStarbard of their dorsal body. Small pulf0OStarbard The whate's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periade.<	Activity - Time - Loca	ation								
6/2/2020 6/2/2020 Daylight Visual Oth - Vessel Transit - 80.30 Time at first encounter (EDT) Time of closest to source (EDT) Time animal entered EZ (EDT) Time animal left EZ (EDT) ED	Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)				
Time at first encounter (EDI)Time of closest to source (EDI)Time at end of entered EZ (EDI)Time at end of EZ (EDI)Time at end of encounter (EDI)7.09.00 PM7.11:00 PM7.13:00 PMLatitude when first SightedLongitude when first SightedLatitude when last SightedLongitude when last SightedDistance at first SightedCest Range to Animal (m)40.623768.246040.626868.23987.146.12Detection DescriptionCertainty of Animal (on ManeDark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near atl of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visuble, just mist close to sumaceWhale, MinkeSureDark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near atl of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visuble, just mist close to sumaceBestMinMaxAdultsJuveniles223200Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral Reaction (relative to vessel)MederminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneVessel Heading (degre) - 0 NorthInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposited parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfaced simultaneously during the sighting, Cosest approach was directify of the starboard bow, travelling opposited parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slig	6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	80.30				
7.09:00 PM7.11:00 PM7.13:00 PMLatilude when first SightedLongitude when first SightedLatilude when last SightedLongitude when last SightedDistance at first Sighting (m)Closest Range to Animal (m)40.623768.246040.626868.2398714612Detection Description MameCertainty of IdentificationDescription of Animal(s)Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6-8m. Blow was barely visit close to surfaceCalvesBestMinMaxAdultsJuvenilesCalves223200Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateDorsalModerateModuium Travel (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)Vessel Heading (degree) -0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)0Intilally observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starden slightly for very brief periods of line (no pholograph as nesult), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Oceaset approach was directively of the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly fo statues. Two individuals surfaced simultaneo	Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)				
Latitude when first Sighted Longitude when first Sighted Latitude when last Sighted Longitude when last Sighted Distance at first Sighting (m) Closest Range to Animal (m) 40.6237 68.2460 40.6268 68.2398 714 612 Detection Description Certainty of Identification Description of Animal (s) Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near at of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surface Best Min Max Adults Juveniles Calves 2 2 3 2 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Direction of Travel (relative to vessel) Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Direction of Travel (relative to vessel) Parallel to ship in opposite direction starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very fair blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the slightly. Close starboard was directly of the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Bincculars (with relices) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No	7:09:00 PM	7:11:00 PM	-	-	-	7:13:00 PM				
40.623766.246040.626866.2398714612Detection DescriptionMarinal Common Name Whale, MinkeCertainty of IdentificationDescription of Animal(s)Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of anid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surfaceBestMinMaxAdultsJuvenilesCalves223200Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneNoneVessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)Parallel to ship in opposite directionDetailed narrative of detectionInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very bief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly of the starboard side, bearing 0:300 (using clockface). Binoculars (with relices) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were doserved, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting.<	Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)				
Detection Description Certainty of Identification Description of Animal(s) Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surface Best Min Max Adults Juveniles Calves 2 2 3 2 0 0 Sex Class Sighting Cue Pace Behavior state Individual Behavior Behavioral Reaction Indeterminate Dorsal Moderate Medium Travel None None Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = North Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00 Direction of Travel (relative to vessel) Parallel to ship in opposite direction Detailed narrative of detection Initially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 0.3:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sightling. No strike avoidance measures we	40.6237	68.2460	40.6268	68.2398	714	612				
Animal Common NameCertainty of IdentificationDescription of Animal(s)Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6- 8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surfaceBestMinMaxAdultsJuvenilesCalves223200Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneVessel Heading (degree) - 0 - NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow - 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)Detailed narrative of detectionInlitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the slightly. Closes ta pproach was directly of the starboard side, bearing 0:300 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halfax at the time of observation.Miteation - ComplianceWas action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMiteationYesCompliance </td <td>Detection Description</td> <td>on and a second s</td> <td></td> <td>I</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Detection Description	on and a second s		I						
BestMinMaxAdultsJuvenilesCalves223200Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneNoneVessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)643:00Parallel to ship in opposite directionDetailed narrative of detectionInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.Mitigation - Compliance - OtherTime Mitigation Index (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation) StatusRegulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph taken </td <td>Animal Common Name Whale, Minke</td> <td>Certainty of Identification Sure</td> <td>Description of Animal(s)</td> <td colspan="3">Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6-8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surface</td>	Animal Common Name Whale, Minke	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Dark gray or black dorsal color. Sharp and falcate dorsal fin near aft of mid body. Small size relative to fin or sei whale: estimated length 6-8m. Blow was barely visible, just mist close to surface						
223200Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneVessel Heading (degree) 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)643:00Parallel to ship in opposite directionDetailed narrative of detectionInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the slightly. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.Mitigation - ComplianceWas action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation) Ended (EDT)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action 	Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves				
Sex ClassSighting CuePaceBehavior stateIndividual BehaviorBehavioral ReactionIndeterminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneNoneVessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)643:00Parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.Mitigation - Compliance - OtherTime Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Ended (EDT)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine marmalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNo-No	2	2	3	2	0	0				
IndeterminateDorsalModerateMedium TravelNoneNoneVessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)643:00Parallel to ship in opposite directionDetailed narrative of detectionInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike was action.Regulatory StatusMitigation - Compliance - OtherTime Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNo-No	Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction				
Vessel Heading (degree) - 0 = NorthRelative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)643:00Parallel to ship in opposite directionDetailed narrative of detectionInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.Mitigation - Compliance - Other requested?Time Mitigation implemented?Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNo-No	Indeterminate	Dorsal	Moderate	Medium Travel	None	None				
643:00Parallel to ship in opposite directionDetailed narrative of detectionInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.Mitigation - Compliance - OtherImme Mitigation Started (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesStarted (EDT)Imme Mitigation Ended (EDT)ComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNoNoNoNoNo	Vessel (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00Direction of T (relative to vertice)		of Travel to vessel)				
Detailed narrative of detectionInitially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to 	6	4	3:	00 Parallel to ship in opposite direction						
Mitigation - Compliance - OtherWhat action requested?Was action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)Regulatory StatusMit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNo-No	Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection Initially observed off the starboard bow, travelling opposite/ parallel to the Vole Au Vent's heading, and angled slightly to starboard. The whale's behavior was cryptic, only surfacing slightly for very brief periods of time (no photograph as result), displaying dorsal fins and part of their dorsal bodies. Two very faint blows were observed, producing a small puff of mist close to the water surface. Two individuals surfaced simultaneously during the sighting. Closest approach was directly off the starboard side, bearing 03:00 (using clockface). Binoculars (with reticles) were used to identify species, and estimate distances for this sighting. No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.								
What action requested?Was action implemented?Time Mitigation Started (EDT)Time Mitigation 	Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1						
Mit - No Action requiredYesComplianceIHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNo-No	What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status				
IHA Take (Yes/No)Number of Take (# of animals)Vessel strike AvoidanceInjured/Death Marine mammalDual Detection (PAM)Was animal photograph takenNo0NoNo-No	Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance				
No 0 No No - No	IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken				
	No	0	No	No	-	No				



IHA Take

(Yes/No)

No

Number of Take

(# of animals)

0

Vessel strike

Avoidance

No

PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL VISUAL DETECTION SUMMARY

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number				
CVOW-1	Vole au Vent	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	45				
Activity - Time - Location									
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)				
6/2/2020	6/2/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	84.30				
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)				
7:36:00 PM	7:36:00 PM	-	-	-	7:39:00 PM				
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)				
40.6659	68.1572	40.6698	68.1492	1635	1226				
Detection Description	Detection Description								
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark dorsal color. Falcate dorsal fin near mid body. Estimated body length 2-3m.						
Dolphin, Unidentified	Sure								
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves				
5	4	6	4	0	0				
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction				
Indeterminate	Body	Moderate	Medium Travel	None	None				
Vessel	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel				
(degree) -	-0 = NOr(n)	(CIOCK TACE)	BOM = 15:00	(relative to vessel)					
6	52	8:	00	Parallel to ship in opposite direction					
Detailed narrative of detection Initially observed off the port bow. The small group travelled further to port, then parallel and opposite the direction of the vessel. The dolphins did not porpoise or approach the vessel within 1226m (25 mils, PSO T. Horwell), and were difficult to identify to the species level as a result. Closest approach was directly off the port side, and the group was last observed at bearing 08:00 (using clockface). No strike avoidance measures were required. Vole Au Vent was in transit to Halifax at the time of observation.									
Mitigation – Compli	ance - Other								
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status				
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance				

Injured/Death

Marine mammal

No

Dual

Detection (PAM)

No

Was animal

photograph taken

No

Appendix E. MV Berto Miller - CVOW Project Protected Species Individual Detection Summary



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	1		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/21/2020	5/21/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	24.38		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
8:15:00 PM	8:15:00 PM	-	-	-	8:15:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
40.9455	73.9455	40.9455	73.9455	200	200		
Detection Description	bn						
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Low and bushy blow, d fin	ark blue ventral side, hur	nped back, low dorsal		
Whale, Humpback	Most Likely						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
1	1	1	1	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Blow	Slow	Other	Blow	Unknown		
Vessel (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animal Bow = 12:00	ing to animalDirection of TravelBow = 12:00(relative to vessel)			
9	00	1:	00 Away from the ship				
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection Low bushy blow was seen 200-300m from the vessel about 1:00 from the bow/wheelhouse, facing away from the vessel. The whale then appeared to dive down, you could see the dark blue dorsal side of the animal and the low dorsal fin. The captain was notified by the PSO and kept an eye out for the whale. The animal was not sighted again. Berto Miller was in transit from NY to VA, not yet on CVOW work site.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Lime Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	No		



Number of Take

(# of animals)

0

Vessel strike

Avoidance

No

Injured/Death

Marine mammal

No

Dual

Detection (PAM)

No

Was animal

photograph taken

No

IHA Take

(Yes/No)

No

	r	r	1						
Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number				
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	2				
Activity - Time - Location									
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)				
5/22/2020	5/22/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	11.28				
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)				
7:34:00 PM	7:34:00 PM	-	-	-	7:34:00 PM				
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)				
37.3499	75.5180	37.3499	75.5180	400	400				
Detection Description	n								
Animal Common Name Dolphin, Unidentified	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Saw dark falcate shaped dorsal fin (vs. triangular). Only saw once then disappeared. Dorsal was wide/broad.						
Rost	Min	Max	Adults	luvonilos	Calvos				
DESI	IVIIII	IVIAX	Auuits	Juvermes	Calves				
1	1	1	1	0	0				
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction				
Indeterminate	Dorsal	Moderate	Surface-Active Travel	Porpoising	Unknown				
Vessel I (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ing to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction (relative t	of Travel to vessel)				
23	33	3:	00	Crossing perpendi	cular ahead of ship				
Detailed narrative of detection Saw dolphin porpoise once about 400 m away at 3:00 clockface from wheelhouse. The dorsal fin seen was falcate and porpoised then submerged and was not seen again. The captain was notified and the PSO continued watching for animals but no others were seen. Berto Miller was in transit to Chesapeake Bay away from CVOW work site, Vole au Vent had not yet arrived on site.									
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other								
What action	Was action	Time Mitigation	Time Mitigation	Estimated Loss	Regulatory				
requested?	implemented?	Started (EDT)	Ended (EDT)	Time (Mitigation)	Status				
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance				



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	3		
Activity - Time - Loca	tion						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/23/2020	5/23/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Vessel Transit	-	16.15		
Time at first	Time of closest to	Time animal	Time animal left	Time animal in the	Time at end of		
	Source (EDT)	entered EZ (EDT)	EZ (EDT)	EZ (IIII.IIIII)			
5.50.00 FIM	5.30.00 FIVI	-	-	-	0.39.00 FIM		
Latitude when first Sighted	first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
36.9602	76.0579	36.9558	76.0404	500	500		
Detection Description	n		-				
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Several dark falcate do were porpoising in and diving above the water,	rsal fins seen in splashin out of water. Sighting cu and splashing from dolp	g water. Dorsal fins es given by birds hins indicate likely		
Dolphin, Unidentified	Sure		feeding on bait fish.				
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
4	3	6	4	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Birds	Moderate	Other	Feeding on observed fish	None		
Vessel F (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ring to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction (relative t	of Travel to vessel)		
10)4	10	10:00 Parallel to ship in opposite direction				
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection detection PSO noticed birds diving into the water about 500m from the wheelhouse at 10:00 clockface. Noticed splashing and then saw dorsal fins of dolphins porpoising and splashing, suspected feeding on bait fish. Berto Miller continued course of action and last sighting of dolphins was at 17:39 when dolphins were at 7:00 clockface from wheelhouse about 1000m, continuing same behavior when first sighted. Berto Miller was in transit to CVOW work site, Vole au Vent had yet to arrive on site.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		1				
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	Yes		



(Yes/No)

No

(# of animals)

0

Avoidance

No

Marine mammal

No

Detection (PAM)

No

photograph taken

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number			
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	4			
Activity - Time - Loca	ation	•	•					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)			
5/25/2020	5/25/2020	Daylight Visual	Mit - Pre Watch Clearance	-	27.12			
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)			
12:09:00 PM	12:09:00 PM	-	-	-	12:09:00 PM			
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)			
36.8927	76.4732	36.8927	76.4732	1950	1950			
Detection Description	n				<u> </u>			
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Falcate dorsal fin and grey patch towards the back of the body/characteristic of the hour glass shape					
Dolphin, Common	Most Likely							
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves			
3	3	5	3	0	0			
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction			
Indeterminate	Body	Vigorous	Fast Travel	Porpoising	None			
Vessel (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		of Travel to vessel)			
3	37	2:	30	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction			
Detailed narrative of detection	ed narrative of detection detection of the Berto Miller, traveling relatively fast. Group was seen approximately 100m from the Berto Miller and 1950m from the Vole au Vent. Porpoised out of the water twice and then disappeared. First seen at about 2:30 clockface from the wheelhouse. Team on the Vole au Vent was notified at the time of the sighting but visual confirmation could not be made by their PSOs. Dolphins were in the mitigation zone during preclearance, but no mitigation was necessary as they were not seen within the exclusion zone and pile driving was not active at the time of sighting.							
Mitigation – Compli	ance - Other							
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status			
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance			
IHA Take	Number of Take	Vessel strike	Injured/Death	Dual	Was animal			



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	5	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		•			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Weather Downtime	-	26.21	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
10:53:00 AM	10:53:00 AM	-	-	-	10:54:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.8962	75.4632	36.8962	75.4632	2	0	
Detection Description	on					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Orange hued body, rou	ind carapace, prominent	front flippers	
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Most Likely					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Slow	Rest/Slow Travel	Other	Unknown	
Vessel (degree) -	Heading - 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ring to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction (relative	of Travel to vessel)	
3	33	11	:30 Toward the ship			
Detailed narrative of detection Loggerhead approximately 0.5m long was seen an estimated 2m from the bow of the Berto Miller, under the surface of the water. Distinct characteristics could not be made out as the animal never surfaced, but rusty orange hue for body coloration and slowly moving front slippers could be seen. Captain was notified and brought engines to neutral as safely and quickly as possible. As vessel approached turtle, the turtle appeared to go under the boat (unclear if the turtle made attempt to dive below the vessel). Turtle was not sighted again. Turtle was an estimated 1.3nm from the Vole au Vent. Vole au Vent was on weather standby and the Berto Miller was running weather patterns at the time of sighting.						
Mitigation – Compli	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - Change Course	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	Yes	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number			
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	6			
Activity - Time - Loca	ation							
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)			
5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Daylight Visual	Mit - Delay Ramp Up/Soft Start	-	25			
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)			
1:38:00 PM	1:38:00 PM	-	-	-	1:42:00 PM			
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)			
36.8816	75.4963	36.8816	75.4963	1860	1860			
Detection Description	on		I	l				
Animal Common Name Dolphin, Unidentified	Certainty of Identification Sure	Description of Animal(s)	Single dolphin about 4 feet/1.2m in length from rostrum to flukes. No other dolphins were seen with this individual. Dark colored dorsal and underneath, possible spotting. Small/slender rostrum and falcate					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves			
1	1	1	0	1	0			
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction			
Indeterminate	Body	Vigorous	Other	Porpoising	Unknown			
Vessel	Heading	Relative Bear	ing to animal	Direction	of Travel			
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face)	Bow = 12:00	(relative t	o vessel)			
34	49	7:	7:00		Toward the ship			
Detailed narrative of detection	Detailed narrative of detection Dolphin first sighted by crew who notified PSOs, said to be behind the vessel in the wake. PSO first sighted dolphin when it popped up about 3m from the port side of the stern of the Berto L Miller. The dolphin was smaller, approimately 1.2m in length and suspected to be a juvenile. Both the dorsal and ventral sides of the dolphin were dark in color. Dolphin porpoised in wake for a few seconds and then disappeared, no individuals were sighted. Vole au Vent PSOs were notified, but secondary visual confirmation could not be made. No mitigation was required as the individual was behind the transiting vessel and outside of the exclusion zone for the Vole au Vent.							
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other							
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status			
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance			
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken			
No	0	No	No	No	No			



No

0

No

No

No

Yes

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	7		
Activity - Time - Loc	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Daylight Visual	Mit - Delay Ramp Up/Soft Start	-	25		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
1:50:00 PM	1:50:00 PM	-	-	-	1:51:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
36.8979	75.5113	36.8979	75.5113	1750	1750		
Detection Description	on	1	1	1	1		
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Brownish-orange carapace and scutes, with prominent yellow beak and skin on head and dark rimmed eyes.				
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Most Likely						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
1	1	1	1	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Body	Stationary	Rest/Slow Travel	None	None		
Vessel (dogroo)	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction of Travel			
(degree)		(CIUCK TACE)	Bow = 12:00 (relative to vessel)		IU VESSEI)		
3	52	3:	00	Statio	onary		
Detailed narrative of detection	I narrative of tection Loggerhead was sighted approximately 100m off the starboard midship of the Berto Miller, and approximately 1750m from the Vole au Vent. The Berto Miller was transiting in circles for a pre-watch. Turtle was seen outside of the regulated 1000m exclusion zone; PSOs onboard the Vole au Vent were notified but no mitigation was required. Carapace of turtle could be seen from the surface; turtle lifted head to breath twice before it was not seen again.						
Mitigation – Compli	ance - Other						
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	8	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Weather Downtime	-	14.33	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
2:29:00 PM	2:29:00 PM	-	-	-	2:29:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.8985	75.4624	36.8985	75.4624	50	50	
Detection Descriptio	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Orange circular/round carapace with barnacles covering carapace.			
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Stationary	Rest/Slow Travel	None	Dive	
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bear	ring to animal	Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face) Bow = 12:00		(relative t	o vessel)	
13	32	11	:00	Statio	onary	
Detailed narrative of detection	Loggerhead was sighted approximately 50 m off the port bow of the Berto Miller during transit to anchor. The captain was notified immediately and slowed down. The turtle appeared to be basiking in the sun, sat for a few moments more and then dove down. Turtle was not sighted again. Berto Miller was an estimated 2790m (1.5nm) from the Vole au Vent, which was on weather standby.					
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - Change Course	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number				
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	9				
Activity - Time - Loca	Activity - Time - Location								
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)				
5/29/2020	5/29/2020	Daylight Visual	Oth - Weather Downtime	-	30.48				
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)				
2:42:00 PM	2:42:00 PM	-	-	-	2:44:00 PM				
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)				
36.8965	75.4477	36.8965	75.4477	60	15				
Detection Descriptio	n		·		·				
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Rusty orange colored carapace with numerous barnacles; visble front and hind flippers.						
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Sure								
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves				
1	1	1	1	0	0				
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction				
Indeterminate	Body	Stationary	Rest/Slow Travel	None	None				
Vessel F	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction	of Travel				
(degree) -	U = NOLLI	(CIOCK face) Bow = 12:00		(relative	lo vessel)				
3	0	11	:00	Statio	onary				
Detailed narrative of detection	of Loggerhead turtle, approximately 0.5m in size, was seen an estimated 60m off the port bow of the Berto Miller when transiting to anchor. The captain was notified and engaged engines to neutral. The Berto Miller coasted past the turtle, whose closest point of approach was an estimated 15m off the port midship. The turtle showed no signs of reaction to the passing vessel, and remained stationary at the surface with its head down and flippers moving but not propelling the body in any direction. Approximately 30-40% of the carapace was covered in barnacles. Once the turtle was behind the vessel, PSOs gave clearance for vessel to proceed to anchorage. Vole au Vent was an estimated 3871m away (2.09nm) at the time of sighting, and was on a weather standby.								
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other								
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status				
Mit - Change Course	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance				
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken				
No	0	Yes	No	No	Yes				



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	10	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Ops - Pre-Installation Foundation	-	22.25	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
5:38:00 AM	5:39:00 AM	5:38:00 AM	5:41:00 AM	00:03	5:41:00 AM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.9030	75.4690	36.9108	75.4808	200	150	
Detection Description	on					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Tall, falcate dorsal fins; large, robust, gray bodies, no distinct color pattern; short beaks			
Dolphin, Bottlenose	Most Likely					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
10	8	15	10	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Moderate	Surface-Active Travel	Fluke up	None	
Vessel (degree)	Heading - 0 = North	Relative Bea (clock face)	ring to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction (relative	of Travel to vessel)	
3	00	11	:00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction	
Detailed narrative of detection Group of 10-15 bottlenose dolphins first seen an estimated 200m from port bow of Berto Miller at 05:38, with a CPA of 150m off port midship at 05:39. Captain was made aware of the dolphins and monitored vessel transit in relation to pod. Dolphins were milling about at the surface with frequent fluking and surfacing. Animals appearing to be traveling in a group. Vessel was circling Vole au Vent at 1nm prior to pre-watch and no mitigation was required. Dolphins were last seen at 400m off the port stern of the Berto Miller at 05:41. Vole au Vent PSOs were notified. PAM operator detected dolphins at the same time.						
Mitigation – Compli	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	

requested?	implemented?	Started (EDT)	Ended (EDT)	Time (Mitigation)	Status
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken
No	0	No	No	Yes	Yes



IHA Take

(Yes/No)

No

Number of Take

(# of animals)

0

Vessel strike

Avoidance

No

Injured/Death

Marine mammal

No

Dual

Detection (PAM)

No

Was animal

photograph taken

No

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number					
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	12					
Activity - Time - Loca	Activity - Time - Location									
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)					
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Mit - Pre Watch Clearance	-	21.95					
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)					
6:54:00 AM	6:54:00 AM	-	-	-	6:54:00 AM					
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)					
36.9130	75.4911	36.9130	75.4911	1865	1865					
Detection Description	on									
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Brownish-orange round carapace. Carapace had barnacles covering it. About 0.5 m long.							
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Sure									
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves					
1	1	1	1	0	0					
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction					
Indeterminate	Body	Stationary	Rest/Slow Travel	None	Dive					
Vessel (degree) -	Heading · 0 = North	Relative Bear (clock face)	ring to animal Bow = 12:00	Direction of Travel (relative to vessel)						
2	68	2:	30	Stationary						
Detailed narrative of detection	Loggerhead turtle was sighted about 5 m from the Berto Miller starboard side while circling the Vole au Vent at a distance of 1nm for pre-watch. Turtle was only seen momentarily and then dove down below the surface. Captain of the Berto Miller was notified as well as the Vole au Vent PSOs. No mitigation was required, as turtle was outside the 1000m exclusion zone for turtles.									
Mitigation – Compli	ance - Other									
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status					
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance					



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	13	
Activity - Time - Loca	ition		•			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Mit - Post Piling Watch	-	26.52	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
12:57:00 PM	12:57:00 PM	-	-	-	12:57:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.9003	75.4720	36.9003	75.4720	1860	1860	
Detection Descriptio	n		I	I		
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Brownish-orange round carapace. Carapace had barnacles covering it. About 0.3 m long.			
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Stationary	Rest/Slow Travel	None	Dive	
Vessel F (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative t	of Travel to vessel)	
33	39	8:00		Statio	onary	
Detailed narrative of detection	Loggerhead turtle was from vessel. Captain of then dove. Berto Miller	sighted about 8:00 clockt Berto Miller was notified was circling the Vole au	face from midship on the as well as Vole au Vent Vent for post-watch after	Berto Miller, slightly in bo team. Turtle was only se pile driving. No mitigation	bat wake about 3m en momentarily and n was required.	
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	No	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	14	
Activity - Time - Loca	ition					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Ops - Anode Cage/TP Installation	-	18.59	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
2:30:00 PM	2:30:00 PM	-	-	-	2:30:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.8768	75.5936	36.8768	75.5936	500	500	
Detection Descriptio	n					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Brownish carapce seen from distance with naked eye. Large distinctive barnacles on carapace.			
Sea Turtle, Loggerhead	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
1	1	1	1	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Stationary	Rest/Slow Travel	None	None	
Vessel H	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction	of Travel	
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face) Bow = 12:00		(relative)	o vessel)	
28	30	3:	00	Statio	onary	
Detailed narrative of detection	¹ Loggerhead turtle seen 3:00 clockface, 500 m, from the starboard bow of the Berto Miller while the vessel was transiting back to dock in Virginia. The turtle seemed to be sunning itself and appeared to be moving, swimming a little at surface, as the vessel passed. Turtle remained in position and no reaction to the vessel was evident. No mitigation was required.					
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other		The MUL II		Devel	
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Lime Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	Yes	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	15	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation					
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Ops - Anode Cage/TP Installation	-	20.42	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
4:30:00 PM	4:30:00 PM	-	-	-	4:30:00 PM	
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.9461	75.9967	36.9461	75.9967	300	300	
Detection Description	on					
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Tall, falcate dorsal fin, large, robust, gray body			
Dolphin, Bottlenose	Sure					
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves	
2	2	2	2	0	0	
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction	
Indeterminate	Body	Moderate	Medium Travel	Porpoising	None	
Vessel (degree) -	Heading 0 = North	Relative Bearing to animal (clock face) Bow = 12:00		Direction (relative	of Travel	
(dogroo) 20	an	3.	00	Parallel to shin in	opposite direction	
2		5.				
Detailed narrative of detection	Group of 2 bottlenose dolphins first seen an estimated 300m off the starboard midship of Berto Miller at 16:30, with a CPA of 300m at 16:30. Captain was made aware of the dolphins and monitored vessel transit in relation to pod. Dolphins surfaced twice and were not seen again after 16:30.					
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other					
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status	
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance	
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken	
No	0	No	No	No	No	



Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number		
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	16		
Activity - Time - Loca	ation						
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)		
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight Visual	Ops - Anode Cage/TP Installation	-	13.10		
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)		
5:08:00 PM	5:08:00 PM	-	-	-	5:09:00 PM		
Latitude when first Sighted	Longitude when first Sighted	Latitude when last Sighted	Longitude when last Sighted	Distance at first Sighting (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)		
36.9822	76.1318	36.9822	76.1318	500	500		
Detection Description	n						
Animal Common Name	Certainty of Identification	Description of Animal(s)	Dark gray coloration, fa	lcate dorsal fin			
Dolphin, Bottlenose	Best Guess						
Best	Min	Max	Adults	Juveniles	Calves		
2	1	2	2	0	0		
Sex Class	Sighting Cue	Pace	Behavior state	Individual Behavior	Behavioral Reaction		
Indeterminate	Body	Moderate	Surface-Active Travel	Porpoising	None		
Vessel I	Heading	Relative Bearing to animal		Direction	of Travel		
(degree) -	0 = North	(clock face) Bow = 12:00		(relative t	o vessel)		
28	30	9:	00	Parallel to ship in	opposite direction		
Detailed narrative of detection	ive of Captain first sighted dolphin and notified PSO. Suspected 2 individual dolphins surfaced twice in rapid succession approximately 500m from the Berto Miller, showing dark coloration and falcate dorsal fin. Other characteristics were difficult to see. Dolphins were headed in the opposite direction of the vessel, closer to land while vessel was in transit past the Chesapeake Bay Bridge and Tunnel. Dolphins were not seen surfacing again and no mitigation was required.						
Mitigation – Complia	ance - Other	The Although		E a l'acada al La	Development		
what action requested?	was action implemented?	Started (EDT)	Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status		
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance		
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (PAM)	Was animal photograph taken		
No	0	No	No	No	Yes		



PROTECTED SPECIES - INDIVIDUAL ACOUSTIC DETECTION SUMMARY

Lease Reference	Vessel Name	Operational Type	Client	Operator	Detection Number	
CVOW-1	Berto Miller	Pile Driving	JDN	Dominion	1	
Activity - Time - Loca	ation		1			
Date First Detected	Date Last Detected	Observation Type	Survey Activity	Energy Source(s)	Water Depth (m)	
5/30/2020	5/30/2020	Daylight PAM	Ops - Pre-Installation Foundation	-	22.25	
Time at first encounter (EDT)	Time of closest to source (EDT)	Time animal entered EZ (EDT)	Time animal left EZ (EDT)	Time animal in the EZ (hh:mm)	Time at end of encounter (EDT)	
5:38:00 AM	5:39:00 AM	5:38:00 AM	5:41:00 AM	00:03	6:30:00 AM	
Latitude when first Detected	Longitude when first Detected	Latitude when last Detected	Longitude when last Detected	Distance at first Detection (m)	Closest Range to Animal (m)	
36.9030	75.4690	36.9108	75.4808	-	150	
Detection Description	n					
Animal Common Name Dolphin Bottlenose	Certainty of Identification	Call Characteristics	Upsweep, Downsweep, Sinusoidal, and repeating whistles, buzzes, burst pulses, HF clicks & click trains			
Best	Min	Мах	Call Type	Detection	n Module	
10	8	15	Whistle	Observed on spectrogram display		
Frequency range (kHz)	Primary Frequency (kHz)	Max Amplitude (dB)	Bearings Obtained	Localization Method	Distance Estimated	
23.5 - 178	38	160	Yes	Visual	Confirmed by visual Observer	
Detailed narrative of detection	Animals first sighted by most active on PAMGua moving to a peak ampli 13.5kHz to 178kHz, with located about 60 degree moving from 11:00 cloc spectorgram display. Cl with most below 218kH concurrent with the visu whistles, indicating at le 05:38-05:43. The major and flat tonal whsitles, a 3.6-24.6kHz, with the m seen on the spectrogram sounding like squeaks, heard that were much m aggressive jaw claps. A lower frequency clicks, became fainter as time observer had lost sight PAM operator could hea sighted a similarly sized be the same group of d	visual observers. Secon ard between 05:38 and 0 tude of 160dB. The high h peak frequencies rang es off the bow and 100 d k face to the stern). The icks were fairly loud, pro z. Clicks were not detect al observer losing sight east 5 individuals (PSO c ity of the whistles were f and a number of the sam ajority staying below 171 m display. Buzzes had a and lasted less than halt nore prominent than the s the high frequency din were continued to be de passed, until only detect of the pod, due to the ma ar the dolphins until 06:3 I group of dolphins at 06 olphins.	d PSO went inside to get b5:43, when high frequency frequency screen at this ing from 28kHz to 64kHz. legrees from the bow (cor- se high frequency clicks of ducing a strong signal str red on the high frequency of the group. The low-mic bserved an estimated 10 requency modulated upso he whistles repeated three kHz. Buzzes and burst pu- high range of up to 125.0 f a second. Additionally, to clicks being produced, whinished and could no lon tected aurally and visually ovement of the pod in the 0, and had been commur :06. Due to the continued	information from PAMG cy clicks could be seen s time was virtually full of o The bearing display indi- ngruent with PSO sightin could be heard aurally, as rength and an upper rang- click detector screen aft frequency spectrogram individuals) from the sar weeps and downsweeps, e or more times in a row. Ilses could also be heard 6kHz. Burst pulses varrie wo distinct, short, and lou- hich the PAM opperator s ger be seen on the display y on the spectrogram unt y in the last 5 minutes. M opposite direction of the niating with the Vole au V nature of the PAM detect	uard. Dolphins were tarting at 120dB and clicks, ranging from cated dolphins were g off the port side s well as seen on the ge of up to 250kHz, but er about 05:43, displayed stacked ne time period of with a few sinusoidal Whistles ranged from aurally as well as d in sound, with some id noises could be suspects could be suspects could be ay, whistles, and some il 06:30; whistles /hile the Berto Miller vessel's travel, the /ent PSOs, which ction, it is suspected to	

Mitigation – Compliance - Other								
What action requested?	Was action implemented?	Time Mitigation Started (EDT)	Time Mitigation Ended (EDT)	Estimated Loss Time (Mitigation)	Regulatory Status			
Mit - No Action required	Yes	-	-	-	Compliance			
IHA Take (Yes/No)	Number of Take (# of animals)	Vessel strike Avoidance	Injured/Death Marine mammal	Dual Detection (visual)	PAM Spectrogram Image			
No	0	No	No	Yes	Yes			

Appendix F. Renditions of Protected Species Sightings in Relation to OIV Vole au Vent During on Pile Driving Days







Appendix G. Renditions of Protected Species Sightings in Relation to OIV Vole au Vent During Pre-Construction Transit





These sightings occured when the Vole Au Vent was in transit to the work site from Halifax. There were five dolphin sightings of three different species and twelve whale sightings of two different species. There was one sighting of unidentified dolphins and six sightings of unidentified whales. All points represent the location of the Vole Au Vent when the animal was originally sighted.



Legend



- O Risso
 - Unidentified Dolphin
- Whale Sightings by Species
 Humpback
 Sperm
 - Unidentified Whale

Appendix H Renditions of Protected Species Sightings in Relation to OIV Vole au Vent During Post-Construction Transit





These sightings occured when the Vole Au Vent was in transit from the work site to Halifax. There were ten dolphin sightings of three different species and ten whale sightings of four different species. There was four sightings of unidentified dolphins and no sightings of unidentified whales. All points represent the location of the Vole Au Vent when the animal was originally sighted.



Legend

Dolphin Sightings by Species

- + Clymene
- O Common
- O Risso
- Unidentified Dolphin
- Whale Sightings by Species
 Fin
 Minke
 - North Atlantic Right
- 🔷 Sperm